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## Preface

Preparation of a separate land use plan for a district has its own importance because of growing population and limited land resource. The carrying capacity of land is under stress due to environmental pollution and land degradation.

We, therefore, focus not only on quantification of required land for each land use category but also on quality land use.

Secondly, we have tried to emphasize that there is need for block level and village level land use planning as well. Hence an attempt has been made to prepare land use plans for each block of the district and four selected villages of the district. We have also suggested for formation/revamping of institutions for this purpose.

The plans also include policy framework, besides general suggestions and specific tasks. These are based on informations collected from primary and secondary sources, discussions with villagers and observations made by members of the survey team.

We gratefully acknowledge our thanks to State Land Use Board for sponsoring the study. We are specially obliged to Mrs. Mridula Singh, Additional Director, State Land Use Board for constant interaction and help in course of this study. We are also thankful to DSTO in particular and other district level functionaries in general who supported us in collection of data and also provided important insights to the problem of land use.

I am also indebted to Prof. R.C. Tripathi, Director, G.B. Pant Social Science Institute, Jhusi, Allahabad, for reposing faith on me to conduct the study and for providing facilities, without which this study would not have completed.
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## Chapter - 1

## Introduction

## Location and Boundaries:

The district of Azamgarh comprises a somewhat irregularly shaped tract of country lying south of the Ghaghra river, between the parallels of $25^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ and $26^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ North latitude and the meridians of $82^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ and $83^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ east longitude. It is bounded on the east by Ballia, on the southeast by Ghazipur on the south-west by Jaunpur, on the west for a short distance by Sultanpur, on the north-west by Faizabad, on the north by Gorakhpur and on the north-east by Deoria districts. The river Ghaghra separates the district from the Gorakhpur and Deoria districts while the boundary with the other district is purely artificial.

## Topography:

In its general aspect the district is a level plain without any hills, the only variations in the surface being caused by the bad lands along the streams that drain it. Except in the proximity of the Ghaghra the country slopes towards the south-east.

There are only two natural division - the southern low-lying tract and the northern highlying tract. The northern tract is divisible into two portions, the uplands known as the bangar and the lowlands in the vicinity of the Ghaghra called the kachhar. The southern tract is drained by the Gangi, Udanti, Besu, Mangai and Bhainsahi rivers.

The stream of the tons is perennial but during the dry months of the year only a sluggish current of water flows in the bottom of its bed.

## Lakes:

Lakes and jhils of the district are not only very numerous, but in many eases of considerable size.

Flora:
There are no forests of any great importance in the district. In the interior of the district, near the tons or some of the other streams, there are a few woods of paras or dhak, sihor, babul and other wild trees. Pasture land for cattle is very deficient in Azamgarh. Except during the rains
and in the alluvial tracts near the Ghaghra, there is very little grazing land and the cattle have to be mostly stall-fed. The whole of Azamgarh district, except be southern tract, is, however, fairly well wooded.

### 1.1 Relevance of Study

With growing population and limited land resources the relevance of land use planning is obvious. Land has limited carrying capacity beyond which there will be degradation and loss in productivity due to excessive use. In order to meet various demands of the growing population the land degrading trend needs to be checked.

We should also attach due important to problem of rural communities, specially those below poverty line in whose hands this resource has to be efficiently utilized and whose minimum needs the efficient use of such resources is meant to serve.

The revenue department classifies land uses in following categories: (i) Land put to nonagricultural uses, (ii) Barren and uncultivable land, (iii) Pastures and grazing land, (iv) Land under trees and groves, (v) culturable waste land, (vi) current fallow, (vii) Fallow other than current fallow, (viii) Net Sown area, (ix) Forest.

The study also focusses on waste lands. Wastelands are such degraded lands which can be brought under vegetative cover, with reasonable effort, and which are currently under-utilized, and lands which are deteriorating due to lack of appropriate water and soil management or on account of natural causes.

A model land use plan for a district has been sought to be prepared on the basis of its land capability and feasibility to change present land use pattern, development and urbanisation have their own pressure on land use pattern. There are some major areas of concern as well. Forest area is being reduced by pushing the frontier of agriculture. On the other side good agricultural land is being usurped by urban sprawls, industrial establishments and expansion of human settlements and infra-structural facilities.

We have also investigated into the reasons of land degradation and the reasons for conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses. And also how area under fallow land, culturable waste and barren/uncultivable land could be reduced.

A new strategy is needed to protect grazing land, land under trees, bushes etc. as well as protection of land for chak road and drainage system is also necessary. Common resource property should be brought under communal ownership which should become non transferable and any activity that leads to their destruction should become unlawful.

The role of common resource property and its allocation systems becomes crucial in management of these natural resources. It must be emphasised that management of such resources be vested with the local communities who will take a longer view. Outside commercial interest will come and go with narrow economic interest only.

Effective communal property rights and resource management systems could be developed by empowering panchayats to develop modes of their use in their respective panchayats and by providing them technical and managerial skill as well as needed capital resources.

### 1.2 Objectives

The major objectives of preparing Model Land Use Plan for District are as follows:
(i) To review the existing land use patterns and preparation of data base.
(ii) Projection of desirable and attainable optimal land use Plan.
(iii) Suggestion of Action Plan including institutional changes and resource management policies to achieve optimal land use Plan.
(iv) Identify areas under different types of wasteland and make suggestions for their reclamation.

### 1.3 Methodology

The present report is based on a three tier study of the districts.
(i) District level
(ii) Block level
(iii) Village level

In order to select villages two blocks namely Bilariyaganj and Thekma were selected from the district. The two villages were selected randomly from each of the selected blocks. Thus the villages selected are:
(i) Jalalpur (Block - Bilariyaganj)
(ii) Surjipur (Block - Bilariyaganj)
(iii) Bargahan (Block - Thekma)
(iv) Madanpur (Block - Thekma)

### 1.4 Data Source

The data for preparing district and block level plans was collected from secondary sources, while village level plans are based on primary data. Three types of schedules were canvassed to elicit required information. These are (i) Village Schedule and (ii) Household Schedule, and (iii) Listing Schedule.

The information for village schedule was gathered from Gram Pradhan, Ex-gram Pradhan, Lekhpal, Village level functionary and also from well informed citizens of the village.

The household schedule was canvassed among 20 farmers of the village. The care was taken that these farmers represent all categories and communities of the village.

The listing schedule was canvassed to collect critical information about all households of the village such as demography, land use pattern, land ownership, occupational structure, literacy, livestock, housing condition etc. If also found the sample frame from which sample was drawn for detailed study of households.

Besides generating the primary data, information was also gathered from secondary sources. These included both published data and unpublished data (generated by various line departments). Different line departments were also approached to provide information, which has a bearing on land use pattern of the district.

## Chapter - 2

## Population and Land Resources

### 2.1 Demographic Profile

### 2.1.1 Settlement

The total area of Azamgarh district reduced from 5740.00 sq.km. in 1981 to 4234.00 sq.km. in 1991 due to carving out of new districts.

There had also been obvious changes in the number of residential houses and number of households during the last 40 years.

The number of residential houses increased from 367128 in 1961 to 393898 in 1971 which shows an increase of 7.29 per cent during the decade. The trend in the increase of residential houses increased to 23.41 per cent during decades 1971-81 and 1981-91.

Thus the number of residential houses have been increasing at the rate of around 24 per cent or more per decade. Though this is an obvious off shoot of increase in population, it will have serious implication for land use planning during the coming decades. These implications would have two aspects. One, more and more land would be brought under the category 'land put to non-agricultural purposes'. Secondly, planning for housing in both urban and rural areas will have to be given serious thought such as:
(i) how land saving devices could be adopted;
(ii) how civic amenities could be provided;
(iii) what kind of infra-structural facilities will be needed to be developed; and
(iv) what kind of common use facilities will be required to be developed.

Table - 2.1.1
Settlement Profile of the District Azamgarh

| Particular | Area | 1991 | 1981 | 1971 | 1961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area in Sq.Km. | Rural | 4195.05 | 5671.5 | 5722.2 | 5723.8 |
|  | Urban | 38.95 | 68.5 | 21.8 | 21.9 |
|  | Total | 4234.00 | 5740.0 | 5744.0 | 5745.7 |
| Number of Residential Houses | Rural | 405905 | 443838 | 375219 | 350716 |
|  | Urban | 27301 | 42397 | 18770 | 16412 |
|  | Total | 433206 | 486235 | 393989 | 367128 |
| Number of Households | Rural | 420004 | 486299 | 410757 | NA |
|  | Urban | 28786 | 45935 | 22930 | NA |
|  | Total | 448790 | 532234 | 433687 | NA |

### 2.1.2 Urbanisation

Another feature of settlement and area is related to urbanisation. In urban area the number of residential houses have been increasing and the share of urban population has also been increasing because of migration. But even more importantly, the area under urban limits have also been increasing. Azamgarh had been divided therefore true picture could only be inferred from increase in urban area during 1971-81. The area under urban limits increased from 21.8 sq.km. in 1971 to 68.5 sq.km. in 1981. i.e. an increase of 214.22 per cent during two decade. The share of urban population has increased from 5.21 per cent in 1971 to 7.16 per cent in 1991 and to 7.64 per cent in 2001.

### 2.2 Population

The population pressure started to increase on agriculture since 1931. The decinnial growth rate of population had been very high during the last three decades. This has resulted in the pressure of population on land (See table 2.2.1 \& 2.2.2).

The density of population of the district was 938 persons per squire kilometer in 2001.
The literacy rate increased from 19.1 per cent in 1971 to 39.2 per cent in 1991. The literacy rate among males and females was 56.1 per cent and 22.7 per cent respectively in 1991. The sex ratio was found to be favourable to women as it was 1007 females per thousand males.

Table - 2.2.1
Growth Rate of Population in District Azamgarh

| Year | Decadal Variation |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Rural | Urban | Total |
| $1901-1911$ | -2.0 | -29.0 | -4.0 |
| $1911-1921$ | 2.0 | 13.0 | 2.0 |
| $1921-1931$ | 2.0 | 16.0 | 3.0 |
| $1931-1941$ | 16.0 | 15.0 | 16.0 |
| $1941-1951$ | 16.0 | 20.0 | 15.0 |
| $1951-1961$ | 15.0 | 7.0 | 14.0 |
| $1961-1971$ | 18.0 | 29.0 | 19.0 |
| $1971-1981^{*}$ | -13.0 | 12.0 | -12.0 |
| $1981-1991$ | 25.0 | 35.0 | 26.0 |
| $1991-2001$ | 24.64 | 33.63 | 25.27 |

Note: * Changes during 1971-81 do not reflect real changes due to division of the district.

Table - 2.2.2
Demographic Profile of the District Azamgarh

|  | Area | 2001 |  |  | 1991 |  |  | 1981 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Total Pop. | Rural | NA | NA | 3649000 | 1453543 | 1474623 | 2928166 | 1582902 | 1635157 | 3218059 |
|  | Urban | NA | NA | 302000 | 118050 | 107669 | 225719 | 170924 | 155147 | 326071 |
|  | Total | NA | NA | 3951000 | 1571593 | 1582292 | 3153885 | 1753826 | 1790304 | 3544130 |
| SC Pop. | Rural | NA | NA | NA | 379881 | 399621 | 779502 | 405583 | 439330 | 849913 |
|  | Urban | NA | NA | NA | 14649 | 13461 | 28110 | 18062 | 16785 | 34847 |
|  | Total | NA | NA | NA | 344530 | 413082 | 807612 | 423645 | 456115 | 879760 |
| ST Pop. | Rural | NA | NA | NA | 117 | 72 | 189 | 66 | 74 | 140 |
|  | Urban | NA | NA | NA | 14 | 7 | 21 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | NA | NA | NA | 131 | 79 | 210 | 66 | 74 | 140 |
| Literate Person | Rural | NA | NA | NA | 621185 | 242804 | 863989 | 576132 | 167591 | 743723 |
|  | Urban | NA | NA | NA | 64812 | 40375 | 105187 | 95042 | 50822 | 145864 |
|  | Total | NA | NA | NA | 685997 | 283179 | 969176 | 671174 | 218413 | 889587 |
| Den. Per sq.km. | Rural | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 567 |
|  | Urban | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4757 |
|  | Total | - | - | 938 | NA | NA | 745 | - | - | 617 |
| Total Pop. |  | 1971 |  |  | 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rural | 1351911 | 1356706 | 2708617 | 1123748 | 1169131 | 2292879 |  |  |  |
|  | Urban | 79356 | 69511 | 148867 | 61260 | 53913 | 11573 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1431267 | 2426217 | 2857484 | 1185008 | 1223044 | 2408052 |  |  |  |

### 2.3 Occupational Structure

The pressure on land in Azamgarh continues to increase because a sizable work-force was found to be engaged in agricultural activities.

As per the 1991 census 60.43 per cent workers were cultivators and 19.24 per cent workers were engaged as agricultural labourers. The high proportion of agricultural workers shows that employment in secondary and tertiary sector was growing very slowly. This is evident from the fact that number of workers engaged in household industry was 4.55 per cent while those engaged in other than household industry was 2.46 per cent only. The number of workers engaged in trade and commerce and other services was 4.83 per cent and 6.49 per cent respectively.

It could also be seen from table 2.3 that whereas the proportion of agricultural labourers has marginally increased during 1981-91, the proportion of workers in household industry and in other services have declined during the same period.

This trend was in evidence in all the blocks of Azamgarh district.

Table-2.3
Classification of Workers in the District Azamgarh (In percent)

| SI.No. |  |  | 1991 |  |  | 1981 |  |  | 1971 |  |  | 1961 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Particular |  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Main Workers | Rural | 92.73 | 94.84 | 93.08 | 90.46 | 89.02 | 90.22 | 94.52 | 91.70 | 94.05 | 95.08 | 95.43 | 638.66 |
|  |  | Urban | 7.27 | 5.16 | 6.92 | 9.55 | 10.98 | 9.78 | 5.48 | 8.30 | 5.95 | 4.92 | 4.57 | 32.24 |
|  |  | Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 2 | Cultivators | Rural | 67.55 | 47.64 | 64.24 | 71.96 | 39.89 | 66.87 | 63.90 | 25.53 | 54.02 | 72.97 | 55.44 | 67.40 |
|  |  | Urban | 9.47 | 6.87 | 9.15 | 9.17 | 2.74 | 8.01 | 4.09 | 1.17 | 3.41 | 4.37 | 1.71 | 3.57 |
|  |  | Total | 63.32 | 45.53 | 60.43 | 65.97 | 35.81 | 61.11 | 60.62 | 23.50 | 54.42 | 69.59 | 52.99 | 431.58 |
| 3 | Agricultural Labour | Rural | 15.53 | 44.05 | 20.26 | 13.08 | 49.40 | 18.84 | 22.77 | 64.03 | 29.48 | 11.98 | 29.38 | 17.51 |
|  |  | Urban | 5.26 | 7.39 | 5.52 | 4.21 | 9.51 | 5.17 | 3.58 | 4.00 | 3.68 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.71 |
|  |  | Total | 14.78 | 42.16 | 19.24 | 12.23 | 45.02 | 17.51 | 21.72 | 59.04 | 27.94 | 11.43 | 28.07 | 112.08 |
| 4 | Livestock, Forestry, Fishing, Hunting, Plantation, Orchards \& Allied Activities | Rural | 0.34 | 0.18 | 0.31 | - | - | - | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 |
|  |  | Urban | 1.29 | 0.49 | 1.19 | - | - | - | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.42 |
|  |  | Total | 0.41 | 0.20 | 0.37 | - | - | - | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 1.87 |
| 5 | Mining and Quarrying | Rural | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | - | - | - | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Urban | 0.03 | - | 0.02 | - | - | - | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.24 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Total | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | - | - | - | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | - | - | - |
| 6 | Manufacturing, Processing, Servicing, Repairs in Households Industry | Rural | 2.53 | 3.11 | 2.62 | 3.63 | 6.14 | 4.03 | 3.78 | 5.89 | 4.12 | 6.90 | 10.60 | 8.08 |
|  |  | Urban | 26.47 | 59.62 | 30.50 | 38.45 | 72.30 | 44.56 | 42.18 | 83.26 | 51.74 | 41.52 | 84.44 | 54.44 |
|  |  | Total | 4.27 | 6.03 | 4.55 | 6.95 | 13.40 | 7.99 | 5.89 | 12.31 | 6.96 | 8.61 | 13.97 | 69.15 |
| 7 | Manufacturing, Processing, Servicing, Repairs in other than Households Industry | Rural | 2.48 | 1.08 | 2.24 | - | - | - | 1.55 | 0.72 | 1.41 | 0.64 | 0.27 | 0.52 |
|  |  | Urban | 5.80 | 1.80 | 5.31 | - | - | - | 9.21 | 2.26 | 7.59 | 6.73 | 0.81 | 4.95 |
|  |  | Total | 2.72 | 1.12 | 2.46 | - | - | - | 1.97 | 0.84 | 1.78 | 0.75 | 0.29 | 4.05 |
| 8 | Construction | Rural | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.54 | - | - | - | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.20 |
|  |  | Urban | 1.21 | 0.06 | 1.07 | - | - | - | 1.58 | 0.09 | 1.23 | 1.79 | 0.27 | 1.33 |
|  |  | Total | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.57 | - | - | - | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 1.69 |
| 9 | Trade and Commerce | Rural | 3.70 | 1.07 | 3.27 | - | - | - | 2.13 | 0.63 | 1.89 | 2.26 | 0.89 | 1.83 |
|  |  | Urban | 28.39 | 6.47 | 25.73 | - | - | - | 17.02 | 2.28 | 13.59 | 17.48 | 4.17 | 13.47 |
|  |  | Total | 5.50 | 1.35 | 4.83 | - | - | - | 2.95 | 0.76 | 2.59 | 3.01 | 1.04 | 16.02 |
| 10 | Transport, Storage Commerce | Rural | 1.08 | 0.08 | 0.92 | - | - | - | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.40 |
|  |  | Urban | 2.79 | 0.27 | 2.48 | - | - | - | 2.29 | 0.01 | 1.76 | 5.48 | 0.08 | 3.85 |
|  |  | Total | 1.21 | 0.09 | 1.03 | - | - | - | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 0.83 | 0.01 | 3.82 |
| 11 | Other Services | Rural | 6.15 | 2.59 | 5.56 | 11.34 | 4.57 | 10.26 | 4.85 | 2.55 | 4.48 | 3.95 | 3.13 | 3.69 |
|  |  | Urban | 19.29 | 17.02 | 19.01 | 48.17 | 15.45 | 42.26 | 2.07 | 71.42 | 13.90 | 3.22 | 57.28 | - |
|  |  | Total | 7.11 | 3.34 | 6.49 | 14.86 | 5.76 | 13.39 | 5.66 | 2.91 | 5.20 | 4.81 | 3.33 | 29.12 |

### 2.3.1 Block-wise Analysis of Occupational Structure

There were only two blocks namely Sathiyav and Jahanaganj, where number of workers engaged in household industries was above 5.0 per cent of total workers (See table 2.3.1). It could also be seen from the table that the number of marginal workers was quite high in many blocks. This shows that employment duration was less among many workers indicating non-availability of work.

Table 2.3.1
Block-wise Distribution of Workers by Economic Category in Azamgarh District, (In percent)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Block } \\ & s \end{aligned}$ | Years | Cultivators | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Agricul } \\ \text { ture } \\ \text { Labour } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Mining } \\ \& \\ \text { Quarry- } \\ \text { ing } \end{array}$ | Househ old Industry |  | Construction | Trade <br> $\&$ <br> Comm- <br> erce | Transport, Storage \& Comm unicati on | Other Worker s | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Main } \\ \text { Worker } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Margi- } \\ \text { nal } \\ \text { Worker } \end{array}$ | Total Worker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mahrajg anj | 1971 | 61.35 | 28.46 | 1.15 | 0.02 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.12 | 1.79 | 0.21 | 4.04 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 59.11 | 19.63 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 2.27 | 0.82 | 0.32 | 2.07 | 0.41 | 4.29 | 89.14 | 10.86 | 100.0 |
| Bilariya ganj | 1971 | 58.65 | 29.43 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 0.10 | 1.71 | 0.17 | 4.85 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 56.18 | 19.11 | 0.81 | 0.02 | 2.07 | 2.88 | 0.65 | 3.01 | 1.02 | 5.24 | 91.00 | 9.00 | 100.0 |
| Harraiy a | 19 | 62.20 | 32.09 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0.5 | 0. | 1. | 0.08 | 2.45 |  |  | O 0 |
|  | 1991 | 63.55 | 15.98 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 1.32 | 0.89 | 0.40 | 2.29 | 3.42 | 4.11 | 89.04 | 10.96 | 100.0 |
| Ajmatga rha | 1971 | 61.98 | 27.16 | 1.08 | 0.02 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 0.11 | 1.83 | 0.31 | 4.01 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 199 | 47.5 | 21.16 | 0.85 | 0.02 | 3.25 | 2.95 | 0.5 | 2.92 | 0.92 | 5.64 | 85.75 | 14.25 | 100.0 |
| Mirzapu r | 1971 | 57.06 | 30.66 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 3.56 | 0.81 | 0.07 | 2.43 | 0.15 | 4.46 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 19 | 61.86 | 17.77 | 0.19 | 0.0 | 1.76 | 1.79 | 0.44 | 2.86 | 0.91 | 4.52 | 92.12 | 7.88 | 100.0 |
| Muham madpur | 1971 | 77.03 | 21.38 | 0.57 | 0.04 | 2.10 | 1.14 | 0.11 | 1.52 | 0.45 | 3.13 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 57.50 | 24.10 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 1.20 | 0.80 | 0.20 | 2.34 | 0.64 | 3.47 | 90.43 | 9.57 | 100.0 |
| Tahbar pur | 197 | 58.88 | 27.19 | 0.54 | 0.0 | 2.21 | 1.50 | 0.25 | 1.97 | 0.72 | 6.71 |  |  | 0.0 |
|  | 1991 | 58.10 | 17.89 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 1.51 | 1.79 | 0.48 | 3.42 | 0.98 | 6.47 | 90.93 | 9.07 | 100.0 |
| Palhani | 1971 | 59.80 | 26.42 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 2.18 | 1.25 | 0.32 | 2.23 | 0.70 | 6.66 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 19 | 40.78 | 20. | 0. | 0.06 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 6.48 | 3.14 | 11.73 | 92.76 | 4 | 100.0 |
| Ranikisr ai | 1971 | 57.47 | 28.33 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 2.26 | 1.74 | 0.25 | 1.89 | 0.62 | 6.76 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 56. | 17.58 | 0.41 | 0.0 | 1.94 | 2.15 | 0.7 | 4.79 | 1.68 | 6.18 | 90.99 | 9.01 | 100.0 |
| Sathiya v | 1971 | 49.72 | 31.35 | 0.45 | 0.03 | 8.51 | 3.31 | 0.20 | 1.59 | 0.41 | 4.42 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 45.17 | 16.10 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 16.57 | 7.40 | 0.60 | 2.45 | 0.97 | 5.70 | 95.17 | 4.83 | 100.0 |
| Jahana ganj | 197 | 54.09 | 29.55 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 6.94 | 1.74 | 0.13 | 2.30 | 0.31 | 4.43 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 29.65 | 13.55 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 5.68 | 2.04 | 0.25 | 2.74 | 0.46 | 4.59 | 86.20 | 13.80 | 100.0 |
| Atrauliy a | 19 | 62.25 | 27.28 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.82 | 0.08 | 1.78 | 0.18 | 4.01 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 55.68 | 20.08 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.94 | 2.63 | 0.27 | 1.80 | 0.39 | 4.43 | 86.31 | 13.69 | 100.0 |
| Koylasa | 1971 | 64.39 | 25.72 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 3.01 | 0.83 | 0.07 | 1.71 | 0.24 | 3.94 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 52.48 | 15.72 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 1.06 | 0.26 | 3.52 | 0.63 | 4.54 | 79.24 | 20.76 | 100.0 |
| Ahiraula | 1971 | 65.89 | 25.85 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 2.39 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 1.51 | 0.13 | 3.65 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 54.63 | 17.44 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 1.17 | 0.32 | 3.15 | 0.71 | 4.33 | 82.65 | 17.35 | 100.0 |
| Pawai | 1971 | 61.93 | 29.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 2.14 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 1.76 | 1.62 | 3.72 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 61.06 | 18.22 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 1.22 | 1.08 | 0.32 | 2.74 | 0.56 | 4.85 | 90.10 | 9.90 | 100.0 |
| Phulpur | 197 | 58.84 | 31.28 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 2.26 | 0.86 | 0.09 | 2.02 | 0.50 | 3.95 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 62.77 | 14.95 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 1.22 | 0.38 | 2.53 | 0.72 | 3.92 | 87.52 | 12.48 | 100.0 |
| Marting anj | 1971 | 63.78 | 28.57 | 0.48 | 0.01 | 1.56 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 1.55 | 0.21 | 3.15 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 63.54 | 14.24 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.66 | 1.35 | 0.31 | 2.31 | 0.33 | 2.99 | 85.96 | 14.04 | 100.0 |
| Thekma | 1971 | 59.95 | 30.00 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 2.79 | 1.14 | 0.10 | 1.87 | 0.22 | 3.53 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 52.94 | 21.45 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 1.21 | 1.44 | 0.20 | 2.22 | 0.66 | 3.47 | 83.90 | 16.10 | 100.0 |
| Lalganj | 1971 | 59.14 | 26.10 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 3.02 | 1.18 | 0.15 | 2.37 | 0.20 | 4.15 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 51.79 | 15.43 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.70 | 0.91 | 0.69 | 2.65 | 0.53 | 4.33 | 77.66 | 22.34 | 100.0 |
| Mehnag ar | 1971 | 61.50 | 27.17 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 3.53 | 1.05 | 0.05 | 2.69 | 0.20 | 3.64 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 57.93 | 15.72 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.68 | 0.98 | 0.16 | 1.45 | 0.38 | 3.18 | 80.71 | 19.29 | 100.0 |
| Tarvan | 1971 | 58.81 | 31.43 | 0.39 | 0.02 | 2.66 | 1.07 | 0.04 | 1.83 | 0.21 | 3.53 |  |  | 100.0 |
|  | 1991 | 56.32 | 12.60 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 1.30 | 0.29 | 2.37 | 0.42 | 4.13 | 78.60 | 21.40 | 100.0 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

### 2.4 Distribution of Landholdings

The average size of landholding was 0.66 hectare during 1980-81 and declined to 0.56 hectares as per the 1995-96 agricultural census. It could also been seen from the table that during 1995-96, 95.18 per cent holdings belonged to the small and marginal farmers, while they accounted for only 72.28 per cent of total area under all landholdings, while during 1980-81, 93.93 per cent holdings belonged to small and marginal farmers, and these categories accounted for 67.46 per cent of area under total holdings (See table 2.4, 2.4.1 \& 2.4.2).

Table 2.4
Block-wise Distribution of Landholding (Size \& Area) in Azamgarh District (In percent)


Table 2.4.1
Block-wise Distribution of Landholding (Size \& Area) in Azamgarh District, 1995-96 (In percent)


| Martinganj | 72.64 | 29.31 | 14.19 | 20.39 | 8.81 | 25.14 | 3.50 | 17.51 | 0.85 | 7.32 | 0.01 | 0.33 | 0.49 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Thekma | 17.59 | 26.08 | 43.07 | 21.44 | 24.43 | 22.90 | 12.21 | 21.31 | 2.51 | 6.97 | 0.19 | 1.30 | 1.50 |
| Lalganj | 67.27 | 23.44 | 16.74 | 21.87 | 10.09 | 22.61 | 4.45 | 19.77 | 1.39 | 11.32 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 0.63 |
| Mehnagar | 66.32 | 21.92 | 17.89 | 22.23 | 10.13 | 23.19 | 4.46 | 20.15 | 1.17 | 11.66 | 0.03 | 0.85 | 0.59 |
| Tarvan | 63.92 | 25.96 | 17.59 | 21.41 | 12.19 | 21.25 | 5.22 | 23.22 | 1.03 | 6.96 | 0.05 | 1.20 | 0.60 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook of different years.

Table 2.4.2
Block-wise Distribution of Landholding (Size \& Area) in Azamgarh District, 1980-81 (In percent)


Source: District Statistical Handbook of different years.

## Chapter - 3

## Land Use Related to Agriculture

### 3.1 Net Sown Area

When we discuss about land use, agriculture finds the dominant place in various categories of land use. This is true of Azamgarh district as well. The proportion of net sown area in the district varied around 75.0 per cent during 1960-61 to 1989-90 period. But the net sown area as percentage of total reporting area decreased slightly to around 72 per cent after 1990-91 (See table 3.1). This is so, because the blocks which have remained with Azamgarh district had slightly lower proportion of net sown area.

Block-wise analysis of net sown area as percentage of total reporting area in Azamgarh block shows large variations among blocks, even through it was around or above 70.0 per cent in all the blocks. There were only 8 blocks out of 21 blocks where the proportion of net sown area was above 75 per cent. These are Bilariyaganj, Muhammadpur, Tahbarpur, Solhiyava, Jahanganj, Atraulia, Koyalsa and Mehnagar.

There are also two blocks where net sown area as percentage of total reporting area has consistently declined since 1975-76. These are Maharajganj and Ajamatgarh

### 3.2 Cropping Intensity

In agriculture, the land use has another characteristic also. The same land could be cultivated more than once in a year. The cropping intensity thus shows the proportion of gross sown area as percentage of net sown area. The cropping intensity of the Azamgarh district had increased since 1960-61, slowly during the period 1960-61 to 1975-76. It has increased from 125.33 in 196061 to 131.36 in 1975-76. Thereafter it increased to 163.26 in 2000-01.

Block-wise analysis of cropping intensity during 2000-01 shows that cropping intensity was on the lower side (i.e. below 150) in following blocks - Maharajganj (148.10), Bilariaganj (135.68) and Harraiya (138.83).

Blocks where cropping intensity was in the medium range (i.e. between 15.0-170) include following blocks. Azmatgarh (163.81), Mirzapur (160.29), Muhammadpur (155.12), Tahbarpur (151.91), Palhani (162.19) Sathiyav (167.22), Atraulia (156.82, Koyalsa (161.36), Phulpur (160.78) and Martinganj (159.97).

Only eight blocks had shown high cropping intensity (i.e. above 170). These included Raniki Sarai (170.43), Jahanagaj (178.49), Ahiraula (180.60), Pawai (172.25) Thekma (173.49), Lalganj (173.16), Mehnagar (171.81 and Tarvan (170.42).

The most important factor which has effected cropping intensity is irrigation.

### 3.3 Irrigation

The Azamgarh district had long back shifted from rain-fed farming to irrigation farming. The irrigation intensity i.e. net irrigated area as percentage of net sown area has increased from 50.38 per cent in 1960-61 to 88.33 per cent in 2000-01. This trend was discernible in all the blocks of the district as well. The irrigation intensity was reported to be very high i.e. above 90.0 per cent in eleven blocks namely Mirzapur, Mohammadpur, Palhani, Rani-Ki Sarai, Sathiyava, Atraulia, Pawai, Martinganj, Lalganj, Mehnagar and Tarvan during 2000-01 (See table 3.1 and 3.1.2).

### 3.4 Gross Irrigated Area as Percentage of Net Irrigated Area

Furthermore, gross irrigated area as percentage of net irrigated area has also increased during the last twenty five years from around 108.82 in 1976-77 to 127.45 1999-2K, which shows that it is still low (See table 3.1 and 3.1.3).

Block-wise analysis of gross irrigated area as percentage of net irrigated area is shown in table 3.1.4. We can categories the blocks in three groups. In the first category we put those blocks where gross irrigated area as percent of net irrigated area was very low (i.e. below 120), these include Mirzapur, Mohammadpur, Tahbarpur, Rani-Ki-Sarai, Martinganj, Lalganj and Mehnagar.

In the next category those blocks are included where gross irrigated area as percentage of net irrigated area was between 120 to 140 . The blocks included in this category are Balariaganj, Palhani, Sathiyav, Jahanaganj, Pawai, Phulpur and Thekma.

The blocks where gross irrigated area as percentage of net irrigated area was not low (i.e. above 140) are: Maharajganj, Harriaya, Azmatgarh, Atraulia, Koyalsa and Ahiraula.

## Table 3.1

Year-wise Irrigation and Cropping Intensity of Azamgarh District

| Year | Irrigation <br> Intensity | Net sown Area as \% of <br> Total Reporting Area | Cropping <br> Intensity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1960-61$ |  | 75.18 | 125.33 |
| $1965-66$ | 49.32 | 75.79 | 124.54 |
| $1970-71$ | 53.10 | 77.00 | 125.80 |
| $1975-76$ | 52.69 | 74.67 | 131.36 |
| $1980-81$ | 68.68 | 75.66 | 146.42 |
| $1985-86$ | 67.76 | 74.36 | 152.52 |
| $1990-91$ | 77.90 | 72.58 | 160.95 |
| $1994-95$ | 82.30 | 72.70 | 161.26 |
| $1998-99$ | 87.47 | 71.90 | 163.26 |
| $1999-2 \mathrm{~K}$ | 88.23 | 72.93 | 163.50 |

Table 3.1.1

## Block-wise Cropping Intensity in Azamgarh District

| Blocks | $\mathbf{1 9 7 6 - 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}-\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4 - 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}-91$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mahrajganj | 133.91 | 147.27 | 144.01 | 149.39 | 148.10 |
| Bilariyaganj | 135.87 | 143.05 | 147.51 | 159.12 | 135.68 |
| Harraiya | 117.24 | 146.63 | 150.68 | 145.67 | 136.83 |
| Ajmatgarha | 129.61 | 456.42 | 151.85 | 206.87 | 163.81 |
| Mirzapur | 122.07 | 144.02 | 148.74 | 145.17 | 160.29 |
| Muhammadpur | 126.96 | 145.71 | 140.00 | 125.23 | 155.12 |
| Tahbarpur | 130.23 | 151.18 | 147.65 | 148.54 | 151.91 |
| Palhani | 132.13 | 144.04 | 158.86 | 140.79 | 162.19 |
| RaniKiSrai | 149.41 | 148.74 | 159.64 | 162.41 | 170.43 |
| Sathiyav | 132.92 | 151.04 | 152.04 | 162.18 | 167.22 |
| Jahanaganj | 129.25 | 151.63 | 157.46 | 169.07 | 178.49 |
| Atrauliya | 133.17 | 123.67 | 153.26 | 153.12 | 156.82 |
| Koylasa | 146.79 | 154.67 | 135.22 | 193.59 | 161.36 |
| Ahiraula | 130.81 | 131.17 | 145.69 | 170.85 | 180.60 |
| Pawai | 129.69 | 134.29 | 143.12 | 158.92 | 172.25 |
| Phulpur | 116.07 | 131.02 | 133.02 | 149.14 | 160.78 |
| Martinganj | 131.76 | 127.04 | 138.01 | 159.08 | 159.97 |
| Thekma | 130.40 | 135.99 | 135.12 | 168.19 | 173.49 |
| Lalganj | 136.60 | 148.90 | 124.37 | 167.59 | 173.16 |
| Mehnagar | 120.33 | 151.47 | 146.25 | 178.69 | 171.86 |
| Tarvan | 130.74 | 149.69 | 148.38 | 169.76 | 170.42 |
| Rural |  | 146.41 |  | 161.49 | 163.47 |
| Urban |  | 149.08 |  | 139.90 | 174.34 |
| Total District | 132.61 | 146.42 | 148.09 | 161.43 | 163.50 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).
Table 3.1.2

## Block-wise Net Sown Area as \% of Total Reporting Areas

| Block | $\mathbf{1 9 7 6 - 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0 - 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4 - 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0 - 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mahrajganj | 75.34 | 71.11 | 69.56 | 69.87 | 69.20 |
| Bilariyaganj | 83.94 | 85.00 | 79.78 | 82.25 | 82.12 |
| Harraiya | 74.12 | 68.94 | 68.96 | 69.31 | 70.00 |
| Ajmatgarha | 81.56 | 80.30 | 76.93 | 75.60 | 71.77 |
| Mirzapur | 74.39 | 74.00 | 74.47 | 74.85 | 69.21 |
| Muhammadpur | 80.97 | 80.24 | 79.22 | 80.10 | 75.42 |
| Tahbarpur | 79.51 | 77.01 | 79.08 | 78.53 | 75.29 |
| Palhani | 78.95 | 75.36 | 73.03 | 76.75 | 69.55 |
| RaniKiSrai | 77.67 | 75.52 | 75.65 | 75.99 | 72.71 |
| Sathiyav | 80.77 | 81.60 | 78.41 | 80.00 | 77.20 |
| Jahanaganj | 80.85 | 75.98 | 76.64 | 79.13 | 77.74 |
| Atrauliya | 73.15 | 79.43 | 74.11 | 66.54 | 77.15 |
| Koylasa | 66.63 | 75.71 | 81.16 | 61.13 | 75.75 |
| Ahiraula | 74.56 | 78.49 | 72.43 | 67.13 | 69.31 |
| Pawai | 74.97 | 73.87 | 74.66 | 72.32 | 70.18 |
| Phulpur | 77.44 | 71.60 | 70.51 | 72.97 | 68.83 |
| Martinganj | 74.04 | 77.44 | 74.65 | 71.37 | 72.53 |
| Thekma | 75.93 | 86.23 | 72.07 | 69.32 | 71.10 |


| Lalganj | 71.32 | 74.85 | 73.07 | 75.17 | 72.48 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mehnagar | 73.22 | 75.48 | 74.48 | 80.97 | 75.92 |
| Tarvan | 74.56 | 62.66 | 73.37 | 73.40 | 74.40 |
| Rural |  | 75.74 |  | 73.86 | 73.16 |
| Urban |  | 39.06 |  | 34.79 | 32.45 |
| Total District | 75.79 | 75.66 | 74.45 | 73.66 | 72.93 |

Table 3.1.3
Block-wise Irrigation Intensity in Azamgarh District

| Blocks | $\mathbf{1 9 7 6 - 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0 - 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4 - 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0 - 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mahrajganj | 36.40 | 51.21 | 55.07 | 67.73 | 64.96 |
| Bilariyaganj | 50.95 | 63.32 | 77.31 | 76.83 | 78.86 |
| Harraiya | 44.48 | 46.85 | 56.61 | 64.93 | 65.46 |
| Ajmatgarha | 48.97 | 68.65 | 85.19 | 79.94 | 81.20 |
| Mirzapur | 67.34 | 78.36 | 76.20 | 73.22 | 99.42 |
| Muhammadpur | 60.08 | 61.72 | 67.79 | 60.42 | 93.09 |
| Tahbarpur | 64.04 | 77.39 | 72.83 | 70.10 | 85.93 |
| Palhani | 77.24 | 79.76 | 79.32 | 68.46 |  |
| RaniKiSrai | 70.37 | 48.20 | 81.20 | 75.58 | 99.64 |
| Sathiyav | 67.91 | 77.66 | 85.99 | 77.57 | 93.04 |
| Jahanaganj | 58.05 | 68.14 | 80.06 | 78.71 | 89.58 |
| Atrauliya | 51.44 | 68.72 | 77.80 | 91.29 | 90.90 |
| Koylasa | 45.10 | 58.85 | 67.03 | 89.37 | 84.65 |
| Ahiraula | 55.16 | 42.85 | 73.32 | 81.20 | 89.53 |
| Pawai | 56.56 | 77.96 | 67.99 | 71.81 | 96.83 |
| Phulpur | 53.76 | 89.31 | 64.17 | 69.85 | 89.39 |
| Martinganj | 43.64 | 87.82 | 52.65 | 75.62 | 99.28 |
| Thekma | 47.46 | 57.25 | 74.20 | 77.02 | 84.51 |
| Lalganj | 51.62 | 67.44 | 76.32 | 82.76 | 93.92 |
| Mehnagar | 46.03 | 73.21 | 77.92 | 83.99 | 94.70 |
| Tarvan | 47.05 | 76.36 | 73.71 | 79.24 | 92.79 |
| Rural |  | 68.66 |  | 75.81 | 88.31 |
| Urban |  | 93.87 |  | 73.03 | 94.76 |
| Total District | 55.22 | 68.68 | 73.58 | 75.80 | 88.33 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

Table 3.1.4
Block-wise Gross Irrigated Area as \% of Net Irrigated Area

| Blocks | $\mathbf{1 9 7 6 - 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0 - 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4 - 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}-91$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mahrajganj | 105.15 | 104.78 | 105.76 | 109.33 | 140.57 |
| Bilariyaganj | 103.97 | 104.93 | 106.94 | 122.11 | 130.89 |
| Harraiya | 102.37 | 100.22 | 104.47 | 123.51 | 150.66 |
| Ajmatgarha | 103.48 | 103.46 | 107.76 | 156.56 | 150.49 |
| Mirzapur | 103.14 | 104.76 | 103.84 | 113.22 | 107.86 |
| Muhammadpur | 114.07 | 106.01 | 110.39 | 110.57 | 110.32 |
| Tahbarpur | 114.23 | 105.50 | 103.20 | 126.87 | 118.82 |
| Palhani | 114.79 | 104.95 | 108.71 | 125.99 | 130.81 |
| RaniKiSrai | 100.71 | 105.95 | 104.75 | 122.25 | 118.15 |
| Sathiyav | 101.67 | 103.16 | 105.88 | 116.03 | 125.61 |
| Jahanaganj | 102.53 | 103.55 | 103.94 | 110.68 | 130.35 |
| Atrauliya | 136.48 | 105.70 | 112.01 | 126.39 | 146.43 |
| Koylasa | 157.09 | 108.11 | 102.71 | 132.92 | 146.48 |
| Ahiraula | 112.57 | 104.00 | 105.56 | 130.40 | 149.12 |
| Pawai | 107.66 | 102.82 | 103.17 | 118.40 | 129.63 |
| Phulpur | 100.35 | 103.06 | 102.04 | 113.63 | 120.87 |
| Martinganj | 102.86 | 102.42 | 107.96 | 114.19 | 112.11 |
| Thekma | 103.25 | 104.63 | 106.42 | 111.94 | 127.75 |
| Lalganj | 110.93 | 103.78 | 103.54 | 110.56 | 116.47 |


| Mehnagar | 109.94 | 104.29 | 109.12 | 125.76 | 116.27 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tarvan | 112.93 | 105.07 | 105.38 | 113.82 | 118.88 |
| Rural |  | 104.42 |  | 120.87 | 127.37 |
| Urban |  | 118.95 |  | 112.48 | 161.14 |
| Total District | 108.82 | 104.44 | 107.99 | 120.85 | 127.45 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

### 3.5 Source of Irrigation

If we analyse the sources of irrigation in Azamgarh district, we can witness two distinct phases during the period of year 1960-61 to year 2000-01.

The first phase covers the period 1960-61 to 1969-70. This is the phase when traditional sources of irrigation continued to be significant. Canals and tubewells together covered less than 30 per cent of net irrigated area. The fact that other wells accounted for irrigation of more than 60 per cent of net irrigated area during this phase showed continuing importance of traditional sources of irrigation during this phase.

The next phase covers the period after 1970-71. In this phase, area irrigated through traditional sources declined very fast. The area irrigated through canals increased from around 8.6 per cent to around 18.0 per cent, and the area irrigated through tubewells increased from around 35.0 per cent to around 80.0 per cent. This trend was discernible in all the blocks of the district.

Block-wise analysis of sources of irrigation also shows that there was only one block i.e. Martinganj where canal still accounted for more than 30.0 per cent of net irrigated area in 1999-2K (See table 3.2.1).

Table-3.2
Year-wise Irrigated Area by Different Sources in Azamgarh District, (in Percent)

| Years | Net irrigated <br> area | Canal | Tube wells <br> (Govt.+Pvt.) | Other wells | Tanks, Lakes, <br> Ponds | Other <br> sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1960-61$ | 50.38 | 2.59 | 7.05 | 70.95 | 12.09 | 7.32 |
| $1961-62$ | 49.73 | 3.18 | 6.86 | 68.24 | 14.01 | 7.72 |
| $1962-63$ | 50.37 | 3.94 | 6.48 | 67.07 | 14.10 | 8.41 |
| $1963-64$ | 49.96 | 4.41 | 6.86 | 65.37 | 14.76 | 8.61 |
| $1964-65$ | 49.75 | 5.74 | 7.59 | 64.50 | 13.66 | 8.52 |
| $1965-66$ | 49.32 | 8.40 | 10.24 | 59.70 | 11.37 | 10.30 |
| $1966-67$ | 52.12 | 7.82 | 11.18 | 63.32 | 8.30 | 9.38 |
| $1967-68$ | 52.12 | 7.82 | 11.18 | 63.32 | 8.30 | 9.38 |
| $1968-69$ | 50.79 | 8.66 | 13.82 | 61.10 | 7.78 | 8.63 |
| $1969-70$ | 50.28 | 8.56 | 19.69 | 62.46 | 4.32 | 4.97 |
| $1970-71$ | 53.11 | 12.44 | 35.14 | 39.27 | 6.70 | 6.23 |
| $1971-72$ | 53.05 | 12.44 | 35.14 | 39.27 | 6.70 | 6.23 |
| $1972-73$ | 52.32 | 13.62 | 44.04 | 28.90 | 7.38 | 6.05 |
| $1973-74$ | 52.34 | 14.85 | 53.28 | 17.90 | 8.09 | 5.87 |
| $1974-75$ | 53.41 | 14.85 | 53.28 | 17.90 | 8.09 | 5.87 |
| $1975-76$ | 54.83 | 12.47 | 54.59 | 18.12 | 8.47 | 6.36 |
| $1976-77$ | 55.22 | 12.59 | 56.48 | 17.10 | 7.81 | 6.01 |
| $1977-78$ | 58.20 | 10.94 | 61.04 | 14.59 | 8.16 | 5.26 |
| $1978-79$ | 56.53 | 13.44 | 61.42 | 14.94 | 6.10 | 4.10 |
| $1979-80$ | 66.41 | 13.80 | 73.20 | 8.38 | 2.63 | 1.99 |
| $1980-81$ | 68.68 | 18.42 | 69.17 | 8.85 | 2.36 | 1.20 |
| $1981-82$ | 69.50 | 16.84 | 75.77 | 4.53 | 1.93 | 0.93 |
| $1982-83$ | 70.61 | 17.08 | 76.93 | 3.55 | 1.55 | 0.88 |
| $1983-84$ | 69.80 | 17.18 | 77.39 | 2.98 | 1.55 | 0.89 |
| $1984-85$ | 73.58 | 21.02 | 74.59 | 1.48 | 0.74 | 0.89 |
| $1985-86$ | 67.76 | 21.69 | 75.90 | 1.07 | 0.67 | 0.68 |
| $1986-87$ | 70.99 | 23.21 | 73.93 | 1.36 | 0.82 | 0.67 |
| $1987-88$ | 72.17 | 19.41 | 78.33 | 0.98 | 0.72 | 0.56 |
| $1988-89$ | 72.76 | 18.40 | 79.51 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.48 |
| $1989-90$ | NA | $N A$ | $N A$ | $N A$ | NA | NA |
| $1990-91$ | 77.91 | 16.52 | 79.62 | 3.15 | 0.42 | 0.29 |
| $1991-92$ | 75.80 | 17.14 | 80.86 | 1.60 | 0.25 | 0.15 |
| $1992-93$ | 76.94 | 17.23 | 81.91 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| $1993-94$ | 76.28 | 16.30 | 83.22 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| $1994-95$ | 82.26 | 16.64 | 83.06 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| $1995-96$ | 76.72 | 17.50 | 82.27 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| $1996-97$ | 84.70 | 16.92 | 82.97 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| $1997-98$ | 78.15 | 17.54 | 82.25 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| $1998-99$ | 87.47 | 16.22 | 83.64 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| $1999-2 \mathrm{~K}$ | 88.33 | 17.35 | 82.25 | 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.00 |
| $2000-01$ | 89.65 | 18.42 | 81.40 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.1
Block-wise Irrigated Area by Different Sources in Azamgarh District (in Percent)

| Blocks | Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { irrigated } \\ \text { area } \end{gathered}$ | Canal | Govt. tube wells | Pvt. tube wells | Other wells | Tanks, Lakes, Ponds | Other Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mahrajganj | 1976-77 | 36.40 | 2.52 | 15.01 | 34.36 | 45.80 | 2.31 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 51.21 | 16.26 | 74.92 |  | 6.75 | 0.54 | 1.49 |
|  | 1984-85 | 55.07 | 12.96 | 83.86 |  | 1.97 | 0.65 | 0.55 |
|  | 1991-92 | 67.73 | 1.68 | 8.13 | 89.31 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.37 |
|  | 1995-96 | 72.25 | 8.31 | 10.79 | 80.80 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 64.96 | 6.59 | 8.54 | 84.70 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
| Bilariyaganj | 1976-77 | 50.95 | 1.10 | 21.83 | 37.61 | 36.32 | 3.14 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 63.32 | 0.00 | 98.09 |  | 0.71 | 0.43 | 0.77 |
|  | 1984-85 | 77.31 | 8.86 | 89.43 |  | 0.83 | 0.57 | 0.31 |
|  | 1991-92 | 76.83 | 6.24 | 2.15 | 91.37 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
|  | 1995-96 | 78.77 | 4.78 | 2.71 | 92.44 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 78.86 | 4.94 | 3.99 | 90.65 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Harraiya | 1976-77 | 44.48 | 0.00 | 21.29 | 31.23 | 44.94 | 2.54 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 46.85 | 0.00 | 85.30 |  | 9.91 | 2.67 | 2.12 |
|  | 1984-85 | 56.61 | 5.81 | 88.86 |  | 2.08 | 1.36 | 1.89 |
|  | 1991-92 | 64.93 | 2.90 | 14.65 | 82.30 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.12 |
|  | 1995-96 | 60.51 | 6.68 | 10.06 | 83.18 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 65.46 | 7.34 | 7.62 | 84.12 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
| Ajmatgarha | 1976-77 | 48.97 | 1.02 | 21.53 | 36.22 | 36.72 | 4.52 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 68.65 | 7.34 | 87.16 |  | 3.16 | 0.69 | 1.65 |
|  | 1984-85 | 85.19 | 5.36 | 91.49 |  | 0.49 | 0.35 | 2.31 |
|  | 1991-92 | 79.94 | 8.86 | 6.30 | 83.23 | 1.43 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
|  | 1995-96 | 69.42 | 2.63 | 6.00 | 91.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 81.20 | 3.95 | 6.09 | 89.66 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Mirzapur | 1976-77 | 67.34 | 5.34 | 18.39 | 48.29 | 25.15 | 2.83 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 78.36 | 18.65 | 68.29 |  | 11.70 | 0.96 | 0.40 |
|  | 1984-85 | 76.20 | 27.94 | 68.97 |  | 1.71 | 1.02 | 0.36 |
|  | 1991-92 | 73.22 | 16.29 | 1.31 | 82.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
|  | 1995-96 | 67.62 | 22.67 | 4.72 | 73.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 99.42 | 15.59 | 0.97 | 83.35 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| Muhammad pur | 1976-77 | 60.08 | 2.43 | 9.84 | 48.23 | 36.94 | 2.56 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 61.72 | 14.28 | 62.36 |  | 18.43 | 3.81 | 1.12 |
|  | 1984-85 | 67.79 | 29.85 | 67.70 |  | 1.04 | 1.05 | 0.36 |
|  | 1991-92 | 60.42 | 29.25 | 0.98 | 65.55 | 1.85 | 1.08 | 1.29 |
|  | 1995-96 | 76.64 | 31.05 | 1.44 | 67.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 93.09 | 27.65 | 0.73 | 71.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Tahbarpur | 1976-77 | 64.04 | 20.90 | 2.76 | 12.17 | 59.91 | 4.26 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 77.39 | 20.85 | 57.59 |  | 20.10 | 1.19 | 0.20 |
|  | 1984-85 | 72.83 | 27.88 | 69.81 |  | 1.62 | 0.41 | 0.29 |
|  | 1991-92 | 70.10 | 33.05 | 0.64 | 66.11 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
|  | 1995-96 | 73.06 | 24.04 | 0.02 | 75.86 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 85.93 | 13.48 | 0.34 | 86.14 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Palhani | 1976-77 | 77.24 | 0.17 | 20.32 | 63.34 | 15.22 | 0.95 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 79.76 | 3.05 | 89.03 |  | 6.39 | 0.09 | 1.45 |
|  | 1984-85 | 79.32 | 9.06 | 85.52 |  | 3.37 | 0.70 | 1.35 |
|  | 1991-92 | 68.46 | 5.01 | 2.78 | 90.16 | 1.76 | 0.28 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 77.95 | 0.97 | 4.73 | 93.57 | 0.55 | 0.07 | 0.11 |
|  | 1999-2K | 84.76 | 1.92 | 2.85 | 95.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |


| Blocks | Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { irrigated } \\ \text { area } \end{gathered}$ | Canal | Govt. tube wells | Pvt. tube wells | Other wells | Tanks, Lakes, Ponds | Other Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rani Ki Srai | 1976-77 | 70.37 | 2.30 | 19.96 | 51.74 | 21.61 | 4.26 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 48.20 | 24.97 | 51.42 |  | 16.31 | 4.43 | 2.88 |
|  | 1984-85 | 81.20 | 21.51 | 74.90 |  | 1.84 | 0.70 | 1.04 |
|  | 1991-92 | 75.58 | 20.28 | 6.14 | 72.20 | 0.84 | 0.46 | 0.08 |
|  | 1995-96 | 69.61 | 12.81 | 7.90 | 77.12 | 2.06 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
|  | 1999-2K | 99.64 | 17.69 | 1.78 | 80.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sathiyav | 1976-77 | 67.91 | 0.00 | 53.29 | 44.25 | 0.80 | 1.66 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 77.66 | 0.00 | 97.96 |  | 0.52 | 0.98 | 0.54 |
|  | 1984-85 | 85.99 | 0.00 | 95.87 |  | 1.81 | 0.97 | 1.35 |
|  | 1991-92 | 77.57 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 99.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 79.66 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 99.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 93.04 | 0.19 | 3.52 | 96.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Jahanaganj | 1976-77 | 58.05 | 0.00 | 48.53 | 48.30 | 1.22 | 1.94 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 68.14 | 4.03 | 89.60 |  | 4.55 | 1.72 | 0.19 |
|  | 1984-85 | 80.06 | 20.57 | 74.36 |  | 2.26 | 0.41 | 2.41 |
|  | 1991-92 | 78.71 | 7.62 | 0.77 | 91.58 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 79.10 | 15.91 | 1.16 | 82.13 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 89.58 | 18.89 | 1.34 | 79.33 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Atrauliya | 1976-77 | 51.44 | 26.28 | 37.88 | 3.32 | 22.98 | 9.56 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 68.72 | 15.73 | 24.50 |  | 55.88 | 2.96 | 0.93 |
|  | 1984-85 | 77.80 | 21.34 | 76.55 |  | 0.24 | 0.14 | 1.73 |
|  | 1991-92 | 91.29 | 15.02 | 3.08 | 75.69 | 6.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 84.12 | 10.69 | 2.43 | 86.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 90.90 | 7.58 | 3.60 | 87.83 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| Koylasa | 1976-77 | 45.10 | 8.88 | 11.74 | 23.09 | 39.27 | 17.02 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 58.85 | 20.94 | 57.67 |  | 14.33 | 6.24 | 0.83 |
|  | 1984-85 | 67.03 | 21.77 | 72.82 |  | 2.60 | 0.59 | 2.23 |
|  | 1991-92 | 89.37 | 18.20 | 1.95 | 79.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 79.12 | 14.52 | 1.01 | 84.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 84.65 | 22.06 | 2.14 | 73.92 | 1.89 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Ahiraula | 1976-77 | 55.16 | 16.31 | 15.22 | 11.59 | 48.46 | 8.42 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 70.69 | 30.92 | 59.04 |  | 8.64 | 0.85 | 0.55 |
|  | 1984-85 | 73.32 | 19.37 | 71.58 |  | 1.11 | 0.12 | 7.82 |
|  | 1991-92 | 81.20 | 19.26 | 0.00 | 80.49 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 79.67 | 26.46 | 1.22 | 72.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 89.53 | 27.17 | 1.16 | 71.26 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Pawai | 1976-77 | 56.56 | 7.09 | 3.82 | 63.38 | 20.81 | 4.90 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 77.96 | 68.30 | 18.39 |  | 11.89 | 1.05 | 0.36 |
|  | 1984-85 | 67.99 | 33.38 | 62.21 |  | 2.52 | 0.26 | 1.64 |
|  | 1991-92 | 71.81 | 18.19 | 2.51 | 74.00 | 5.02 | 0.26 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 77.66 | 28.37 | 3.84 | 67.15 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 96.83 | 23.43 | 1.10 | 75.22 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
| Phulpur | 1976-77 | 53.76 | 27.18 | 1.81 | 25.24 | 21.20 | 24.57 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 89.31 | 14.10 | 69.58 |  | 12.73 | 2.93 | 0.66 |
|  | 1984-85 | 64.17 | 26.47 | 71.97 |  | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.82 |
|  | 1991-92 | 69.85 | 21.42 | 0.38 | 76.59 | 0.46 | 1.13 | 0.02 |
|  | 1995-96 | 75.09 | 13.04 | 0.00 | 86.63 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.32 |
|  | 1999-2K | 89.39 | 13.46 | 0.00 | 86.41 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.00 |


| Blocks | Years | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { irrigated } \\ \text { area } \end{gathered}$ | Canal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Govt. tube } \\ \text { wells } \end{gathered}$ | Pvt. tube wells | Other wells | Tanks, Lakes, Ponds | Other Sources |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martinganj | 1976-77 | 43.64 | 25.99 | 8.46 | 10.95 | 30.73 | 23.87 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 87.82 | 43.31 | 39.15 |  | 12.84 | 3.97 | 0.73 |
|  | 1984-85 | 52.65 | 41.48 | 57.62 |  | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.20 |
|  | 1991-92 | 75.62 | 49.62 | 0.96 | 47.95 | 0.53 | 0.69 | 0.26 |
|  | 1995-96 | 76.71 | 41.69 | 0.00 | 58.16 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 99.28 | 39.78 | 0.09 | 59.96 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.00 |
| Thekma | 1976-77 | 47.46 | 19.40 | 30.64 | 27.12 | 10.08 | 12.76 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 57.25 | 18.99 | 68.09 |  | 5.20 | 6.13 | 1.59 |
|  | 1984-85 | 74.20 | 28.85 | 70.20 |  | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.11 |
|  | 1991-92 | 77.02 | 24.61 | 0.86 | 73.91 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.15 |
|  | 1995-96 | 84.15 | 25.96 | 0.45 | 73.51 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
|  | 1999-2K | 84.51 | 24.24 | 0.40 | 74.33 | 0.73 | 0.29 | 0.00 |
| Lalganj | 1976-77 | 51.62 | 13.80 | 31.18 | 33.54 | 7.89 | 13.59 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 67.44 | 14.38 | 79.07 |  | 1.73 | 3.14 | 1.68 |
|  | 1984-85 | 76.32 | 25.81 | 68.56 |  | 1.92 | 0.94 | 2.76 |
|  | 1991-92 | 82.76 | 22.38 | 0.68 | 69.33 | 7.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 83.76 | 17.54 | 0.65 | 81.80 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | 1999-2K | 93.92 | 22.20 | 0.21 | 77.41 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 |
| Mehnagar | 1976-77 | 46.03 | 23.73 | 15.62 | 28.77 | 15.21 | 16.66 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 73.21 | 22.55 | 67.20 |  | 5.79 | 2.71 | 1.75 |
|  | 1984-85 | 77.92 | 27.43 | 66.64 |  | 1.74 | 1.11 | 3.08 |
|  | 1991-92 | 83.99 | 21.20 | 0.28 | 78.18 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.00 |
|  | 1995-96 | 89.00 | 26.73 | 0.21 | 72.98 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
|  | 1999-2K | 94.70 | 24.14 | 0.21 | 75.32 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.00 |
| Tarvan | 1976-77 | 47.05 | 3.79 | 25.15 | 33.65 | 13.23 | 24.19 | 0.00 |
|  | 1980-81 | 76.36 | 16.82 | 76.73 |  | 4.69 | 0.96 | 0.80 |
|  | 1984-85 | 73.71 | 27.64 | 64.90 |  | 0.68 | 0.42 | 6.37 |
|  | 1991-92 | 79.24 | 12.77 | 2.43 | 79.40 | 5.18 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
|  | 1995-96 | 74.34 | 15.89 | 0.49 | 83.38 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
|  | 1999-2K | 92.79 | 18.80 | 0.55 | 80.03 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.00 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

### 3.6 Cropping Pattern

The cropping pattern in the district shows that paddy and wheat are the major crops and pulses and sugarcane is also cultivated in some area (See table 3.3). Area under paddy cultivation increased from around 44.96 per cent of net sown area in 1960-61 to around 66.23 per cent during 1998-99. Area under wheat cultivation increased from 45.05 per cent in 1960-61 to 70.47 per cent of net sown area in 1999-2K. But area under different coarse grains declined during this period, for example area under barley declined from 24.87 per cent to 1.61 per cent, gram cultivation declined from 5.25 per cent to 2.18 per cent, and peas cultivation declined from 12.51 per cent to 3.34 per cent during 1960-61 to 1998.99. The area under pulses cultivation declined from 14.79 per cent in 1981-82 to 8.49 per cent in 1999-2K.

Whereas paddy is by and large a rain fed crop, others are highly irrigated crops (See table 3.3.1). If we analyse other factor namely productivity, we find that area under cultivation has increased in case of only those crops, whose productivity has also increased significantly. The productivity of paddy increased from 6.13 qt./ha in 1960-61 to 17.42 qt./ha in 1998-99. The productivity of wheat increased from 9.95 qt./ha in 1960-61 to 24.51 qt./ha in 1998-99. As regards
cash crops, the productivity of potato increased from 46.12 qt./ha in $1960-61$ to $220.48 \mathrm{qt} . / \mathrm{ha}$ in 1998-99.

Thus, farmers have shifted to crops, which are highly irrigated, fertilizer use is higher on them and whose productivity is also comparatively very high.

We need to make efforts to increase production of more pluses, oilseeds and spices. Cropping rotation also needs to be changed. Following steps are imperative to achieve it.
(a) More thrust be given for developing high yielding varieties of pulses, oil seeds and spices.
(b) Rain fed areas should be encouraged to cultivate these crops.
(c) Orchards, fallow land and land under social forestry could be used for growing such crops.
(d) Processing industries of oilseeds and spices be promoted at local level with support for technology up gradation, packaging and market access facilities.

## Table-3.3(a)

Area Under Major Crops and Productivity (as percentage of net sown area) (Qt./Hectare) in Azamgarh District

| Crops | Area/ Productivity | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1960- \\ 61 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1965- \\ 66 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1970- \\ 71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1975- \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1980- \\ 81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1985- \\ 86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1990- \\ 91 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1994- } \\ 95 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1998- } \\ 99 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | Area | 44.96 | 45.71 | 46.60 | 47.88 | 54.44 | 58.66 | 59.88 | 62.67 | 65.28 |
|  | Productivity | 6.13 | 5.25 | 7.89 | 6.50 | 8.78 | 13.15 | 17.89 | 18.27 | 17.42 |
| Maize | Area | 4.00 | 3.78 | 5.13 | 5.49 | 4.47 | 3.45 | 3.44 | 2.98 | 2.64 |
|  | Productivity | 8.27 | 11.43 | 8.17 | 6.30 | 7.47 | 7.75 | 3.15 | 11.45 | 7.34 |
| Wheat | Area | 5.05 | 5.51 | 11.83 | 24.14 | 47.54 | 52.95 | 65.20 | 66.33 | 69.37 |
|  | Productivity | 9.95 | 8.54 | 12.24 | 14.07 | 15.29 | 18.28 | 22.36 | 22.47 | 24.51 |
| Barley | Area | 24.87 | 23.68 | 22.86 | 16.34 | 6.45 | 4.11 | 2.37 |  | 1.61 |
|  | Productivity | 12.04 | 12.90 | 11.94 | 12.46 | 12.91 | 14.54 | 20.34 |  | 14.94 |
| Gram | Area | 5.25 | 5.04 | 4.36 | 5.50 | 5.95 | 5.42 | 4.32 | 3.36 | 2.18 |
|  | Productivity | 4.46 | 5.40 | 6.44 | 7.28 | 8.55 | 9.39 | 12.99 | 8.30 | 11.80 |
| Peas | Area | 12.51 | 12.33 | 10.99 | 6.24 | 2.64 | 2.89 | 3.18 |  | 3.34 |
|  | Productivity | 10.47 | 9.23 | 9.59 | 8.71 | 7.04 | 9.74 | 12.22 |  | 12.42 |
| Arhar | Area | 5.28 | 5.60 | 5.70 | 5.83 | 5.46 | 4.83 | 4.77 |  | 3.17 |
|  | Productivity | 3.66 | 8.02 | 7.00 | 7.74 | 6.71 | 6.02 | 7.35 |  | 9.22 |
| Potato | Area | 0.71 | 0.84 | 0.98 | 1.08 | 1.36 | 1.50 | 1.80 |  | 1.86 |
|  | Productivity | 46.12 | 93.40 | 93.27 | 134.18 | 125.01 | 91.90 | 155.52 |  | 220.48 |
| Sugarc ane | Area | 9.08 | 8.92 | 8.96 | 9.14 | 9.71 | 8.87 | 9.30 |  | 8.13 |
|  | Productivity | 433.08 | 318.19 | 309.78 | 497.25 | 331.92 | 390.00 | 398.32 |  | 438.64 |

Block wise analysis of cropping pattern confirms this trend, except that in some blocks pulses and sugarcane was also grown at significant level.

The blocks where area under pulse cultivation as percentage of net sown area was above 10 per cent are Azmatgarh (10.19 per cent), Mirzapur (11.92 per cent), Tahbarpur (10.21 per cent), Palhani ( 13.15 per cent) and Rani-Ki Sarai (12.28 per cent).

Table 3.3(b)
Cropping Pattern in Azamgarh District, Area under Different Crops as Percentage of Net Sown Area

|  | Paddy |  | Wheat |  | Barley |  | Pulses |  | Oil Seed |  | Sugarcane |  | Potato |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1976-77 | 9.03 | 9.00 | 22.84 | 14.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980-81 | 54.45 | 2.52 | 47.54 | 95.82 | 6.46 | 87.96 | 0.59 | 68.05 | 0.17 | 43.52 | 8.83 | 92.70 | 1.36 | 98.85 |
| 1981-82 | 55.77 | 2.11 | 48.98 | 97.15 | 5.49 | 86.46 | 14.79 | 55.60 | 0.16 | 46.53 | 9.67 | 94.81 | 1.46 | 99.47 |
| 1982-83 | 54.47 | 8.51 | 50.97 | 97.39 | 5.06 | 85.92 | 14.41 | 53.49 | 0.16 | 48.25 | 9.92 | 94.34 | 1.52 | 99.50 |
| 1983-84 | 54.24 | 8.51 | 50.96 | 97.20 | 4.97 | 86.22 | 14.31 | 53.55 | 0.16 | 49.40 | 9.88 | 94.34 | 1.52 | 99.54 |
| 1984-85 | 56.47 | 9.59 | 52.87 | 96.74 | 4.26 | 89.86 | 13.21 | 54.16 | 0.18 | 56.33 | 9.27 | 94.02 | 1.48 | 98.26 |
| 1991-92 | 63.46 | 9.81 | 63.76 | 98.89 | 2.07 | 93.85 | 11.72 | 57.13 | 0.24 | 90.50 | 9.78 | 96.50 | 1.78 | 99.67 |
| 1992-93 | 64.38 | 13.25 | 64.80 | 99.71 | 2.03 | 96.44 | 11.14 | 60.44 | 0.25 | 87.22 | 9.35 | 96.29 | 1.87 | 99.91 |
| 1993-94 | 56.97 | 33.95 | 64.09 | 98.63 | 1.81 | 94.90 | 11.63 | 55.51 | 0.33 | 83.73 | 8.95 | 97.08 | 1.97 | 99.79 |
| 1994-95 | 62.68 | 28.17 | 66.31 | 99.10 | 1.65 | 96.86 | 10.96 | 55.36 | 0.27 | 81.62 | 9.14 | 96.72 | 1.92 | 99.78 |
| 1995-96 | 80.55 | 42.91 | 85.03 | 98.86 | 2.20 | 92.48 | 13.72 | 50.47 | 0.46 | 75.50 | 12.22 | 96.28 | 2.46 | 99.81 |
| 1997-98 | 65.79 | 44.60 | 67.52 | 99.33 | 1.57 | 93.35 | 9.20 | 52.76 | 0.35 | 66.17 | 8.98 | 99.74 | 1.82 | 99.75 |
| 1998-99 | 65.28 | 36.16 | 69.77 | 99.43 | 1.61 | 93.03 | 9.01 | 55.72 | 0.49 | 79.79 | 8.14 | 97.97 | 1.86 | 99.88 |
| 1999-2K | 66.23 | 36.87 | 70.47 | 99.40 | 1.39 | 93.95 | 8.49 | 54.85 | 0.40 | 76.66 | 7.54 | 97.59 | 1.87 | 99.95 |

Table 3.3.1
Block-wise Cropping Pattern in Azamgarh District, (in Percent)

| Blocks |  | Paddy |  | Wheat |  | Barley |  | Pulses |  | Oil Seed |  | Sugarcane |  | Potato |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Years | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | Irrigat ed |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Mahrajganj | 1976-77 | 5.74 | 4.21 | 2.60 | 98.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 46.30 | 1.60 | 49.03 | 70.34 | 8.46 | 61.1 | 14.91 | 36.26 | 0.25 | 65.12 | 9.63 | 60.10 | 1.54 | 87.50 |
|  | 1984-85 | 45.34 | 0.67 | 50.31 | 74.66 | 5.44 | 70.14 | 11.50 | 46.26 | 0.17 | 10.34 | 8.76 | 61.76 | 1.34 | 93.30 |
|  | 1991-92 | 56.44 | 0.48 | 62.31 | 92.77 | 2.50 | 81.38 | 9.14 | 49.84 | 0.13 | 100.0 | 10.27 | 54.53 | 1.77 | 97.31 |
|  | 1995-96 | 67.27 | 21.33 | 76.35 | 99.78 | 1.99 | 84.46 | 9.45 | 39.90 | 0.36 | 75.47 | 12.06 | 97.21 | 1.48 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 60.50 | 26.95 | 64.94 | 99.44 | 1.61 | 89.55 | 5.77 | 48.59 | 0.20 | 82.35 | 8.83 | 86.83 | 1.33 | 100.0 |
| Bilariyagan | 1976-77 | 6.41 | 4.74 | 3.31 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 49.27 | 1.08 | 46.43 | 100.0 | 8.40 | 99.56 | 17.30 | 58.98 | 0.12 | 63.16 | 9.01 | 100.0 | 1.55 | 99.60 |
|  | 1984-85 | 54.63 | 7.91 | 55.06 | 100.0 | 3.60 | 100.0 | 15.43 | 53.20 | 0.06 | 44.44 | 9.41 | 100.0 | 1.52 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 63.21 | 10.15 | 66.21 | 99.98 | 1.81 | 97.64 | 11.08 | 54.63 | 0.21 | 82.86 | 10.01 | 100.0 | 1.29 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 64.51 | 32.17 | 71.62 | 99.89 | 1.71 | 98.90 | 10.18 | 49.82 | 0.29 | 67.39 | 11.47 | 98.47 | 1.35 | 94.88 |
|  | 1999-2K | 67.35 | 26.18 | 73.12 | 99.48 | 1.1 | 93.41 | 8.34 | 36.26 | 0.35 | 68.42 | 6.39 | 99.62 | 1.33 | 100.0 |
| Harraiya | 1976-77 | 5.39 | 5.87 | 2.85 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 43.58 | 0.71 | 47.48 | 67.37 | 9.33 | 47.32 | 23.13 | 25.90 | 0.21 | 33.33 | 10.36 | 64.12 | 0.96 | 92.53 |
|  | 1984-85 | 50.37 | 5.85 | 57.23 | 65.35 | 7.39 | 58.33 | 12.80 | 39.01 | 0.25 | 15.56 | 11.52 | 75.10 | 0.80 | 75.86 |
|  | 1991-92 | 53.80 | 12.24 | 63.66 | 89.70 | 3.03 | 61.98 | 8.46 | 45.64 | 0.11 | 25.00 | 9.75 | 84.24 | 0.79 | 93.10 |
|  | 1995-96 | 52.57 | 47.85 | 60.52 | 99.77 | 1.70 | 87.03 | 6.99 | 37.78 | 0.17 | 64.52 | 9.96 | 97.30 | 0.78 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 42.50 | 72.93 | 57.54 | 99.42 | 1.68 | 96.46 | 6.09 | 54.62 | 0.35 | 26.15 | 8.31 | 87.83 | 1.15 | 100.0 |
| Ajmatgarh a | 1976-77 | 5.18 | 2.27 | 2.98 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 48.66 | 4.67 | 43.70 | 94.78 | 4.67 | 97.95 | 16.95 | 52.49 | 0.15 | 36.00 | 9.50 | 96.54 | 1.01 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 54.26 | 25.20 | 57.42 | 95.16 | 3.75 | 94.49 | 15.80 | 43.75 | 0.25 | 45.00 | 10.54 | 97.92 | 1.14 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 61.62 | 52.24 | 62.36 | 99.25 | 2.00 | 99.35 | 15.43 | 44.62 | 0.30 | 82.61 | 18.31 | 100.0 | 1.17 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 56.13 | 75.49 | 62.13 | 98.71 | 2.06 | 92.26 | 10.23 | 53.47 | 0.77 | 24.80 | 11.24 | 97.17 | 2.02 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 65.34 | 59.84 | 71.46 | 99.69 | 1.56 | 95.65 | 10.19 | 35.82 | 1.00 | 38.78 | 8.62 | 99.53 | 1.97 | 100.0 |
| Mirzapur | 1976-77 | 10.26 | 0.00 | 29.28 | 99.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 46.02 | 0.04 | 47.03 | 99.30 | 7.80 | 91.10 | 16.31 | 47.60 | 0.06 | 87.50 | 9.91 | 100.0 | 1.31 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 50.49 | 10.90 | 48.88 | 99.54 | 6.28 | 94.25 | 15.82 | 46.25 | 0.08 | 90.00 | 9.89 | 99.92 | 1.43 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 39.74 | 0.35 | 57.26 | 99.94 | 2.92 | 98.34 | 16.78 | 52.91 | 0.30 | 100.0 | 9.28 | 100.0 | 2.13 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 49.62 | 27.36 | 63.48 | 99.29 | 2.35 | 100.0 | 16.54 | 45.53 | 0.50 | 72.88 | 9.75 | 98.19 | 2.22 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 57.69 | 33.74 | 65.88 | 99.13 | 1.78 | 97.55 | 11.92 | 56.08 | 0.49 | 94.64 | 9.63 | 99.64 | 2.63 | 100.0 |
| Muhamma dpur | 1976-77 | 14.54 | 89.60 | 29.66 | 99.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 60.21 | 0.19 | 41.10 | 99.56 | 3.11 | 94.15 | 8.91 | 55.17 | 0.09 | 92.86 | 6.50 | 100.0 | 0.67 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 56.73 | 8.17 | 51.28 | 99.95 | 2.93 | 97.75 | 12.71 | 56.49 | 0.12 | 63.16 | 6.78 | 100.0 | 1.18 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 48.32 | 2.84 | 46.41 | 100.0 | 2.14 | 100.0 | 11.29 | 59.79 | 0.17 | 100.0 | 6.89 | 100.0 | 1.49 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 66.38 | 38.74 | 54.62 | 99.48 | 2.33 | 93.58 | 11.81 | 55.29 | 0.37 | 88.46 | 6.76 | 99.37 | 1.98 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 65.33 | 30.51 | 67.46 | 99.39 | 1.19 | 90.12 | 7.90 | 71.04 | 0.53 | 93.51 | 5.74 | 99.64 | 1.69 | 100.0 |
| Tahbarpur | 1976-77 | 12.88 | 0.00 | 7.81 | 99.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 51.50 | 1.13 | 41.64 | 99.31 | 12.77 | 94.64 | 20.02 | 49.06 | 0.06 | 37.50 | 9.39 | 100.0 | 1.89 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 49.40 | 0.80 | 44.95 | 99.22 | 9.76 | 98.16 | 19.03 | 51.85 | 0.09 | 66.67 | 8.63 | 100.0 | 1.64 | 97.37 |
|  | 1991-92 | 49.49 | 12.71 | 52.38 | 99.63 | 5.61 | 98.07 | 15.40 | 58.07 | 0.03 | 100.0 | 11.70 | 100.0 | 2.11 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 55.06 | 31.08 | 52.62 | 96.64 | 3.22 | 91.14 | 14.28 | 54.94 | 0.12 | 100.0 | 13.67 | 97.22 | 1.79 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 57.30 | 25.58 | 61.70 | 99.28 | 2.56 | 94.08 | 10.21 | 54.64 | 0.28 | 83.78 | 10.39 | 99.56 | 2.02 | 100.0 |
| Palhani | 1976-77 | 19.98 | 0.00 | 32.16 | 99.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 43.42 | 0.99 | 52.09 | 98.64 | 9.59 | 84.65 | 18.88 | 50.08 | 0.14 | 64.29 | 9.24 | 100.0 | 1.36 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 43.50 | 4.68 | 52.90 | 98.25 | 9.72 | 88.69 | 20.59 | 90.92 | 0.12 | 75.00 | 9.76 | 100.0 | 1.52 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 41.45 | 12.30 | 53.10 | 99.31 | 4.66 | 94.07 | 15.55 | 49.57 | 0.08 | 100.0 | 10.70 | 100.0 | 2.13 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 47.88 | 42.23 | 53.44 | 99.96 | 4.40 | 92.01 | 15.40 | 40.58 | 0.13 | 100.0 | 12.16 | 97.02 | 2.88 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 44.76 | 60.16 | 53.55 | 98.95 | 2.30 | 88.00 | 13.15 | 54.76 | 0.34 | 56.76 | 8.94 | 100.0 | 2.63 | 100.0 |

Contd...

| Blocks |  | Paddy |  | Wheat |  | Barley |  | Pulses |  | Oil Seed |  | Sugarcane |  | Potato |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Years | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array}$ | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | Irrigat ed |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rani Ki } \\ & \text { Srai } \end{aligned}$ | 1976-77 | 11.59 | 24.61 | 48.90 | 99.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 52.96 | 0.02 | 47.83 | 98.15 | 8.93 | 88.74 | 18.46 | 57.52 | 0.04 | 75.00 | 10.08 | 100.0 | 1.67 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 53.72 | 11.73 | 47.72 | 98.93 | 9.09 | 84.36 | 18.43 | 57.52 | 0.05 | 60.00 | 10.20 | 100.0 | 1.79 | 97.40 |
|  | 1991-92 | 54.16 | 7.17 | 58.20 | 99.17 | 4.97 | 93.52 | 16.75 | 56.64 | 0.23 | 75.00 | 11.77 | 100.0 | 2.18 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 52.68 | 68.58 | 67.38 | 99.48 | 3.35 | 94.29 | 16.03 | 50.92 | 0.17 | 100.0 | 14.81 | 96.77 | 2.50 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 61.03 | 44.46 | 66.84 | 99.17 | 3.56 | 94.44 | 12.28 | 61.40 | 0.48 | 65.31 | 9.08 | 99.67 | 2.61 | 100.0 |
| Sathiyav | 1976-77 | 15.64 | 0.00 | 40.89 | 83.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 52.01 | 0.00 | 53.62 | 99.52 | 7.11 | 92.36 | 15.38 | 55.08 | 0.04 | 83.33 | 9.19 | 100.0 | 1.06 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 55.04 | 4.33 | 61.37 | 99.89 | 4.16 | 97.78 | 14.43 | 55.86 | 0.15 | 68.42 | 11.94 | 100.0 | 1.12 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 63.51 | 0.00 | 66.36 | 99 | 2.73 | 99.44 | 11.54 | 55.12 | 0.02 | 66.67 | 11.75 | 100.0 | 1.37 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 26.50 | 44.09 | 72.71 | 98.47 | 2.98 | 94.36 | 9.23 | 45.99 | 0.10 | 100.0 | 8.70 | 96.66 | 1.15 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 66.80 | 23.02 | 74.21 | 99.25 | 1.96 | 91.94 | 9.00 | 56.05 | 0.30 | 63.16 | 8.28 | 99.62 | 2.32 | 100.0 |
| Jahanagan | 1976-77 | 17.25 |  | 25.45 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 66.46 | 0.24 | 34.39 | 99.90 | 5.33 | 99.46 | 11.65 | 58.16 | 0.04 | 83.33 | 8.71 | 100.0 | 0.89 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 69.24 | 5.12 | 57.84 | 100.0 | 3.28 | 100.0 | 11.74 | 68.59 | 0.22 | 87.10 | 8.69 | 99.83 | 1.38 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 77.99 | 0.00 | 67.40 | 100. | 2.38 | 99.12 | 8.86 | 76.97 | 0.04 | 100.0 | 7.41 | 100.0 | 1.34 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 74.47 | 5.18 | 69.26 | 98.98 | 2.23 | 95.57 | 9.46 | 65.50 | 0.12 | 88.24 | 8.30 | 94.48 | 2.01 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 80.65 | 16.57 | 79.63 | 99.47 | 1.9 | 92.81 | 7.47 | 83.24 | 0.18 | 84.00 | 5.71 | 99.63 | 2.22 | 100.0 |
| Atrauliya | 1976-77 | 0.00 |  | 29.36 | 99.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 53.68 | 0.28 | 41.85 | 99.96 | 6.78 | 99.88 | 16.86 | 55.57 | 0.25 | 93.75 | 7.82 | 100.0 | 1.84 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 59.73 | 7.62 | 53.65 | 100 | 6.0 | 100.0 | 18.75 | 53.28 | 0.20 | 83.33 | 9.98 | 100.0 | 2.54 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 34.63 | 34.15 | 74.61 | 100.0 | 2.05 | 100.0 | 19.12 | 52.85 | 0.61 | 100.0 | 11.26 | 100.0 | 2.40 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 61.73 | 96.09 | 77.72 | 99.52 | 0.81 | 100.0 | 12.03 | 52.02 | 0.60 | 100.0 | 9.09 | 95.54 | 2.19 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 67.35 | 58.92 | 67.92 | 99.25 | 0.98 | 91.74 | 9.05 | 46.24 | 0.65 | 98.75 | 8.93 | 99.73 | 1.88 | 100.0 |
| Koylasa | 1976-77 | 0.26 |  | 30.17 | 99.97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 51.59 |  | 50.59 | 84.22 | 7.12 | 100.0 | 17.99 | 58.00 | 0.27 | 52.94 | 8.84 | 100.0 | 2.33 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 50.15 | 2.70 | 43.05 | 99.48 | 5.69 | 99.87 | 12.72 | 53.95 | 0.22 | 63.33 | 8.64 | 100.0 | 2.60 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 77.21 | 20.11 | 73.12 | 100.0 | 2.70 | 100.0 | 14.28 | 60.39 | 0.58 | 93.22 | 11.08 | 100.0 | 3.08 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 58.80 | 95.70 | 54.25 | 98.29 | 1.98 | 100.0 | 9.52 | 54.90 | 0.42 | 92.73 | 10.11 | 96.29 | 2.75 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 65.26 | 58.90 | 67.15 | 99.27 | 1.29 | 93.79 | 8.98 | 51.11 | 0.45 | 87.50 | 9.16 | 99.48 | 2.23 | 100.0 |
| Ahiraula | 1976-77 |  |  | 24.24 | 99.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 47.99 | 0.39 | 39.02 | 99.18 | 9.16 | 87.59 | 11.77 | 49.53 | 0.25 | 71.05 | 9.02 | 99.71 | 1.89 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 54.20 | 7.03 | 43.54 | 98.92 | 6.28 | 100.0 | 17.96 | 56.04 | 0.21 | 90.00 | 11.31 | 100.0 | 2.18 | 97.10 |
|  | 1991-92 | 63.89 | 17.00 | 66.61 | 99.79 | 1.78 | 95.30 | 12.24 | 54.35 | 0.50 | 100.0 | 13.14 | 100.0 | 2.11 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 60.75 | 65.18 | 69.83 | 89.44 | 1.52 | 100.0 | 10.82 | 49.50 | 0.30 | 85.37 | 11.89 | 96.97 | 2.26 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 76.43 | 45.79 | 72.74 | 99.38 | 1.03 | 92.86 | 9.37 | 42.73 | 0.57 | 76.62 | 10.30 | 99.64 | 1.96 | 100.0 |
| Pawai | 1976-77 | 0.22 |  | 23.72 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 43.21 | 0.62 | 41.57 | 99.86 | 7.76 | 97.80 | 18.17 | 50.05 | 0.14 | 72.73 | 9.88 | 100.0 | 1.95 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 44.29 | 0.07 | 63.71 | 69.35 | 4.60 | 100.0 | 14.97 | 48.02 | 0.25 | 100.0 | 10.61 | 100.0 | 2.67 | 98.78 |
|  | 1991-92 | 62.39 | 1.52 | 60.41 | 99.98 | 0.86 | 100.0 | 11.10 | 53.07 | 0.44 | 92.42 | 12.21 | 100.0 | 2.17 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 62.98 | 35.54 | 67.56 | 98.97 | 1.13 | 88.75 | 11.77 | 44.67 | 0.72 | 79.21 | 8.36 | 95.34 | 2.37 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 66.71 | 53.05 | 72.20 | 99.43 | 0.60 | 88.51 | 9.00 | 49.89 | 0.52 | 82.89 | 9.69 | 99.57 | 2.07 | 100.0 |
| Phulpur | 1976-77 | 0.36 |  | 25.06 | 99.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 41.60 |  | 40.46 | 99.96 | 6.22 | 99.88 | 16.54 | 48.14 | 0.11 | 66.67 | 7.73 | 100.0 | 1.91 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 46.33 | 0.14 | 44.67 | 99.77 | 3.57 | 100.0 | 13.69 | 36.78 | 0.13 | 66.67 | 8.65 | 100.0 | 2.09 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 54.74 | 1.79 | 58.24 | 99.95 | 0.39 | 100.0 | 9.31 | 50.35 | 0.59 | 84.34 | 8.33 | 100.0 | 2.39 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 46.90 | 56.60 | 61.65 | 98.54 | 0.35 | 100.0 | 9.36 | 44.85 | 0.99 | 69.29 | 9.09 | 95.90 | 2.25 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 55.39 | 28.96 | 69.71 | 99.55 | 0.74 | 89.69 | 9.69 | 43.84 | 0.66 | 95.40 | 6.19 | 99.75 | 2.26 | 100.0 |
| Martinganj | 1976-77 |  |  | 20.86 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 57.76 |  | 32.15 | 100.0 | 6.19 | 100.0 | 8.39 | 42.58 | 0.13 | 52.17 | 5.31 | 100.0 | 1.56 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 62.73 |  | 32.61 | 99.72 | 5.20 | 99.89 | 10.54 | 51.67 | 0.09 | 93.33 | 6.28 | 100.0 | 1.26 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 66.80 | 5.56 | 64.97 | 100.0 | 0.80 | 100.0 | 9.58 | 58.25 | 0.36 | 95.00 | 7.44 | 100.0 | 1.85 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 62.18 | 38.36 | 64.02 | 99.72 | 0.60 | 100.0 | 8.77 | 49.64 | 0.33 | 86.21 | 6.39 | 95.81 | 1.68 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 67.73 | 40.00 | 71.77 | 99.50 | 0.62 | 97.12 | 6.83 | 63.51 | 0.45 | 93.42 | 5.10 | 99.42 | 1.50 | 100.0 |

Contd...

| Blocks | Paddy | Wheat | Barley | Pulses | Oil Seed | Sugarcane | Potato |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Years | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{gathered}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array}$ | Total | Irrigat ed | Total | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Irrigat } \\ \text { ed } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Thekma | 1976-77 | 1.25 |  | 12.00 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 47.75 | 2.54 | 50.86 | 70.92 | 2.96 | 99.66 | 7.71 | 56.01 | 0.15 | 40.00 | 5.55 | 99.72 | 1.11 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 57.72 | 14.02 | 49.38 | 99.91 | 1.27 | 100.0 | 9.59 | 58.45 | 0.10 | 94.12 | 7.88 | 100.0 | 1.31 | 97.67 |
|  | 1991-92 | 72.02 | 8.08 | 67.15 | 100.0 | 0.56 | 100.0 | 10.11 | 57.99 | 0.23 | 100.0 | 7.77 | 100.0 | 1.62 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 64.50 | 25.26 | 66.75 | 99.97 | 0.64 | 87.38 | 9.30 | 48.93 | 0.34 | 100.0 | 7.86 | 95.71 | 1.57 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 72.87 | 25.97 | 74.72 | 99.51 | 0.75 | 97.52 | 7.62 | 60.86 | 0.27 | 86.36 | 6.27 | 99.70 | 1.61 | 100.0 |
| Lalganj | 1976-77 | 7.12 |  | 26.20 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 59.66 | 1.17 | 55.48 | 99.94 | 2.48 | 99.13 | 9.26 | 66.65 | 0.15 | 81.48 | 6.30 | 100.0 | 1.40 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 66.84 | 1.85 | 59.99 | 99.99 | 1.30 | 91.60 | 9.81 | 70.28 | 0.21 | 97.37 | 7.15 | 100.0 | 1.52 | 98.19 |
|  | 1991-92 | 71.55 | 4.59 | 71.33 | 100.0 | 0.45 | 100.0 | 9.19 | 67.94 | 0.21 | 94.87 | 6.18 | 100.0 | 1.66 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 66.50 | 25.75 | 65.14 | 99.90 | 0.42 | 100.0 | 10.22 | 57.29 | 0.32 | 87.72 | 6.68 | 95.57 | 1.32 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 76.27 | 24.42 | 78.12 | 99.28 | 0.72 | 96.90 | 7.38 | 65.58 | 0.35 | 100.0 | 4.46 | 99.00 | 1.70 | 100.0 |
| Mehnagar | 1976-77 | 3.19 |  | 20.49 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 63.40 | 18.63 | 50.73 | 100.0 | 4.81 | 100.0 | 9.66 | 70.94 | 0.04 | 100.0 | 7.88 | 78.05 | 1.53 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 64.46 | 11.31 | 53.34 | 100.0 | 2.40 | 100.0 | 11.43 | 71.36 | 0.12 | 100.0 | 7.99 | 100.0 | 1.89 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 80.43 | 17.14 | 76.82 | 94.95 | 1.16 | 100.0 | 9.15 | 77.45 | 0.05 | 100.0 | 7.45 | 100.0 | 1.51 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 78.29 | 60.85 | 67.49 | 99.88 | 1.11 | 75.00 | 9.41 | 60.01 | 0.12 | 100.0 | 7.54 | 85.00 | 1.66 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 80.29 | 28.79 | 74.24 | 99.66 | 1.08 | 100.0 | 6.38 | 71.66 | 0.14 | 100.0 | 4.68 | 99.23 | 1.56 | 100.0 |
| Tarvan | 1976-77 | 5.89 |  | 17.47 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1980-81 | 68.50 | 5.54 | 43.59 | 99.99 | 5.29 | 97.24 | 15.55 | 72.00 | 0.10 | 94.12 | 8.84 | 100.0 | 1.69 | 100.0 |
|  | 1984-85 | 60.68 | 3.03 | 56.25 | 99.90 | 1.92 | 100.0 | 11.31 | 65.04 | 0.15 | 100.0 | 8.15 | 100.0 | 1.56 | 100.0 |
|  | 1991-92 | 73.06 | 3.36 | 66.39 | 99.98 | 1.30 | 100.0 | 11.39 | 65.80 | 0.10 | 65.00 | 7.68 | 100.0 | 1.91 | 100.0 |
|  | 1995-96 | 67.76 | 29.35 | 65.24 | 99.79 | 1.05 | 80.10 | 8.00 | 41.09 | 0.30 | 52.54 | 5.84 | 100.0 | 2.44 | 100.0 |
|  | 1999-2K | 71.89 | 27.95 | 76.26 | 99.41 | 1.26 | 97.56 | 6.90 | 54.05 | 0.13 | 80.77 | 6.91 | 92.58 | 1.57 | 99.02 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

### 3.7 Fertilizer Use

Use of fertilizer had been increasing in all the blocks. But their balanced and proportionate application has not been reported (See table 3.4).

There is need to adopt following strategy to combat this menace:
(a) Lay guidelines for each gram-panchayat the proportion of fertilizer which is required to be applied on the basis of soil-testing.
(b) Farmers meeting be organised at village level before every cropping season to make them aware about such guidelines.
(c) Farmers be also informed about hazardous impact of non-proportionate application of urea.
(d) Government functionaries, specially at the gram-panchayat level be sensitized regarding these aspects.

Table 3.4.1

## Use of Fertiliser in Azamgarh District (in MT)

| Years | Nitrogen | Phosphate | Potash | Total Fertilizer |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1980-81$ |  |  |  |  |
| $1981-82$ | 17896 | 5783 | 2158 | 25837 |
| $1982-83$ | 18689 | 5639 | 2657 | 26985 |
| $1983-84$ | 23510 | 5592 | 3878 | 28280 |
| $1988-89$ | 25335 | 7909 | 2681 | 34184 |
| $1992-93$ | 33024 | 7517 | 2135 | 34987 |
| $1993-94$ | 27438 | 6225 | 764 | 41421 |
| $1994-95$ | 27856 | 8369 | 394 | 31057 |
| $1995-96$ | 29020 | 5117 | 1233 | 37458 |
| $1997-98$ | 37767 | 10676 | 979 | 35116 |
| $1998-99$ | 35626 | 9111 | 1536 | 49979 |
| $1999-2 K$ | 32927 | 9770 | 1570 | 46453 |

Table 3.4.2
Block-wise Use of Fertiliser in Azamgarh District (in MT)

|  | Years | Nitrogen | Phosphate | Potash | Total Fertilizer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blocks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mahrajganj | 1983-84 | 531 | 117 | 95 | 743 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1169 | 330 | 98 | 1570 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1363 | 224 | 48 | 1635 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1612 | 478 | 76 | 2166 |
| Bilariyaganj | 1983-84 | 588 | 160 | 54 | 802 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1349 | 421 | 93 | 1863 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1343 | 224 | 48 | 1615 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1621 | 488 | 74 | 2183 |
| Harraiya | 1983-84 | 539 | 130 | 89 | 758 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1306 | 445 | 81 | 1832 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1343 | 224 | 48 | 1615 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1614 | 499 | 78 | 2191 |
| Ajmatgarha | 1983-84 | 846 | 250 | 87 | 1183 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1284 | 396 | 88 | 1768 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1343 | 223 | 48 | 1614 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1610 | 499 | 73 | 2182 |
| Mirzapur | 1983-84 | 244 | 164 | 108 | 516 |
|  | 1988-89 | 869 | 371 | 82 | 1322 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1336 | 220 | 45 | 1601 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1463 | 485 | 78 | 2026 |
| Muhammadpur | 1983-84 | 322 | 166 | 80 | 568 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1141 | 240 | 81 | 1462 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 45 | 1603 |


|  | $1999-2 \mathrm{~K}$ | 1618 | 490 | 76 | 2184 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tahbarpur | $1983-84$ | 785 | 260 | 105 | 1150 |
|  | $1988-89$ | 779 | 158 | 83 | 1020 |
|  | $1995-96$ | 1337 | 220 | 45 | 1602 |
|  | $1999-2 \mathrm{~K}$ | 1510 | 487 | 79 | 2076 |
|  | $1983-84$ | 1333 | 694 | 114 | 2141 |
|  | $1988-89$ | 1321 | 425 | 159 | 1905 |
|  | $1995-96$ | 1473 | 340 | 53 | 1866 |
|  | $1999-2 \mathrm{~K}$ | 1312 | 375 | 69 | 1756 |

Contd...

|  | Years | Nitrogen | Phosphate | Potash | Total Fertilizer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blocks |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rani Ki Srai | 1983-84 | 921 | 310 | 106 | 1137 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1047 | 402 | 76 | 1525 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 219 | 48 | 1605 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1321 | 376 | 65 | 1762 |
| Sathiyav | 1983-84 | 1152 | 319 | 102 | 1573 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1169 | 320 | 81 | 1570 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1463 | 223 | 44 | 1730 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1509 | 451 | 75 | 2035 |
| Jahanaganj | 1983-84 | 766 | 237 | 102 | 1105 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1555 | 371 | 74 | 2000 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 45 | 1603 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1608 | 450 | 73 | 2131 |
| Atrauliya | 1983-84 | 280 | 154 | 64 | 498 |
|  | 1988-89 | 915 | 323 | 114 | 1332 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1433 | 840 | 52 | 1625 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1510 | 490 | 67 | 2067 |
| Koylasa | 1983-84 | 854 | 230 | 16 | 1100 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1141 | 318 | 92 | 1551 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 44 | 1602 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1512 | 480 | 75 | 2067 |
| Ahiraula | 1983-84 | 830 | 275 | 118 | 1223 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1129 | 347 | 170 | 1646 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 44 | 1602 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1612 | 478 | 76 | 2166 |
| Pawai | 1983-84 | 598 | 194 | 107 | 899 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1174 | 426 | 87 | 1687 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 44 | 1602 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1710 | 482 | 75 | 2267 |
| Phulpur | 1983-84 | 1295 | 384 | 105 | 1784 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1114 | 330 | 171 | 1615 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1643 | 340 | 51 | 2034 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1511 | 436 | 76 | 2023 |
| Martinganj | 1983-84 | 868 | 232 | 102 | 1202 |
|  | 1988-89 | 991 | 308 | 89 | 1388 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1343 | 220 | 44 | 1607 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1610 | 445 | 75 | 2130 |
| Thekma | 1983-84 | 712 | 236 | 85 | 1033 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1193 | 333 | 91 | 1617 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 44 | 1602 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1521 | 440 | 76 | 2037 |
| Lalganj | 1983-84 | 1142 | 218 | 90 | 1450 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1595 | 405 | 114 | 2114 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1558 | 340 | 51 | 1949 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1710 | 480 | 77 | 2267 |
| Mehnagar | 1983-84 | 702 | 230 | 80 | 1012 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1600 | 459 | 101 | 2160 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 44 | 1602 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1720 | 475 | 79 | 2274 |
| Tarvan | 1983-84 | 843 | 305 | 76 | 1224 |
|  | 1988-89 | 1494 | 416 | 110 | 2020 |
|  | 1995-96 | 1338 | 220 | 44 | 1602 |
|  | 1999-2K | 1713 | 486 | 78 | 2277 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

### 3.8 Extent of Mechanization

The extent of mechanization has increased in the district. The number of tractors, sowing machine, sprayers, threshing machine etc. have increased, while the number of wood plough have decreased during the last 20 years. The number of wood plough decreased from around 4.5 laks in 1978 to 2 laks during 1997 in the district while the number of iron plough increased from 61962 to 122405 during the same period. The number of thresher increased by around 169 per cent and sprayer by 795 per cent and tractor by 345 per cent during 1978 to 1997. The number of improved sowing machine increased till 1993 but then declined during 1993-97. The trend of increasing mechanization despite the fact that average size of landholdings has been decreasing indicates a new type of resource sharing in rural area. Those who cannot afford to purchase the machine, hire its services. Be it irrigation water, tractor, thresher or any other resource, their services are being hired by those who cannot afford to purchase or maintain them. Very poor farmers do not keep draught animals and hire services of new machines because they cannot afford to feed draught animals throughout the year.

Block wise analysis shows that, while mechanization has increased at a significant pace in all the blocks, the number of even wood plough remains significant in all the blocks (See table 3.5).

Tenancy and share cropping was found in our survey in selected villages of the district. Thus sharing of land resource as well as services of machines indicates emergence of a new type of land-labour-capital relations.

Table - 3.5.1
Technology Available in Azamgarh District

| Years | Wood <br> Plough | Iron <br> Plough |  <br> Cultivator | Threshing <br> Machine | Sprayer | Sowing <br> Machine | Tractor |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1978 | 449413 | 61992 | 2345 | 19061 | 297 | - | 1642 |
| 1982 | 258523 | 95948 | 3789 | 13679 | 973 | 288 | 2197 |
| 1988 | 232658 | 107361 | 331726 | 32394 | 895 | 2505 | 2871 |
| 1993 | 209392 | 120244 | 126056 | 76774 | 823 | 5374 | 5589 |
| 1997 | 210685 | 122405 | 2263 | 51327 | 2669 | 1192 | 7306 |

Table - 3.5.2
Block-wise Technology Available in Azamgarh District

|  |  | Wood Plough | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iron } \\ \text { Plough } \end{gathered}$ | Harrow \& Cultivator | Threshing Machine | Sprayer | Sowing Machine | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blocks | Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mahrajganj | 1978 | 15982 | 1780 | 640 | 499 | 50 |  | 53 |
|  | 1982 | 11827 | 3815 | 520 | 555 | 99 |  | 62 |
|  | 1997 | 9530 | 5530 | 100 | 2315 | 116 | 54 | 329 |
| Bilariyaganj | 1978 | 19252 | 11240 | 242 | 684 | 84 |  | 72 |
|  | 1982 | 14190 | 26118 | 282 | 754 | 166 |  | 86 |
|  | 1997 | 12039 | 7007 | 119 | 2930 | 129 | 64 | 415 |
| Harraiya | 1978 | 12208 | 1127 | 43 | 362 |  | - | 75 |
|  | 1982 | 9193 | 2519 | 213 | 518 | 24 |  | 85 |
|  | 1997 | 9690 | 5640 | 95 | 2361 | 113 | 55 | 335 |

Contd...

| Blocks | Years | Wood Plough | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iron } \\ \text { Plough } \end{gathered}$ |  <br> Cultivator | Threshing Machine | Sprayer | Sowing Machine | Tractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ajmatgarha | 1978 | 37174 | 2211 | 54 | 431 |  | - | 58 |
|  | 1982 | 27323 | 2744 | 223 | 476 | 30 |  | 68 |
|  | 1997 | 10906 | 6348 | 108 | 2157 | 110 | 62 | 377 |
| Mirzapur | 1978 | 15905 | 213 | 4 | 892 |  | - | 45 |
|  | 1982 | 11771 | 2152 | 132 | 972 | 33 |  | 2 |
|  | 1997 | 9963 | 5535 | 96 | 2554 | 114 | 57 | 345 |
| Muhammadpur | 1978 | 18100 | 633 | 23 | 567 |  | - | 47 |
|  | 1982 | 13443 | 1515 | 144 | 620 | 35 |  | 2 |
|  | 1997 | 8851 | 5410 | 98 | 2210 | 108 | 56 | 323 |
| Tahbarpur | 1978 | 13438 | 1527 | 4 | 496 |  | - | 44 |
|  | 1982 | 9911 | 3277 | 129 | 540 | 38 | - | 13 |
|  | 1997 | 8851 | 5152 | 96 | 2570 | 112 | 51 | 306 |
| Palhani | 1978 | 13034 | 1462 | 3 | 342 | 25 | - | 83 |
|  | 1982 | 9637 | 3171 | 131 | 485 | 49 | - | 14 |
|  | 1997 | 10340 | 6630 | 97 | 2315 | 125 | 53 | 329 |
| RaniKiSrai | 1978 | 13442 | 1390 | 55 | 452 |  | - | 41 |
|  | 1982 | 9934 | 3043 | 178 | 501 | 32 | - | 1 |
|  | 1997 | 59501 | 5152 | 89 | 2187 | 116 | 65 | 306 |
| Sathiyav | 1978 | 14383 | 2061 | 85 | 395 |  | - | 80 |
|  | 1982 | 10627 | 4404 | 212 | 435 | 36 | - | 2 |
|  | 1997 | 8872 | 16019 | 95 | 2519 | 122 | 50 | 358 |
| Jahanaganj | 1978 | 17100 | 2622 | 75 | 541 |  | - | 42 |
|  | 1982 | 12627 | 5622 | 316 | 593 | 23 |  | 42 |
|  | 1997 | 10214 | 5146 | 101 | 2162 | 118 | 59 | 307 |
| Atrauliya | 1978 | 11475 | 2785 | 18 | 451 | 20 |  | 45 |
|  | 1982 | 8459 | 5972 | 113 | 495 | 43 | - | 1 |
|  | 1997 | 8494 | 5727 | 82 | 2070 | 109 | 59 | 308 |
| Koylasa | 1978 | 15765 | 1276 | 96 | 611 |  | - | 62 |
|  | 1982 | 11622 | 2746 | 107 | 665 | 16 |  | 3 |
|  | 1997 | 9501 | 5531 | 93 | 2315 | 111 | 55 | 329 |
| Ahiraula | 1978 | 12806 | 1245 |  | 571 |  | - | 85 |
|  | 1982 | 9472 | 2735 | 94 | 620 | 34 | - | 3 |
|  | 1997 | 10466 | 6093 | 103 | 2550 | 122 | 59 | 362 |
| Pawai | 1978 | 12987 | 1230 | 47 | 532 | 6 | - | 18 |
|  | 1982 | 9560 | 2677 | 111 | 577 | 37 |  | 3 |
|  | 1997 | 9543 | 5946 | 102 | 2488 | 116 | 57 | 354 |
| Phulpur | 1978 | 15800 | 978 | 20 | 1430 |  | - | 37 |
|  | 1982 | 11658 | 2278 | 138 | 1551 | 29 |  | 1 |
|  | 1997 | 9145 | 5555 | 101 | 2328 | 113 | 52 | 316 |
| Martinganj | 1978 | 21380 | 3305 | 48 | 742 | 26 | - | 78 |
|  | 1982 | 15714 | 7196 | 170 | 808 | 52 |  | 8 |
|  | 1997 | 9530 | 5323 | 90 | 2228 | 113 | 52 | 316 |
| Thekma | 1978 | 33825 | 571 | 123 | 923 |  | - | 75 |
|  | 1982 | 24896 | 1428 | 143 | 892 | 49 | - | 67 |
|  | 1997 | 9954 | 5885 | 95 | 2463 | 118 | 58 | 350 |
| Lalganj | 1978 | 13665 | 1288 | 115 | 548 |  | - | 95 |
|  | 1982 | 10081 | 2774 | 132 | 599 | 45 |  | 70 |
|  | 1997 | 11486 | 6203 | 109 | 2806 | 109 | 65 | 398 |
| Mehnagar | 1978 | 11185 | 135 | 22 | 475 |  | - | 26 |
|  | 1982 | 8255 | 1775 | 152 | 518 | 55 | - | 11 |
|  | 1997 | 11640 | 6208 | 112 | 2836 | 118 | 54 | 403 |
| Tarvan | 1978 | 11271 | 3224 | 104 | 461 |  | - | 60 |
|  | 1982 | 8323 | 6997 | 143 | 505 | 48 |  | 12 |
|  | 1997 | 11241 | 6044 | 103 | 2729 | 111 | 55 | 389 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

### 3.9 Livestock

Livestock plays two types of roles in rural economy. One, it provides draught animals or animals for pulling carts. Secondly, it generates income through animal products, which has serious implications for diversification of rural economy.

But the size of livestock has also a serious bearing on land use. The increase in livestock would mean that more land under pasture will be required, as well as more fodder will be required. The analysis of livestock during 1972-1997 shows interesting trends. Whereas the number of cattles declind, the number of buffaloes has increased.

There are nine blocks in which the number of livestock has increased during 1982-97. These include Bilariyaganj, Ajmatgarh, Mirzapur, Sathiyav, Ahiraula, Pawai, Lalganj, Mehnagar and Tarwan.

## Table 3.6.1 Details of Livestock in Azamgarh District

| Years | Total <br> Cattles <br>  <br> Oxen etc.) | Total <br> Buffaloes | Sheep | Goats | Pig | Horse <br> and <br> Tattoos | Other <br> Livestock | Total <br> Livestock | Total <br> Poultry |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1972 | 645937 | 146403 | 56730 | 200346 | 37937 | 3263 | 8189 | 1098805 | 234182 |
| 1978 | 781532 | 284241 | 65117 | 338812 | 48454 | 3318 | 9433 | 1530937 | 219847 |
| 1982 | 639823 | 236761 | 42568 | 228090 | 52248 | 3034 | 10670 | 1213194 | 453781 |
| 1988 | 619296 | 244815 | 31178 | 226513 | 54144 | 3221 | 104870 | 1284037 | 367654 |
| 1993 | 600836 | 300162 | 35818 | 294157 | 62752 | 1383 | 107359 | 1402461 | 469632 |
| 1997 | 496934 | 334862 | 29625 | 293434 | 66091 | 860 | 6448 | 1226254 | 603206 |

Table 3.6.2
Block-wise Details of Livestock in Azamgarh District

| Blocks | Years |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Buffaloes } \end{array}$ | Sheep | Goats | Pig | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Horse } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Tattoos } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Livestock } \end{array}$ | Total Poultry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mahrajganj | 1982 | 30612 | 1365 | 2093 | 10953 | 2402 | 143 | 509 | 58077 | 20869 |
|  | 1997 | 23003 | 15169 | 1336 | 13034 | 2553 | 38 | 272 | 55405 | 29651 |
| Bilariyaganj | 1982 | 30754 | 11485 | 2184 | 11527 | 2749 | 147 | 515 | 59361 | 21960 |
|  | 1997 | 28389 | 19014 | 1699 | 16815 | 3558 | 48 | 344 | 69867 | 33780 |
| Harraiya | 1982 | 30124 | 3970 | 1981 | 10877 | 2221 | 157 | 508 | 56957 | 20804 |
|  | 1997 | 22592 | 15471 | 1367 | 13293 | 2908 | 39 | 277 | 55947 | 27189 |
| Ajmatgarha | 1982 | 31876 | 11873 | 2009 | 12708 | 2989 | 162 | 572 | 62189 | 22133 |
|  | 1997 | 25448 | 17413 | 1538 | 14961 | 3274 | 44 | 312 | 62990 | 30599 |
| Mirzapur | 1982 | 27888 | 10931 | 1903 | 10107 | 2405 | 103 | 547 | 53884 | 21889 |
|  | 1997 | 23201 | 15907 | 1406 | 13667 | 2990 | 40 | 285 | 57496 | 27948 |
| Muhamma dpur | 1982 | 29141 | 10986 | 1977 | 10204 | 2298 | 97 | 546 | 55249 | 21279 |
|  | 1997 | 21796 | 14902 | 1317 | 12804 | 2801 | 38 | 267 | 53925 | 26188 |
| Tahbarpur | 1982 | 31202 | 11418 | 2002 | 10871 | 2246 | 89 | 509 | 58337 | 21906 |
|  | 1997 | 21215 | 14136 | 1248 | 13142 | 2657 | 36 | 253 | 52687 | 24830 |
| Palhani | 1982 | 32195 | 11272 | 2025 | 10684 | 2475 | 171 | 411 | 59233 | 21494 |
|  | 1997 | 22126 | 15169 | 1354 | 13034 | 2851 | 38 | 253 | 54825 | 26601 |
| Rani Ki Srai | 1982 | 31215 | 11192 | 2214 | 10492 | 2704 | 143 | 417 | 58270 | 21589 |
|  | 1997 | 21014 | 14131 | 1245 | 12936 | 2757 | 36 | 273 | 52392 | 24880 |
| Sathiyav | 1982 | 30919 | 11188 | 2068 | 10013 | 2575 | 112 | 397 | 57272 | 21742 |
|  | 1997 | 24054 | 16509 | 1458 | 13171 | 3103 | 42 | 254 | 58591 | 28991 |
| Jahanaganj | 1982 | 29640 | 11263 | 1964 | 10082 | 2567 | 113 | 542 | 56171 | 21937 |
|  | 1997 | 21060 | 14165 | 1247 | 14012 | 2763 | 36 | 292 | 53575 | 24898 |
| Atrauliya | 1982 | 30211 | 10646 | 2005 | 10491 | 2449 | 163 | 496 | 56461 | 21652 |
|  | 1997 | 19566 | 13647 | 1199 | 12653 | 2550 | 34 | 243 | 49892 | 23837 |
| Koylasa | 1982 | 30179 | 11054 | 1985 | 10272 | 2470 | 161 | 532 | 56653 | 21686 |
|  | 1997 | 21125 | 15260 | 1341 | 13034 | 2851 | 38 | 272 | 53921 | 26650 |
| Ahiraula | 1982 | 29292 | 10762 | 1962 | 10570 | 2416 | 187 | 473 | 55632 | 21617 |
|  | 1997 | 23494 | 16709 | 1477 | 14357 | 3141 | 42 | 299 | 59519 | 29367 |
| Pawai | 1982 | 28521 | 10998 | 1882 | 10687 | 2415 | 163 | 501 | 55167 | 21510 |
|  | 1997 | 23787 | 16408 | 1442 | 13091 | 3065 | 36 | 290 | 58119 | 28659 |
| Phulpur | 1982 | 30423 | 11360 | 2009 | 10885 | 2237 | 168 | 512 | 57594 | 21952 |
|  | 1997 | 22222 | 15236 | 1347 | 13092 | 2779 | 38 | 280 | 54990 | 26775 |
| Martinganj | 1982 | 29679 | 11034 | 1869 | 10092 | 2496 | 156 | 593 | 55919 | 21714 |
|  | 1997 | 21297 | 14598 | 1290 | 12540 | 3029 | 43 | 262 | 53059 | 25655 |
| Thekma | 1982 | 30214 | 11326 | 2133 | 12863 | 2550 | 160 | 500 | 59746 | 22329 |
|  | 1997 | 23544 | 16079 | 1426 | 13871 | 3333 | 41 | 289 | 58583 | 28359 |
| Lalganj | 1982 | 32219 | 11815 | 2118 | 11322 | 2498 | 145 | 556 | 60676 | 23696 |
|  | 1997 | 26919 | 18183 | 1625 | 15801 | 3116 | 44 | 330 | 66018 | 32311 |
| Mehnagar | 1982 | 31950 | 11787 | 2147 | 11103 | 2533 | 133 | 500 | 60153 | 23356 |
|  | 1997 | 27152 | 17061 | 1643 | 14044 | 3494 | 47 | 333 | 63774 | 29650 |
| Tarvan | 1982 | 31569 | 11917 | 2128 | 11317 | 2553 | 161 | 534 | 60179 | 23313 |
|  | 1997 | 25799 | 17500 | 1595 | 14309 | 3374 | 45 | 321 | 62943 | 31532 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook (of various years).

## PART - B

## Land Use Plan Related to Agricultural Land

In Azamgarh district the average size of landholding was 0.56 hectares as per the 1995-96 agricultural census and 95.18 per cent holdings belonged to the small and marginal farmers, while they accounted for only 72.28 per cent of total area under all landholdings.

The net sown area as percentage of total reporting area varied around 75.0 per cent till 1989-90, and thereafter declined to around 72.0 per cent during the decade 1990-91 to 1999-2K.

But the analysis of block-wise net sown area shows that in most of the blocks the proportion of net sown area had been around or above 70.0 per cent during 2000-01 or during some past years.

The cropping intensity of the Azamgarh district had almost consistently increased since 1960-61, and has increased to 163.26 during the period 2000-01.

The most important factor which has affected cropping intensity is irrigation.
The irrigation intensity i.e. net irrigated area as percentage of net sown area has increased from 50.38 per cent in 1960-61 to 88.33 per cent in 2000-01. This trend was discernible in all the blocks of the district as well.

However, gross irrigated area as percentage of net irrigated area has increased slowly during the last twenty five years from around 108.82 in 1980-81 to around 127.45 in 1999-2K which shows, it is still low.

Tubewells are now the major sources of irrigation in Azamgarh district, and account for 80.0 per cent of net irrigated area.

The cropping pattern in the district has vastly changed during the last 30 years.
The main crops viz. paddy, wheat and sugarcane have witnessed large increases in their productivity also during the period 1960-61 to 1998-99.

Thus farmers have shifted to crops, which are highly irrigated, fertilizer use is higher on them and whose productivity is also comparatively very high.

We need to make efforts to increase production of more pulses, oilseeds and spices. Cropping rotation also needs to be changed. Following steps are imperative to achieve it.
(a) More thrust be given for developing high yielding varieties for these crops.
(b) Rain fed areas should be encouraged to cultivate these crops.
(c) Orchards, fallow land and land under social forestry could be used for growing such crops.
(d) Processing industries of oilseeds and spices be promoted at local level with support for technology up gradation, packaging and market access facilities.

Use of fertilizer had been increasing in all the blocks. But their balanced and proportionate application has not been reported.

There is need to adopt following strategy to combat this menace:
(a) Circulate guidelines for each gram-panchayat-on the basis of soil-testing - the proportion of fertilizer which is required to be applied.
(b) Farmers' meeting be organised at village level before every cropping season to make them aware about such guidelines.
(c) Farmers be also informed about hazardous impact of non-proportionate application of urea.
(d) Government functionaries, specially at the gram-panchayat level be sensitised regarding these aspects.

The extent of mechanisation has increased in the district. The number of tractors, sowing machine, sprayers, threshing machine etc. have increased, while the number of wood plough have decreased during the last 20 years.

The trend of increasing mechanisation despite the fact that average size of landholdings has been decreasing indicates a new type of resource sharing in rural area. Those who cannot afford to purchase the equipment or machine, hire its services. Be it irrigation water, tractor, thresher or any other machine, their services are being hired by those who cannot afford to purchase or maintain them. Very poor farmers do not keep draught animals and hire services of new machines because they cannot afford to feed draught animals throughout the year.

Tenancy and share cropping was found in our survey in selected villages of the district. Thus sharing of land resource as well as services of machines indicates emergence of a new type of land-labour-capital relations.

Livestock plays two types of roles in rural economy, one it provides draught animals or for pulling carts. Secondly it generates income through animal products, which has serious implications for diversification of rural economy.

But the size of livestock has also a serious bearing on land use. The increase in livestock would mean that more land under pasture will be required, as well as more fodder will be required.

### 3.10 Agricultural Production System and Framework for Restructuring

It was found that the majority of land owners who leased out their land belonged to medium, small or marginal farmers. The fact that even small and marginal farmers were leasing out their land, revealed two trends - one, in case of uneconomic holdings farmers want to search other opportunities and will be content to get the market rent for their land yet they would prefer to retain the land instead of selling it out right. Moreover, the new generation, if educated seeks jobs in cities, and prefers to lease out the land. The other aspect was in regard to changing relationship. The exploitative relationship between tenant/share cropper and the land lord is fast changing. It is now purely an economic arrangement of mutual interests. Small and marginal farmers also lease-out land to other small and marginal farmers. Thus enterprising farmers are continuing agricultural activities by pooling resources from fellow farmers, while some other farmers are trying to make efforts in non-agricultural activities also.

Thus the new form of economic arrangement under tenancy was giving way to emergence of new enterprising farmers who were seeking ways to pool resources for higher productivity and application of new technology.

Dependency relationship based tenancy was declining because not many cultivators wanted to be tied up for the whole of year with some small parcel of land which they did not own, and further depend on the landlord for resources and credit. Landless or near landless people also now want to
keep options open for seeking job elsewhere as well. So they preferred to work as casual agricultural labour during peak periods rather than working as an attached labour or as a tenant.

On the other hand leasing-out by small farmers was on the increase because many small farmers wanted to get job outside agriculture and at the same time wanted some income from their land also. This was possible only by leasing-out land to fellow farmers at mutually agreed terms. This kind of tenancy was free from both the dependency and exploitative relationship.

Sharing of machines and equipments was also found to be widely prevalent among farmers of this district. It was found that almost all farmers owning agricultural machines and equipments hired out or shared their services with other farmers. many agricultural tools were also found to be shared among farmers on the exchange basis.

## Factors Inhibiting Growth

The immediate factors which inhibited growth among small and marginal farmers were: lack of resources, capital deficiency and lack of facility to sell at remunerative prices. The other factors included the problems of water logging, floods, drying of canals during summer, etc.

## Framework for Agricultural Growth

Among small and marginal farmers, agricultural productivity is hampered by poor logistical support and weak infrastructure. If food production is to be increased in a sustainable way, these deficiencies must be corrected and favourable economic framework for agriculture should be evolved. Such actions need to be backed up by practices aimed at maintaining or enhancing fertility and productivity.

The first step is to protect the best land for agriculture. In view of the scarcity of high quality arable land and the rising demand for food and other agricultural products, the land that is most suitable for crops should be reserved for agriculture. Government should map and monitor the more productive areas of farm land and adopt planning and zoning policies to prevent the loss of prime land to urban settlements. Village Land Management Committee and local authorities should be entrusted with responsibility to ensure that these policies are implemented in their areas.

We have found that the number of small and marginal farmers in the district is predominant. It was also found that the immediate factors which inhibited growth among small and marginal farmers were lack of resources, capital deficiency and lack of facility to sell at remunerative prices. The most important factor which could become basis for future restructuring of agricultural production system related to tenancy. It was found the majority of land owners who leased out their land (without entering into any written or formal contract) belonged to the category of medium, small or marginal farmers. This was for two reasons - one in case of uneconomic holdings, farmers wanted to search other opportunities and would be content to get the market rent for their land. Yet they would prefer to retain the land instead of selling it outright. The other aspect was in regard to non-exploitative nature of relationship between the lessor and the lessess. It is now purely an economic arrangement in which small and marginal farmers are also leasing out land to other small and marginal farmers. Thus enterprising farmers are continuing agricultural activities by pooling resources from fellow farmers, while some other farmers are seeking opportunities in nonagricultural activities also. Thus the new form of economic arrangement was giving way to pooling of resources by enterprising farmers, while other farmers who were leasing out their land were treating
their land as a share capital for which they will receive the rent as well as the share in profit. The process of pooling of resources was further strengthened by a simultaneous process of sharing of machines and equipments. it was found that almost all farmers owning agricultural machines and equipments hired out or shared their services with other farmers.

It seems to us that a limited restructuring of the production process in agriculture can be such that it serves the interests of small and marginal farmers and at the same time protects wider interests of the farming community.

One major step in this direction would be to allow formation of Collective Farming Society and Confederation of Farming Societies. In the collective farming society framework, tenancy to such farming societies could be permitted under specified conditions. In particular such societies may be formed of small and marginal farmers for a complete package of inputs, and it may then be permissible for any member of such a society to lease out land to the society or to any other member of the society.

At the next level, a confederation of such Collective Farming Societies could be formed which will work as service societies. These confederations would provide high cost machinery and equipments to Collective Farming Societies on rent. The idea essentially is that it should be possible to increase number of viable farms by permitting some of the non-viable farmers to go out of agricultural business and seek other jobs and economic opportunities. This should on the one hand, improve productivity of labour on the expanded farms and on the other aid in much needed shift of labour away from agriculture.

### 3.11 Model for Restructuring Farming System

## Collective Farming Society

1. Collective farming units be allowed to be registered under a separate Collective Farming Society Registration Act.
2. Only small and marginal farmers be allowed to become members of such a society.
3. The number of members of a society should not be above twenty and below five.
4. Those who become members of such a collective farming society will be allowed to lease out their land to the society for a minimum of ten years on a fixed annual rent.
5. A collective farming society will not bring under its purview more than ten hectares of irrigated land.
6. A collective farming society will be allowed to pool its resources on hire or through raising capital from its members.
7. The produce will be shared among members in proportion to the share amount of each member.
8. The share amount of each member will be the weighted sum of (a) money invested under capital raising scheme plus, (b) the amount fixed as annual rent for the land leased out to the society, (c) operational holdings of actual cultivators.

## Confederation of Collective Farming Societies

For storage facilities, providing transportation facilities and to work as marketing syndicates of farming societies, a confederation of ten to twenty corporate farming societies be allowed to be formed.

These confederations will work in the following areas:

1. Marketing of agricultural goods at national and international level.
2. Provide transportation and storage facilities to Collective Farming Societies against such stored goods.
3. Function as cushions against speculative prices.
4. The confederation will also act as counselling centre for farmers projecting the production and demands of each agricultural commodity for the next two years.
5. Provide high costing tools and machines to Collective Farming Societies for land levelling, soil testing, land reclamation and other activities related to land and water management on rental basis.
6. Help in technological innovations and in increasing productive efficiency.
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## Village Level Plans

(Based on Village Level Survey)

| Village Study - I | Jalalpur (Block - Bilariyaganj) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village Study - II | Surjipur (Block - Bilariyaganj) |
| Village Study - III | Bargahan (Block - Thekma) |
| Village Study - IV | Madanpur (Block - Thekma) |

## Village Study - I <br> Village - Jalalpur (Block - Bilariyaganj)

## (A) Village Profile

Jalalpur is located at a distance of 8 kilometers from the block headquarter Bilariyaganj. Jalalpur is a relatively prosperous village and activities other than agriculture was also reported in the village.

One special feature of this village is that, many landowners rented out their land to brick kiln. Many areas have become low level land. As a result of this the problem of waterlogging has increased in the village. The problem has aggravated due to seepage of canal also.

The natural drainage in the village has also been obstructed due to construction of houses.

### 5.1.1 Land Use Pattern

Village Jalalpur is a semi medium size village with 150.0 hectares of total reporting area. In village Jalalpur, land use pattern shows that it continues to be predominantly agricultural as 70.0 per cent of total reporting area was under cultivation. Another important feature was that around 7 per cent was under water bodies. The share of current fallow was very high because some of the land has been rented out to brick kilns (See table 5.1.1).

Table - 5.1.1
Land Use Pattern in the Jalalpur Village of the Azamgarh District

| Land Use Categories | In hectare | In percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total reporting area | 150 | 100.00 |
| Water bodies | 10 | 6.67 |
| Habitation | 18 | 12.0 |
| Other uses | 1 | 0.67 |
| Culturable waste | 1 | 0.67 |
| Orchards | 4 | 2.67 |
| Current fallow | 11 | 7.33 |
| Net sown area | 105 | 70.0 |
| (a) Irrigated | 93 | 88.57 |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 12 | 11.73 |
| Area sown more than once | 74 |  |
| (a) Irrigated | 12 |  |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 62 |  |

Source: Revenue department.

### 5.1.2 Demographic Profile

The average family size was 8.3 in the village. The population in the working age group i.e. in the age group (14-60) years comprised 51.20 per cent of total population. That is around 49.0 per cent persons constituted dependents in the family. The village also shows adverse sex ratio. This is evident from the fact that the number of female population per thousand male population was around only 890.16. It would be interesting to note that sex ratio in the age group below five year was 1012.35 while the sex ratio in the age group 5 to 14 was 837.61. We could infer from it that mortality of female child was higher than the male child in the age group 5 to 14 years (See table 5.1.2.1).

The literacy rate was 71.60 per cent. It could also be seen from table 5.1.2.2 that number of illiterates was much higher among females ( 37.9 per cent) than among males ( 19.9 per cent). On the other hand in each category of education group the number of males was much higher than females.

Table - 5.1.2.1
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Population in the Village Jalalpur

| Particulars | Gender | Chama <br> r | Dhobi | Rajbhar | Vishva karma | $\underset{i}{\text { Restag }}$ | Gupta | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maury } \\ \text { a } \end{gathered}$ | Yadav | Thakur | Brahmi ns | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Muslim } \\ \text { s } \end{array}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population | Male | 75 | 6 | 133 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 52 | 38 | 66 | 441 |
|  | Female | 65 | 4 | 108 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 22 | 25 | 45 | 33 | 64 | 393 |
|  | Total | 140 | 10 | 241 | 4 | 19 | 26 | 43 | 53 | 97 | 71 | 130 | 834 |
| Below 5 year population | Male | 7 | 1 | 33 |  | 1 | - | 3 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 16 | 81 |
|  | Female | 11 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 19 | 82 |
|  | Total | 18 | 3 | 53 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 20 | 8 | 35 | 163 |
| 5 to 14 year population | Male | 22 | 4 | 30 |  | 3 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 22 | 117 |
|  | Female | 19 | 1 | 27 |  | - | 6 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 17 | 98 |
|  | Total | 41 | 5 | 57 |  | 3 | 13 | 6 | 14 | 18 | 19 | 39 | 215 |
| 14 to 60 year population | Male | 43 | 1 | 67 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 16 | 29 | 24 | 26 | 233 |
|  | Female | 33 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 26 | 19 | 25 | 194 |
|  | Total | 76 | 2 | 121 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 55 | 43 | 51 | 427 |
| Above 60 year population | Male | 3 |  | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 13 |
|  | Female | 2 |  | 7 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 | 16 |
|  | Total | 5 |  | 10 |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 1 | 5 | 29 |
| Family size |  | 8.2 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 9.5 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 8.3 |

Table - 5.1.2.2
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Education in the Village Jalalpur

| Particulars | Gender | Chama <br> r | Dhobi | Rajbhar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Vishva } \\ & \text { karma } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{i}{\text { Restag }}$ | Gupta | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Maury } \\ \mathbf{a} \end{array}$ | Yadav | Thakur | Brahmi ns | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Muslim } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{array}$ | Total | \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduation and above | Male |  |  | 5 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 6 |  | 30 | 6.8 |
|  | Female |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 4 | 1.0 |
|  | Total |  |  | 6 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 7 |  | 34 | 4.1 |
| Intermediate and high school | Male | 10 | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 12 |  | 56 | 12.7 |
|  | Female | 1 | - | 1 |  |  | 1 | - | - | 6 | 2 |  | 11 | 2.8 |
|  | Total | 11 | 1 | 5 |  | 4 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 19 | 14 |  | 67 | 8.0 |
| Below high school | Male | 30 | 4 | 54 | - | 2 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 32 | 166 | 37.6 |
|  | Female | 13 | 1 | 24 |  | 6 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 108 | 27.5 |
|  | Total | 43 | 5 | 78 | - | 8 | 15 | 12 | 25 | 16 | 20 | 52 | 274 | 32.9 |
| Illiterate | Male | 24 | - | 29 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 88 | 19.9 |


|  | Female | 32 | 1 | 53 | 1 | - | 3 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 23 | 149 | 37.9 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | 56 | 1 | 82 | 2 | - | 5 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 10 | 42 | 237 | 28.4 |

### 5.1.3 Land Ownership

In Jalalpur, the average size of landholding per family was 1.44 acres and per adult person only 0.31 acres (See table 5.1.3.1). The low size of land holdings per adult person also indicates that the land available for cultivation was not enough to engage all the adults in agriculture for full time work. The pressure of land has therefore forced many others to search for jobs outside agriculture. The fact that per adult person land was around 0.96 acres in even the landholding group (5-10) acres, shows that in future, population pressure on land would be tremendous in all size groups. The village is thus moving towords a situation in which it will be dominated by landless and near landless households who already constitute around 60 per cent of total households in the village. Village Jalalpur has a mixed population from the point of view of distribution of castes in the village population. Rajbhar, Chamars, Muslims and Thakurs \& Brahmins are the major castes in the village as 84.0 per cent households belonged to these castes (See table 5.1.3.2). And therefore the caste wise land distribution in the village was similar as land distribution among the these castes. Majority of Thakurs owned more than 5 acres of land, while majority among Chamars, Rajbhars and Muslims was landless or near land less.

Table - 5.1.3.1
Distribution of Per Family/Per Adult Size of Landholdings in Different Size Groups in the Village Jalalpur

| Landholding size | Total <br> households | Total adult pop. <br> (>14 year) | Total land in <br> acre | Average <br> landholding in acre <br> (Per adult person) | Average <br> landholding in <br> acre(Per family) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land-less | 18 | 57 | - | - | - |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 38 | 160 | 8.0 | 0.05 | 0.21 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 7 | 25 | 5.4 | 0.21 | 0.77 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 21 | 113 | 36.8 | 0.32 | 1.75 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 4 | 27 | 12.6 | 0.46 | 3.15 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 11 | 72 | 69.8 | 0.96 | 6.34 |
| Above 10.0 Acre | 1 | 2 | 11.4 | 5.70 | 11.4 |
| Total | 100 | 456 | 144.0 | 0.31 | 1.44 |

Table - 5.1.3.2
Caste-wise Distribution of Landholdings in Different Size Groups in the Village Jalalpur

| Landholding size | Chama | Dhobi | Rajbhar | Vishva | Restagi | Gupta | Maurya | Yadav | Thakur | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brahmi } \\ \text { ns } \end{gathered}$ | Muslim | Total | \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land-less |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 18 | 18.0 |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 9 |  | 23 | - |  | - | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 38 | 38.0 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 7 | 7.0 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 3 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 7 | 1 | 21 | 21.0 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | - |  | 4 | 4.0 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 7 | 1 |  | 11 | 11.0 |
| Above 10.0 Acre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1.0 |
| Total | 17 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 100 | 100.0 |

### 5.1.4

Occupational Structure
The occupation-wise distribution of households showed that the main occupation of only 37 out of 100 households was cultivation, while that of 34 households it was service and that of 22 households, it was wage work.

The occupation of many households have also changed as a result of increasing pressure on land and non-availability of work in the village. The change in main occupation has taken place mainly among cultivators and wage workers. Out of 43 households whose main occupation was cultivation in the past, now only 30 i.e. 69.77 per cent are continuing with it, and 12 (i.e. 27.91 per cent) are engaged in service. Interestingly all those 13 households who have shifted to other occupations, still continue to be engaged in cultivation as their supplementary occupation. Similarly other work and shop running was supplementary occupation of many cultivators. The number of households whose main occupation was wage work has also reduced from 48 in the past to 22 presently (See table 5.1.4.1).

There were 403 workers in the village out of which 229 were males and 174 were females. Occupation wise distribution of workers in the village showed that out of 403 workers 118 i.e. 29.3 per cent were cultivators, 78 i.e. 19.4 per cent were agricultural labourers, 132 i.e. 32.8 per cent were other labourers, 54 i.e. 13.6 per cent were in service and 21 i.e. 5.2 per cent were engaged in other work. Gender wise distribution of occupation of workers showed that proportion of female workers was higher than male workers among agricultural labourers and other labourers. Males predominated in the category of service class (See table 5.1.4.2).

Table - 5.1.4.1

## Present and Past Occupations of Households in the Village Jalalpur

| $P a$ $s t$ occ $u p$ ati on |  |  | ent ma | occup |  | Sup | lement | occup |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { HHs } \end{aligned}$ | Cultiva tor | Wage | Servic e | Other works | Cultiva tor | Wage | Shop | Other Works |
| Cultivator | 43 | 30 | - | 12 | 1 | 13 | - | 6 | 5 |
| Wage | 48 | 7 | 22 | 18 | 1 | 19 | 8 | 5 | - |
| Service | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| Other Works | 5 | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Total | 100 | 37 | 22 | 34 | 7 | 38 | 10 | 6 | 5 |

Table - 5.1.4.2
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Occupation of Workers in the Village Jalalpur

| Particulars | Gender | Chamar | Dhobi | Rajbhar | Vishvak arma | Restagi | Gupta | Maurya | Yadav | Thakur | Brahmi ns | Muslim s | Total | \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivator | Male | 4 | - | 12 | - | 6 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 12 | - | 72 | 31.4 |
|  | Female | 5 | - | 13 | - | - | 2 | 12 | 14 | - | - | - | 46 | 26.4 |
|  | Total | 9 | - | 25 | - | 6 | 5 | 25 | 26 | 10 | 12 |  | 118 | 29.3 |
|  | Male | 5 | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 35 | 15.3 |


|  | Male | 5 | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 10 | 35 | 15.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural | Fetable | 16 | - | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 48 | 29.4 |
| labour agricultural laobur | Male | 19 | - | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 12 | 59 | 25.8 |
|  | Female | 28 | - | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 73 | 43.0 |
|  | Total | 47 | - | 58 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | 132 | 32.8 |
| Service | Male | 13 | - | 10 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 52 | 22.7 |
|  | Female | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 1.1 |
|  | Total | 13 | - | 10 | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 54 | 13.4 |
|  | Male | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 11 | 4.8 |
|  | Female | - | 1 | - | 1 | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5.7 |
|  | Total | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 21 | 5.2 |

### 5.1.5 Livestock

The animal population in proportion to the number of households was not very high in the village (See table 5.1.5). This was so because landless and near landless households owned less bovine animals than those who owned more than 1 acre of land. It could be seen from the table that cows and buffaloes were the main income supporting animals in the village. If we work out the average number of cattles (that is cows and buffaloes taken together), average cattle owned was found to be 0.95 per household in the village. Landless and near landless households possessed other types of live stock in larger number.

Table - 5.1.5
Distribution of Animal in Different Categories of Landholding Size Groups Households in the Village Jalalpur

| Landholding size | Total HHs. | Cow | Buffalo | Calf | Other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land-less | 18 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 38 | 3 | 26 | 20 | 25 | 74 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 21 | 15 | 13 | 32 | 12 | 72 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 18 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 11 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 5 | 42 |
| Above 10.0 Acre | 1 | 2 | - | 3 | - | 5 |
| Total | 100 | 35 | 60 | 84 | 57 | 236 |

### 5.1.6 Housing Condition

There were 129 built houses owned by 100 households i.e. about 29 households owned more than one house. These are generally those households who own a pucca house along with a kutcha /semi pucca house. There is a tendency to shift to a pucca house whenever possible and then kutcha or semi pucca house is put to other uses or as storage. Out of 129 houses in the village 41 i.e. 31.78 per cent were kutcha houses, 60 i.e. 46.51 per cent were pucca houses, and 28 i.e. 21.71 per cent were semi pucca houses (See table 5.1.6).

Table - 5.1.6
Caste-wise Distribution of Housing Condition in the Village Jalalpur

| Housing condition | Chama r | Dhobi | Rajbhar | Vishva karma | Restag i | Gupta | Maury a | Yadav | Thakur | Brahmi ns | Muslim <br> s | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Katcha | 11 | - | 15 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | - | 4 | 41 |
| Pakka | 11 | - | 10 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 60 |
| Semi Pakka | - | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 | 4 | 2 | 28 |


| Total | 22 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 22 | 9 | 18 | 129 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total HHs. | 17 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 100 |

## (B) Responses of Selected Households in Village Jalalpur

Twenty households in the village Jalalpur were selected to elicit information about land use behaviour at household level.

### 5.1.7 Change in Size of Land Holding

The distribution of households on the basis of landholdings showed that 40 per cent owned 1.0 to 2.5 acre of land, and 20 per cent owned between 2.5 acres to 5.0 acres of land. Thus 70 per cent farmers were marginal farmer 20 per cent farmers were small farmers and the rest 20 per cent owned more than (See table 5.2.7.1).

In Jalalpur, out of 20 households 13 reported that the size of landholdings changed during the last 20 years.

The reason of changes in the total land owned during the last 20 years in selected households showed that in 7 households (i.e. 35 per cent), division of family was the major cause, while in case of one household due to change took place due to consolidation of holdings and five households it was purchase of land (See table 5.1.7.2).

Table-5.1.7.1
Caste and Landholding wise Distribution of Selected Households in Villages Jalalpur

| Caste | Below <br> 0.63 Acre | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3}$ to <br> $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ Acre | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ to 2.5 <br> Acre | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ to 5.0 <br> Acre | 5.0 to <br> $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ Acre | Above 10 <br> Acre | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chamar | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Yadav | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Kushwaha | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Rajbhar | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 4 |
| Brahmin | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |
| Thakur | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 |
| Rastogi | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Muslims | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | - | 4 | 20 |
| Percentage | 10.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | - | 20.0 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.7.2
Reason of Changes in Total Land Owned During the Last 20 years in Selected Households

| Reason | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |
| Division of family | 7 | 35.0 |
| Purchased | 5 | 25.0 |
| Due to consolidation of holdings | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 7 | 35.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

In Jalalpur village, 5 (i.e. 25 per cent) households reported that their landholding increased during the last 20 years. The average change per reporting household was found to be 0.49 acres. That shows the purchase of land was at a very small scale (See table 5.1.7.3).

The number of households who reported decrease in their landholdings was 8 (i.e. 40 per cent) of total sampled households, and the average change per reporting households was 3.05 acres (See table 5.1.7.4).

Table - 5.1.7.3

Number of Households Whose Landholding Increased

| Number <br> of HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during 20 <br> years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 15.37 | 12.91 | 2.46 | 0.49 |

Table - 5.1.7.4
Number of Households Whose Landholding Decreased

| Number of <br> HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during <br> 20 years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 18.69 | 43.14 | 24.45 | 3.05 |

### 5.1.8 Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-Agricultural Purposes

In Jalalpur 10 out of 20 respondents (i.e. 40 per cent) reported that they had converted some of their agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes. All of them reported that it was due to division in family and consequent need of more land for non-agricultural purposes. Three households also suggested that the conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes was due to its use for brick kiln (See table 5.1.8.1).

It was also reported by respondents that reasons of conversion of agricultural land for nonagricultural purposes in the village was -
(i) Division of family and consequent need of land for construction of houses;
(ii) To construct cattle shed; and
(iii) For brick kiln (See table 5.1.8.2).

The respondents were also asked whether they had discontinued cultivation of any part of agricultural land owned by them. In village Jalalpur, all the respondents replied in negative (See table 5.1.8.3).

Table 5.1.8.1

## Reason of Conversion of Agricultural land for Non-agricultural Uses of Owned Land by Selected Households

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family for construction of houses | 10 | 50.0 |
| Brick kiln | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not applicable | 10 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.8.2
Reasons of Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-agricultural Purposes in the Village (As Suggested by Respondents)

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family for construction of houses | 20 | 100.0 |
| Cattle shed | 2 | 10.0 |
| Brick kiln | 3 | 15.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table 5.1.8.3
Distribution of Respondents on the Basis of Responses to Query "Reasons for not cultivating the agriculture land"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Not applicable | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.1.9 Land Reclamation

All villages have some land which is barren and uncultivable. We wanted to know villagers perception about the possible uses of barren land. Only 15 out of 20 respondents replied to our query that barren land could be put to which uses. The suggestions were: Barren land could be used for ((i) construction of houses; (ii) construction of new ponds and tanks for fisheries (iii) to develop small industries/commercial place and (iv) for plantation (See table 5.1.9.1).

Only 14 out of 20 respondents were aware about the government programmes to reclaim usar land (See table 5.1.9.2).

However, facility could not be availed as the programme was not implemented in the village
(See table 5.1.9.3).
Table - 5.1.9.1
Distribution of Responses to the query "Barren land could be put to which uses"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction of House/Colony | 5 | 25.0 |
| Develop Small Industry/Commercial Place | 4 | 20.0 |
| Construction of New Ponds/Fisheries | 2 | 10.0 |
| Plantation | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.9.2
Distribution of Responses to the question "Are you aware of the Government Programmes to recalm Usar Land"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 14 | 70.0 |
| No | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.9.3
Distribution of Responses to the Query "Reasons for Not-availing the Facilities of Schemes for Land Reclamation"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scheme not implemented in the village | 6 | 30.0 |
| Don't need | 8 | 40.0 |
| Not applicable | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.1.10 Water Harvesting

Water harvesting is a serious challenge at the village level. It has two aspects one is water logging and the other is water conservation. The problem of water logging is a serious problem in the village Jalalpur. When asked, what measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water, 19 suggested that nullah be cleaned and 9 out of 20 (i.e. 45 per cent) respondents suggested that there was need to construct new nullah (See table 5.1.10.1).

As regards water conservation, when farmers were asked, what could be done to conserve rain water in the village, 4 (i.e. 20 per cent) suggested that old ponds be renovated, while 3 others (i.e. 15 per cent) suggested that new ponds should be constructed. Thus ponds are considered by most of the reporting farmers as most suitable way to conserve rain water (See table 5.1.10.2).

Farmers were also asked as to what would be the potential use of water. If more water could be conserved in the village. Farmers suggested that it could be used for irrigation and for animals (See table 5.1.10.3).

Table-5.1.10.1
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Drainage system linked to nullah | 1 | 5.0 |
| Cleaning of nullah | 9 | 45.0 |
| Construction of new nullah | 9 | 45.0 |
| No problem | 5 | 25.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.10.2
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What could be done to Conserve rain water in the village"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Renovation of old Ponds | 4 | 20.0 |
| Construct new Ponds | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not needed | 12 | 60.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.10.3
Distribution of Responses to Query "If more water could be conserved in the village then, it could be put to what uses?

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation | 5 | 25.0 |
| For animal | 3 | 15.0 |
| Fisheries | 2 | 10.0 |
| No use | 12 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

We also enquired about the present status/use of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared. It was reported by respondents that such land had been encroached upon (See table 5.1.10.4).

When asked what efforts should be made to renovate/revive those ponds, farmers said that desiltation and removal of encroachments were necessary for renovation of ponds (See table 5.1.10.5).

We also enquired from farmers as to what benefits would accrue if ponds could be revived. Villagers expected various benefits if disappeared ponds could be renovated/revived. The water thus available then could be used for irrigation, for cattle and also for fisheries (See table 5.1.10.6).

The present use of ponds showed that 17 respondents considered it of no use. It was used for cattle, and for irrigation according to other 3 respondents (See table 5.1.10.7).

Table-5.1.10.4
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What is the present use of land of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Encroachment | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.10.5
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What efforts could be made for revival of ponds"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cleaning of pond | 7 | 35.0 |
| Remove encroachments | 9 | 45.0 |
| No response | 5 | 25.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.10.6
Distribution of Responses to query "In what way the revival of Ponds will help villagers"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Irrigation | 4 |
| For Cattle use | 3 |
| Fisheries | 3 |
| Fire extinction | 2 |
| Remove waterlogging | 1 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table 5.1.10.7
Distribution of Responses to query "What is the Present Use of Existing Ponds"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| For cattle use | 2 |
| Irrigation | 1 |
| No uses | 17 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

### 5.1.11 Orchards

Farmers were also asked whether the area under orchards has increased or decreased. All the twenty farmers suggested that it has decreased (See table 5.1.11.1).

The main reason for decrease of orchards according to farmers were its long gestation period and because trees are being cut (See table 5.1.11.2).

When asked that why the potential of growth of orchards was low in the village, 15 farmers suggested that it was so because more land was needed for agriculture and that fruit trees are not being planted (See table 5.1.11.3).

Table - 5.1.11.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Whether the area under orchards has increased/decreased"

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Decreased | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.11.2
Distribution of Perception of Respondent about Reason of Decrease of Orchard

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Long gestation | 4 | 20.0 |
| Cutting of trees | 8 | 40.0 |
| New orchards not coming | 7 | 35.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.11.3
Distribution of Responses to query "Why the potential of growth of orchards is low"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| More land need for agriculture | 15 | 75.0 |
| Long gestation | 3 | 15.0 |
| Scarcity of water | 2 | 10.0 |
| Fruit tree are not being planted | 14 | 70.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

For some farmers the scope for developing new orchards in the village seemed to be very limited as 8 farmers felt that new orchards could be developed on agricultural land while 4 farmers suggested that it could be developed on road side in hamlets (See table 5.1.11.4).

When asked, what kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard, 25 per cent farmers suggested that G.S. Iand be made available for the purpose, while 20 per cent suggested that high yielding variety plants be given for the purpose (See table 5.1.11.5).

Table-5.1.11.4
Distribution of Responses to query "On which type of land area under orchards could be increased

| Type of Land | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural land | 8 | 40.0 |
| Barren land | 3 | 15.0 |
| Hamlet and road side | 4 | 20.0 |
| All type land | 3 | 15.0 |
| No response | 3 | 15.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.11.5
Distribution of Responses to query "What kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| G.S. land be made available for the purpose | 5 | 25.0 |
| H.Y.V. plants be given | 4 | 20.0 |
| Awareness campaign | 6 | 30.0 |
| No response | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.1.12 Livestock

In Jalalpur, 15 out of 20 selected respondents reported that size of their livestock has decreased, while 2 reported increase in the livestock.

The main reasons suggested for decrease in livestock by respondents were low income, of there was no one in the family to look after livestock and also because of increasing use of tractors (See table 5.1.12.1).

Out of the two respondents who reported increase in number of cattles, one said that he intended to increase income while the other attributed the increase in number of cattles to family need (See table 5.1.12.2).

When asked that number of which type of livestock has decreased; respondents reported that number of only two types namely bovine and bullocks had decreased (See table 5.1.12.3).

All the respondents suggested that their economic condition would improve if they increase bovine cattle (See table 5.1.12.4).

The main constraints in increasing livestock were: lack of manpower, economic constraint and scarcity of fodder/grazing land (See table 5.1.12.5).

Table-5.1.12.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for decrease in livestock"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low income |  |  |
| Lack of manpower | 3 | 15.0 |
| Now use tractors | 4 | 20 |
| Not applicable (2 increased +3 constant) | 5 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.12.2
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for increase in livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Increase income | 1 |
| Family need | 1 |
| Not applicable | 18 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.1.12.4
Distribution of Responses to query "What type of livestock will improve your economic condition"

| Types of Cattle | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 20 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.1.12.3
Distribution of Responses to query "Number of which type of livestock has decreased"

| Type of Cattles | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 9 |
| Bullock | 10 |
| Not applicable | 5 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table-5.1.12.5
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in increasing livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Economic constraint | 8 |
| Lack of manpower | 9 |
| Scarcity of fodder/grazing land | 3 |
| No problem | 2 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

### 5.1.13 Agriculture

The main crops grown in the village Jalalpur were wheat and paddy. The average production of wheat and paddy was 9.0 Qt ./acre and 13.3 Qt./acre respectively (See table 5.13.1).

Out of 20 selected farmers, 14 reported that productivity in their farms was lower than other farms. The main reasons for lower productivity were low irrigation, economic constraint, scarcity of manpower and inability to look after farming, secondly lower use of fertilizer, pesticide, compost etc.
(See table 5.1.13.2).
Farmers were also asked about the main constraints in better utilization of agricultural land. The constraints suggested included low irrigation, usar land, low productivity of land and economic constraint (See table 5.1.13.3).

Table - 5.1.13.1
Cropping Pattern of Selected Household, Average Production and Use of Fertilizer

| Crops | Net sown area <br> (in acre) | Production <br> (in Qt./Acre) | Compost <br> (per acre) | DAP <br> (in kg./acre) | Urea <br> (in kg./acre) | Potas <br> (in kg./acre) | Pesticide <br> (Rs./Acre) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 37.37 | 9.0 | 1.2 Trolley | 30.5 | 35.5 | 25.0 | - |
| Paddy | 25.37 | 13.3 | - | 30.0 | 60.0 | - | 350.00 |
| Peas | 4.0 | 5.5 | - | 33.0 | - | - | - |
| Bajara | 8.0 | 6.3 | - | - | 30.0 | - | - |
| Arhar | 3.71 | 6.5 | - | 20.0 | - | - | - |
| Sugarcane | 5.43 | 325.0 | - | 100.0 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 300.0 |
| Potato | 5.43 | 72.0 | 2 Trolley | 160.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 350.0 |

Table - 5.1.13.2
Distribution of Responses to query "Reason for lower productivity of respondents farm from other farms"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low use of fertilizer/pesticide/compost etc. | 3 | 15.0 |
| Low irrigation | 4 | 20.0 |
| Scarcity of resources | 2 | 10.0 |
| Economic constraint | 4 | 40.0 |
| Scarcity of manpower and inability to look after farming | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.13.3
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in better utilisation of agricultural land"

| Constraints | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low irrigation | 7 | 35.0 |
| Economic constraint | 2 | 10.0 |
| Low productivity of land | 2 | 10.0 |
| Usar land | 4 | 30.0 |
| Not applicable | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

The suggestions made by farmers to remove these constraints included increase in irrigation facility. Power supply be increased, land reclamation, economic assistance should be provided, HYV seeds be made available (See table 5.1.13.4).

Tenancy: One of the selected farmers leased-out land (See table 5.1.13.5). Only two selected farmers reported that they leased-in land. The reason was that they owned very small piece of land (See table 5.1.13.6).

Table-5.1.13.4
Distribution of Responses to query "How above mentioned constraints could be removed"

| Measures | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Increase irrigation facility | 6 | 30.0 |
| Economic/Credit assistance | 2 | 10.0 |
| Increase power supply | 4 | 20.0 |
| HY Varieties be made available | 1 | 5.0 |
| Land reclamation | 4 | 20.0 |
| Not applicable | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.13.5
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for leasing-out the land"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Not applicable | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.13.6
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for leasing-in by tenants"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic constraint and Owned land is small | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 18 | 90.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

## (C) Land Use Plan for Jalalpur Village

The main problem of the village is water logging and effects of brick kilns.
It is therefore necessary to check seepage and overflow of water from canals. The sides of canal should have brick lining.

Secondly, operation of brick kilns be regulated in the village.
Houses are being constructed in haphazard manner, even on agricultural land. There is need to check this tendency.

The drainage system in the village could improve if nullah (a natural drainage passage) is regularly cleaned.

The village pond has been encroached upon. Encroachers should be evicted and pond be revived in the village.

Besides above suggestions following steps could be taken to regulate land use in the village:
(i) Land Management Committee be reconstituted with representations of all sections and entrusted with specific responsibilities related to land use in the village.
(ii) After consolidation, conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes be prohibited. Those who have violated this norm should be penalized. A fine based on current value of land and house be imposed.
(iii) Building tax should be collected every year from those farmers who have constructed any house/building on farm land.
(iv) Stringent action should be taken against those who have encroached upon pond of the village. They should be debarred from getting benefit of any government scheme and also debarred from contesting any elections.
(v) Desiltation of drainage course should be done regularly.

# Village Study - II <br> Village - Surjipur (Block - Bilariyaganj) 

## (A) Village Profile

Village Surjipur is at distance of 19 kilometers from block headquarter Bilariyaganj. There is no approach road upto village. The nearest road is at a distance of 2 kms . from the village. Only around 20 per cent landowners owned problem free land. Land area ranging from 20 per cent to 50 per cent of other land owners was reported to be usar. Earlier usar land or less productive land was not used for agricultural purposes. But now even such land is being cultivated. Efforts have also been made to reclaim/increase productivity of such land.

### 5.2.1 Land Use Pattern

Village Surjipur is a small village with 85.0 hectares of total reporting area. The present land use pattern showed that 85.88 per cent of the total reporting area was net sown area out of which 76.71 per cent was irrigate. The land under water bodies was small ( 2.35 per cent) and 2.35 per cent was culturable waste land (See table 5.2.1).

Table - 5.2.1
Land Use Pattern in the Surjipur Village of the Azamgarh District

| Land Use Categories | In hectare | In percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total reporting area | 85 | 100.0 |
| Water bodies | 2 | 2.35 |
| Habitation | 4 | 4.7 |
| Barren | 1 | 1.17 |
| Pasture | 1 | 1.17 |
| Culturable waste | 2 | 2.35 |
| Current fallow | 2 | 2.35 |
| Net sown area | 73 | 85.88 |
| (a) Irrigated | 56 | 76.71 |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 17 | 23.29 |
| Area sown more than once | 56 |  |
| (a) Irrigated | 26 |  |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 30 |  |

[^0]
### 5.2.2 Demographic Profile

The average family size was 8.5 in the village. (See table 5.2.2.1). The population in the working age group i.e. in the age group (14-60) years comprised 54.71 per cent of total population. That is the rest of 45 per cent persons constituted dependents in the family. The sex ratio (i.e. number of females per thousand males) was 836.41.

The literacy rate was 77.29 per cent in the village. It could also be seen from table 5.2.2.2 that number of illiterates was much higher among females than among males. On the other hand in each category of education group, the number of males was much higher than females.

Table-5.2.2.1
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Population in the Village Surjipur

| Particulars | Gender | Chamar | Dhobi | Badhai | Patel | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Bhumih } \\ \text { ar } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Brahmin | Muslim | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population | Male | 242 | 62 | 9 | 34 | 8 | 14 | 65 | 434 |
|  | Female | 213 | 47 | 8 | 30 | 6 | 12 | 47 | 363 |
|  | Total | 455 | 109 | 17 | 64 | 14 | 26 | 112 | 797 |
| Below 5 year population | Male | 30 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 71 |
|  | Female | 22 | 11 | 1 | 8 | - | 1 | 10 | 53 |
|  | Total | 52 | 20 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 29 | 124 |
| 5 to 14 year population | Male | 66 | 19 | - | 5 | 3 | 3 | 18 | 114 |
|  | Female | 62 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 96 |
|  | Total | 128 | 27 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 28 | 210 |
| 14 to 60 year population | Male | 143 | 29 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 234 |
|  | Female | 127 | 24 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 22 | 202 |
|  | Total | 270 | 53 | 12 | 35 | 7 | 14 | 45 | 436 |
| Above 60 year population | Male | 3 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | 15 |
|  | Female | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 12 |
|  | Total | 5 | 9 |  | 3 | - | - | 10 | 27 |
| Family size |  | 8.1 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 14.0 | 26.0 | 10.2 | 8.5 |

Table - 5.2.2.2
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Education in the Village Surjipur

| Particulars | Gender | Chamar | Dhobi | Badhai | Patel | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bhumin } \\ \text { ar } \end{gathered}$ | Brahmin | Muslim | Total | \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduation and above | Male | 14 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 17 | 3.92 |
|  | Female | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 14 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 17 | 2.13 |
| Intermediate and high school | Male | 30 | 7 | - | 10 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 56 | 12.90 |
|  | Female | 9 | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | 18 | 4.96 |
|  | Total | 39 | 8 | - | 14 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 74 | 9.28 |
| Below high school | Male | 137 | 41 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 36 | 239 | 55.07 |
|  | Female | 87 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 7 | 19 | 147 | 40.50 |
|  | Total | 224 | 53 | 11 | 24 | 6 | 13 | 55 | 386 | 48.43 |
| Illiterate | Male | 27 | 5 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 45 | 10.37 |
|  | Female | 84 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 137 | 37.74 |
|  | Total | 111 | 28 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 28 | 182 | 22.71 |

### 5.2.3 Land Ownership

In Surjipur, the average size of landholding per family was 1.4 acres and per adult person only 0.28 acres (See table 5.2.3.1). The low size of land holdings per adult person also indicates that the land available for cultivation was not enough to engage all the adults in agriculture for full time work. The pressure of land has therefore forced many others to search for jobs outside agriculture The fact that per person land was around 0.98 acres in even the landholding group (510) acres, and that around 85 per cent households owned less than 2.5 acres of land, shows that in future, population pressure on land would be tremendous in all size groups. The village is thus moving towards a situation in which it will be dominated by landless and marginal farmer households. The village has mixed population. Chamars constitute the largest caste group followed by Dhobi, Muslims and Patels as other major castes in the village. Caste-wise distribution of landholdings in different size categories is shown the table 5.2.3.2.

Table - 5.2.3.1

## Landholding Size : Per Family/Per Adult in the Village Surjipur

| Landholding size | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { households } \end{gathered}$ | Total adult pop. (>14 year) | Total land | Average landholding (Per adult person) | Average landholding (Per family) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land-less | 2 | 16 | - | - | - |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 26 | 126 | 4.4 | 0.03 | 0.16 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 16 | 77 | 10.6 | 0.13 | 0.66 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 35 | 180 | 52.7 | 0.30 | 1.51 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 9 | 44 | 34.8 | 0.80 | 3.87 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 5 | 28 | 27.4 | 0.98 | 5.48 |
| Total | 93 | 463 | 129.9 | 0.28 | 1.40 |

Table - 5.2.3.2
Caste-wise Distribution of Landholdings Size in the Village Surjipur

| Landholding size | Chamar | Dhobi | Badhai | Patel | Bhumih <br> ar | Brahmi <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Muslim | Total | \%age |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land-less | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2.15 |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 12 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 26 | 27.96 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 11 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 3 | 16 | 17.20 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 29 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 35 | 37.63 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 9 | 9.68 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 5 | 5.38 |
| Total | 56 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 93 | 100.0 |

### 5.2.4

Occupational Structure
The occupation-wise distribution of households showed that the main occupation of 31 out of 93 households i.e. 33.33 per cent was cultivation, while that of 16 households i.e. 17.2 per cent it was wage work and 26 i.e. 27.96 per cent were engaged in service. Main occupation of 20 households i.e. 21.51 per cent was other works.

The occupation of many households have also changed as a result of increasing pressure on land and non-availability of work in the village. The change in main occupation has taken place mainly among cultivators. Out of 52 households whose main occupation was cultivation in the past, now only 26 i.e. 50.0 per cent are continuing with it, 19 (i.e. 36.54 per cent) are engaged in service and 3 (i.e. 5.77 per cent) are engaged in other work. Interestingly among those 26 households who have shifted to other occupations, 19 still continue to be engaged in as cultivation as their supplementary occupation. Similarly those households who continue cultivation as their main occupation are also engaged in supplementary occupations. Wage work running a shop and other work was supplementary occupation of many cultivators (See table 5.2.4.1).

There were 343 workers in the village out of which 221 were males and 122 were females. It could also be seen from the table 5.2.4.2 that out of 343 workers 40.52 per cent were cultivators, 14.58 per cent were agricultural labourers, 12.24 per cent were non-agricultural labour, 13.41 per cent were in service and 19.24 per cent were engaged in other work.

Table - 5.2.4.1

## Present and Past Occupation of Households in the Village Surjipur

| Past occupation |  |  | Present main occupation |  |  |  | Supplementary occupation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | Total <br> HHs. | Cultiva <br> tor | Wage | Servic <br> e | Other <br> works | Cultiva <br> tor | Wage | Shop | Other <br> work |  |
| Cultivator | 52 | 26 | 4 | 19 | 3 | 19 | 10 | 10 | 5 |  |
| Wage | 23 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 |  |
| Service | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - |  |
| Other works | 16 | - | - | - | 16 | 16 | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 93 | 31 | 16 | 26 | 20 | 42 | 15 | 13 | 10 |  |

Table - 5.2.4.2
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Occupation of Workers in the Village Surjipur

| Particulars | Gender | Chamar | Dhobi | Badhai | Patel | Bhumih ar | Brahmin | Muslim | Total | \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivator | Male | 64 | - | - | 22 | - | - | - | 86 | 38.91 |
|  | Female | 37 | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | 53 | 43.40 |
|  | Total | 101 | - | - | 38 | - | - | - | 139 | 40.52 |
| Agricultural labour | Male | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 28 | 12.67 |
|  | Female | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 22 | 18.03 |
|  | Total | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 50 | 14.58 |
| Non-agricultural labour | Male | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 16 | 7.24 |
|  | Female | - | 8 | 6 | - | - | - | 12 | 26 | 21.31 |
|  | Total | - | 18 | 6 | - | - | - | 18 | 42 | 12.24 |
| Service | Male | 35 | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 4 | 45 | 20.36 |
|  | Female | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 0.82 |
|  | Total | 35 | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 4 | 46 | 13.41 |
| Others | Male | 4 | 24 | 6 | - | - | - | 12 | 46 | 20.81 |


| Female | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 16.39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 4 | 44 | 6 | - | - | - | 12 | 66 | 19.24 |

### 5.2.5 Livestock

Even the animal population per households was not very large in the village (See table 5.2.5). It could be seen from the table that cows and buffaloes were the main income supporting animals in the village. If we work out the average number of cattles (that is cows and buffaloes taken together), average cattle owned was found to be 0.97 per household in the village.

Table - 5.2.5
Distribution of Animal in Different Categories of Landholding Households in the Village Surjipur

| Landholding size | Total HHs. | Cow | Buffalo | Calf | Other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land-less | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 26 | 5 | 12 | 15 | 20 | 52 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 16 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 33 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 35 | 18 | 22 | 38 | 10 | 88 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 9 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 18 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 14 |
| Total | 93 | 41 | 49 | 75 | 43 | 208 |

### 5.2.6 Housing Condition

There were 116 built houses owned by 93 households i.e. 23 households owned more than one house. These are generally those households who own a pucca house along with a kutcha /semi pucca houses. There is a tendency to shift to a pucca house whenever possible and then kutcha or semi pucca house are put to other uses or as storage. Out of 116 houses in the village 66 i.e. 56.90 per cent were kutcha houses, 39 i.e. 33.62 per cent were pucca houses, and 11 i.e. 9.48 per cent were semi pucca houses (See table 5.4.6).

Table - 5.2.6
Caste-wise Distribution of Housing Condition in the Village Surjipur

| Housing <br> condition | Chamar | Dhobi | Badhai | Patel | Bhumina <br> $\mathbf{r}$ | Brahmin | Muslim | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Katcha | 37 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | 8 | 66 |
| Pakka | 25 | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | 4 | 39 |
| Semi Pakka | 4 | 3 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| Total | 66 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 116 |
| Total Households | 56 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 93 |

# (B) Responses of Selected Households in Surjipur Village 

Twenty households in the village Surjipur were selected to elicit information about land use behaviour at household level. We selected only those households who owned some land.

### 5.2.7 Change in Size of Land Holding

The distribution of households on the basis of landholdings showed that 5 (i.e. 25 per cent) owned less than 1 acre of land and 7 (i.e. 35 per cent) owned between 1 to 2.5 acres of land. Thus 60 per cent farmers were marginal farmer, 20 per cent farmers were small farmers and 20 per cent belonged to medium size group (See table 5.2.7.1).

In Surjipur, out of 20 households 10 reported that the size of landholdings changed during the last 20 years.

The reason of changes in the total land owned during the last 20 years in selected households showed that in 6 households (i.e. 30 per cent), division of family was the major cause, while in case of 1 (i.e. 5 per cent) household changes took place due to purchase of land and three households (i.e. 15 per cent) sold land (See table 5.2.7.2).

Table - 5.2.7.1
Caste and Landholding wise Distribution of Selected Households in Villages Surjipur

| Caste | Below <br> 0.63 Acre | 0.63 to <br> 1.0 Acre | 1.0 to 2.5 <br> Acre | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ to 5.0 <br> Acre | 5.0 to <br> 10.0 Acre | Above 10 <br> Acre | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Chamar | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 6 |
| Dhobi | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Patel | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 5 |
| Badhai | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Brahmin | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Bhumihar | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Muslims | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 3 |
| Total | 2 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4 | - | 20 |
| Percentage | 10.0 | 15.0 | 35.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | - | 100.0 |

Table-5.2.7.2
Reason of Changes in Total Land Owned during the Last 20 years in Selected Households

| Reason | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |
| Division of family | 6 | 30.0 |
| Purchased | 1 | 5.0 |
| Sold | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not applicable | 10 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

In Surjipur village, only one household reported that his landholding increased during the last 20 years. The change of the reporting household was found to be 3.42 acres. That shows the purchase of land was of a very small scale (See table 5.2.7.3).

The number of households who reported decrease in their landholdings was 9 (i.e. 45 per cent) of total sampled households, and the average change per reporting households was 4.39 acres (See table 5.2.7.4).

Table - 5.2.7.3

## Number of Households Whose Landholding Increased

| Number <br> of HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during 20 <br> years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.02 | 5.60 | 3.42 | 3.42 |

Table - 5.2.7.4
Number of Households Whose Landholding Decreased

| Number of <br> HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during <br> 20 years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 34.46 | 73.94 | 39.48 | 4.39 |

### 5.2.8 Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-Agricultural Purposes

In Surjipur 8 out of 20 respondents (i.e. 40 per cent) reported that they had converted some part of their agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes. All the eight of them reported that it was due to division in family and consequent need of more land for non-agricultural purposes (See table 5.2.8.1).

It was also reported by respondents that reasons of conversion of agricultural land for nonagricultural purposes in the village were (See table 5.2.8.2)-

Division of family and consequent need of land for construction of houses.
The respondents were also asked whether they had discontinued cultivation of any part of agricultural land owned by them. In village Surjipur, only two respondents replied in affirmative, and the reasons for it was waterlogging (See table 5.2.8.3).

Table 5.2.8.1
Reason of Conversion of Agricultural land for Non-agricultural Uses of Owned Land by Selected Households

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family for construction of houses | 8 | 40.0 |
| Not applicable | 12 | 60.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.8.2
Other Reasons of Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-agricultural Purpose in the Village (As Suggested by Respondents)

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family for construction of houses | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table 5.2.8.3
Distribution of Respondents on the Basis of Responses to Query "Reasons for not cultivating the agriculture land"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Waterlogging | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable |  |  |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 90.0 |

### 5.2.9 Land Reclamation

All villages have some land which is barren and uncultivable. We wanted to know villagers' perception about the possible uses of barren land. Seventeen of 20 respondents replied to our query that barren land could be put to which uses. The suggestions were: Barren land could be used for ((i) construction of houses; (ii) construction of new ponds and tanks for fisheries (iii) to develop small industries/commercial place and (iv) for plantation (See table 5.2.9.1).

All the 20 respondents were aware about the government programmes to reclaim usar land (See table 5.2.9.2). However, they did not avail the facility as the programme was not implemented in the village.

Table - 5.2.9.1
Distribution of Responses to the query "Barren land could be put to which uses"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plantation | 3 | 15.0 |
| Construction of House | 4 | 20.0 |
| Construction of New Ponds/Fisheries | 3 | 15.0 |
| Develop Small Industry/Commercial Place | 1 | 5.0 |
| Fodder/grazing | 3 | 15.0 |
| No uses | 4 | 20.0 |
| No response | 3 | 15.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.9.2
Distribution of Responses to the question "Are you aware of the Government Programmes to recalm Usar Land"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.1.9.3
Distribution of Responses to Query "Which Department Undertook the work of Reclamation of Usar Land"

| Agency | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Usar Sudhar Nigam | 2 | 10.0 |
| Reporting respondents | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.9.4
Distribution of Responses to the Query "Reasons for Not-availing the Facilities of Schemes for Land Reclamation"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scheme not implemented in the village | 6 | 30.0 |
| Don't need | 9 | 45.0 |
| Not approachable | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not applicable | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.2.10 Water Harvesting

Water harvesting is a serious challenge at the village level. It has two aspects one is water logging and the other is water conservation. The problem of water logging either due to floods or seepage was a serious problem in some parts of village Surjipur. When asked, what measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water 5 respondents wanted cleansing of nullah while only two respondent suggested that there was need to construct new nullah (See table 5.2.10.1).

As regards water conservation, most of them suggested that there was no need for it (See table 5.2.10.2).

Table - 5.2.10.1
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction of new nullah | 2 | 10.0 |
| No problem | 2 | 10.0 |
| Cleaning of nullah | 5 | 25.0 |
| Not applicable | 11 | 55.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.10.2
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What could be done to Conserve rain water in the village"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Not needed | 19 | 95.0 |
| No response | 1 | 5.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.10.3
Distribution of Responses to Query "If more water could be conserved in the village then, it could be put to what uses?

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No uses | 19 | 95.0 |
| No response | 1 | 5.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

We also enquired about the present status/use of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared. It was reported by 3 respondents that such land had been encroached upon, and/or is being used for cultivation (See table 5.1.10.4).

When asked what efforts should be made to renovate/revive those ponds, farmers said that desiltation and removal of encroachments were necessary for renovation of ponds (See table 5.1.10.5).

We also enquired from farmers as to what benefits would accrue if ponds could be revived. Only few villagers expected various benefits if disappeared ponds could be renovated/revived. The water thus available then could be used for irrigation, for cattle and also for fisheries (See table 5.1.10.6).

The present use of ponds showed an encouraging sign. As it was used for bathing/washing and for cattle (See table 5.1.10.7).

Table-5.1.10.4
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What is the present use of land of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grazing by animals | 4 | 20.0 |
| Encroachment | 3 | 15.0 |
| No reply | 13 | 65.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.10.5
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What efforts could be made for revival of ponds"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cleaning of pond and make bundhi | 3 | 15.0 |
| Remove encroachments | 3 | 15.0 |
| No response | 14 | 70.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.1.10.6
Distribution of Responses to query "In what way the revival of Ponds will help villagers"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Irrigation | 2 |
| For Cattle use | 2 |
| Fisheries | 3 |
| No benefits | 1 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table 5.1.10.7
Distribution of Responses to query "What is the Present Use of Existing Ponds"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bathing/Washing | 11 |
| For cattle use | 9 |
| Not any uses | 4 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

### 5.2.11 Orchards

Farmers were also asked whether the area under orchards had increased or decreased. Nine (i.e. 45.0 per cent) farmers suggested that it has decreased, while only 20 per cent reported increase in area under orchards (See table 5.2.11.1).

The main reason for decrease of orchards according to farmers were increase in felling of trees and need for agricultural land and long gestation period of orchards (See table 5.2.11.2).

The reason for increase in the area under orchards, and/or coming up of new orchards was mentioned by four farmers only. Two suggested that plantation was being done by forest department while two of them attributed it to tendency for commercial groves (See table 5.2.11.3).

Table-5.2.11.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Whether the area under orchards has increased/decreased"

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Increased | 4 | 20.0 |
| Decreased | 9 | 45.0 |
| Constant | 7 | 35.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.2.11.2
Distribution of Perception of Respondent about Reason of Decrease of Orchard

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tree felling increased | 1 | 5.0 |
| New orchards not coming | 2 | 10.0 |
| Long gestation | 2 | 10.0 |
| Need for Agricultural Land | 5 | 25.0 |
| Not applicable | 11 | 55.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.2.11.3
Perception of Respondent about Reason of Increase of Orchard

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| H.V Plants given | 2 | 10.0 |
| Tendency for commercial groves | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 16 | 80.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

When asked as to why the potential of growth of orchards was low in the village, 8 (i.e. 40 per cent) farmers suggested that it was so because more land was needed for agriculture, one suggested that it was difficult to protect from animals while two others attributed to its long gestation period (See table 5.2.11.4).

The scope for developing new orchards in the village seemed to be very limited as most farmers felt that new orchards could be developed on agricultural land (See table 5.2.11.5).

When asked, what kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard, various suggestions were made, which included high yielding variety plants be given for the purpose, protection of trees, gram sabha land be made available for the purpose, etc. (See table 5.2.11.6).

Table - 5.2.11.4
Distribution of Responses to query "Why the potential of growth of orchards is low"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| More land needed for agriculture | 8 | 40.0 |
| Long gestation period | 2 | 10.0 |
| Tendency declined | 3 | 15.0 |
| Difficulty to protect from animals | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 11 | 55.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.11.5
Distribution of Responses to query "On which type of land area under orchards could be increased

| Type of Land | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural land | 12 | 60.0 |
| Road side and around hamlet | 5 | 25.0 |
| G.S. Land | 3 | 15.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.11.6
Distribution of Responses to query "What kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| G.S. land be made available for the purpose | 3 | 15.0 |
| H.Y.V. plants be given | 8 | 40.0 |
| Awareness campaign | 5 | 25.0 |
| Protection of tree | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.2.12 Livestock

In Surjipur, 12 out of 20 selected respondents reported that size of their livestock has decreased, while only 4 reported increase in the livestock.

The main reasons suggested for decrease in livestock by respondents were there was no one in the family to look after livestock and also because of increasing use of tractors (See table 5.2.12.1).

Among the respondents who reported increase in number of cattles. Two suggested that the number of cattle was increased to increase family income while 3 attributed it to family requirement (See table 5.2.12.2).

When asked that number of which type of livestock has decreased; the respondents reported that number of only two types namely bovine and bullocks had decreased (See table 5.2.12.3).

The overwhelming majority of respondents suggested that their economic condition would improve if they increase bovine cattle (See table 5.2.12.4).

The main constraints in increasing livestock were: lack of manpower to manage, economic constraint and scarcity of fodder/grazing land (See table 5.2.12.5).

Table - 5.2.12.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for decrease in livestock"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sold (scarcity of money) |  |  |
| No one to look after them | 1 | 5.0 |
| Death | 1 | 25.0 |
| Now use tractors | 5 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable (4 increased + 4 constant) | 8 | 25.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.12.2
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for increase in livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Increase income | 2 |
| Family requirement | 3 |
| Attachment of animals | 1 |
| Not applicable | 16 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.2.12.4
Distribution of Responses to query "What type of livestock will improve your economic condition"

| Types of Cattle | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 18 |
| Goat | 2 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.2.12.3 Distribution of Responses to query "Number of which type of livestock has decreased"

| Type of Cattles | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 7 |
| Goat | 2 |
| Bullock | 3 |
| All type | 1 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.2.12.5
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in increasing livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Economic constraint | 3 |
| Lack of manpower to manage | 15 |
| Scarcity of fodder/grazing land | 8 |
| Scarcity of animal's doctors | 1 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

### 5.2.13 Agriculture

The main crops grown in the village Surjipur were wheat and paddy groundnuts and peas. The average productivity of wheat and paddy was 10.1 Qt./acre and 12.2 Qt./acre respectively (See table 5.2.13.1).

Out of the 20 selected farmers, 10 reported that productivity in their farms was lower than other farms. The main reasons for lower productivity were reported as economic constrains, and low productivity of land (See table 5.2.13.2).

Farmers were also asked about the main constraints in better utilization of agricultural land. The constraints suggested included, low productivity of land, erratic power supply, and economic constraint (See table 5.2.13.3).

Table - 5.2.13.1
Cropping Pattern of Selected Household, Average Production and Use of Fertilizer

| Crops | Net sown area <br> (in acre) | Production <br> (in Qt./Acre) | Compost <br> (per acre) | DAP <br> (in kg./acre) | Urea <br> (in kg./acre) | Potas <br> (in kg./acre) | Pesticide <br> (Rs./Acre) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 42.14 | 10.1 | 2 Trolley | 35.0 | 40.0 | - | - |
| Paddy | 39.71 | 12.2 | - | 40.0 | 40.0 | - | 285.0 |
| Peas | 5.71 | 7.5 | - | 25.0 | - | - | - |
| Bajara | 11.71 | 6.5 | - | - | 25.0 | - | - |
| Arhar | 7.71 | 6.2 | - | - | 25.0 | - | - |
| Sugarcane | 6.00 | 330.0 | - | 100.0 | 75.0 | 60.0 | 300.0 |
| Potato | 3.43 | 69.5 | 2 Trolley | 200.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 350.0 |

Table - 5.2.13.2
Distribution of Responses to query "Reason for lower productivity of respondents farm from other farms"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Low use of fertilizer/pesticide/compost etc. | 1 | 5.0 |
| No one look after them | 2 | 10.0 |
| Scarcity of resources | 2 | 10.0 |
| Economic constraint | 10 | 50.0 |
| Low productivity of land | 4 | 20.0 |
| Not applicable | 10 | 70.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.13.3
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in better utilisation of agricultural land"

| Constraints | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low irrigation | 1 | 5.0 |
| Economic constraint | 5 | 25.0 |
| Low productivity of land | 11 | 55.0 |
| Scarcity of electricity for irrigation | 5 | 25.0 |
| Not applicable | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

The various suggestions made by farmers to remove these constraints included, power supply be increased, economic assistance should be provided, and soil testing should be done (See table 5.2.13.4).

Tenancy: One out of twenty farmers leased out land. The main reason for leasing out land was non-availability of workers (See table 5.2.13.5). Only one selected farmer reported that he leased in land. The reason was that they wanted to augment income and because his landholding was small (See table 5.2.13.6).

Table-5.2.13.4
Distribution of Responses to query "How above mentioned constraints could be removed"

| Measures | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic/Credit assistance | 6 | 30.0 |
| Soil testing | 5 | 25.0 |
| Land reclamation | 2 | 10.0 |
| More electricity for irrigation | 6 | 30.0 |
| Not applicable | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.2.13.5
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for leasing out the land"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scarcity of manpower | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 19 | 95.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table 5.2.13.6
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for leasing in by tenants"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Augment income and small size of land holdings | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 19 | 95.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

## (C) Land Use Plan for Surjipur Village

There is a nullah near the village. Many pits have developed around the route of this nullah, and some area gets waterlogged during rainy season due to it. This nullah is linked to a canal which is at around 2 kms . from the village. During rainy season even the canal overflows and causes waterlogging.

There is a pond in the village which is under gram sabha land which is being used by washerman for washing clothes. Some part of it has been encroached upon by them.

There is need to cleanse the pond and raise embankments for its general use.
In the less productive cultivated land agro-forestry could be promoted.
Besides above suggestions following steps could be taken to regulate land use in the village:
(i) Land Management Committee be reconstituted with representations of all sections and entrusted with specific responsibilities related to land use in the village.
(ii) After consolidation, conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes be prohibited. Those who have violated this norm should be penalized. A fine based on current value of land and house be imposed.
(iii) Building tax should be collected every year from those farmers who have constructed any house/building on farm land.
(iv) Stringent action should be taken against those who have encroached upon pond of the village. They should be debarred from getting benefit of any government scheme and also debarred from contesting any elections.
(v) Desiltation of drainage course should be done regularly.

# Village Study - III <br> Village - Bargahan (Block - Thekma) 

## (A) Village Profile

Village Bargahan is located at a distance of 16 kilometers from block headquarter Thekma. It is a politically sensitive village as one former minister of Government of U.P. belongs to this village. The village has a mixed population from the point of view of caste composition. More than 86 per cent holdings were marginal holdings.

### 5.3.1 Land Use Pattern

Village Bargahan is a big village with 454 hectares of total reporting area. In village Bargahan land use pattern shows that it continues to be predominantly agricultural as 71.8 per cent of total reporting area was under cultivation and about 94 per cent of it was irrigated. Besides net sown area, some area was reported, under water bodies and some fallow land was also reported, which could become an important aspect of land use planning of the village. The village has also some area under pasture land (See table 5.3.1).

Table - 5.3.1
Land Use Pattern in the Bargahan Village of the Azamgarh District

| Land Use Categories | In hectare | In percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total reporting area | 454 | 100.0 |
| Water bodies | 32 | 7.05 |
| Habitation | 22 | 4.84 |
| Other uses | 2 | 0.44 |
| Barren | 1 | 0.22 |
| Pasture | 13 | 2.86 |
| Banjar | 7 | 1.54 |
| Culturable waste | 1 | 0.22 |
| Other trees and plantations | 4 | 0.88 |
| Current fallow | 46 | 10.13 |
| Net sown area | 326 | 71.80 |
| (a) Irrigated | 305 | 93.56 |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 21 | 6.44 |
| Area sown more than once | 241 |  |
| (a) Irrigated | 1 |  |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 240 |  |
| Sourcer |  |  |

Source: Revenue department.

### 5.3.2 Demographic Profile

The average family size was 7.8 in the village. The population in the working age group i.e. in the age group (14-60) years comprised about 52.15 per cent of total population. That is less than 48 per cent persons constituted dependents in the family. The village also shows adverse sex ratio. This is evident from the fact that the number of female population per thousand male population was only 922.14 (See table 5 .3.2.1).

The literacy rate was 61.39 per cent. It could also be seen from table 5.3.2.2 that number of illiterates was much higher among females than among males. On the other hand in each category of education group, the number of males was much higher than females.

Table - 5.3.2.1
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Population in the Village Bargahan

| Caste | Total population |  |  | Below 5 year population |  |  | 5 to 14 year population |  |  | 14 to 60 year population |  |  | Above 60 year population |  |  | Family size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | $\mathcal{F}$ | T | M | $\mathcal{F}$ | T | M | $\mathcal{F}$ | T | M | $\mathcal{F}$ | T | M | $\mathcal{F}$ | T |  |
| Harijan | 370 | 358 | 728 | 84 | 84 | 168 | 93 | 89 | 182 | 186 | 179 | 365 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 7.1 |
| Rajbhar | 285 | 270 | 555 | 44 | 54 | 98 | 77 | 62 | 139 | 161 | 151 | 312 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 7.7 |
| Nai | 11 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 9 | - | - | - | 6.7 |
| Kahar | 62 | 54 | 116 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 18 | 44 | 26 | 25 | 51 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6.4 |
| Lohar | 3 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  | - |  | 3 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  | 5.0 |
| Kashyap | 30 | 28 | 58 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 29 |  |  |  | 5.8 |
| Prajapati | 11 | 7 | 18 | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 9 | - |  |  | 9.0 |
| Maurya | 34 | 33 | 67 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 20 | 17 | 37 |  |  |  | 7.4 |
| Yadav | 96 | 78 | 174 | 24 | 18 | 42 | 27 | 20 | 47 | 45 | 40 | 85 | - |  |  | 7.5 |
| Chauhan | 65 | 60 | 125 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 31 | 31 | 62 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 6.0 |
| Thakur | 92 | 108 | 199 | 13 | 170 | 30 | 220 | 28 | 50 | 51 | 59 | 110 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 13.0 |
| Brahmin | 31 | 31 | 62 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 35 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8.8 |
| Muslim | 144 | 100 | 244 | 32 | 25 | 57 | 38 | 17 | 55 | 72 | 55 | 127 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 11.1 |
| Total | 1233 | 1137 | 2370 | 237 | 239 | 476 | 330 | 277 | 607 | 639 | 597 | 1236 | 27 | 24 | 51 | 7.8 |

Table - 5.3.2.2
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Education in the Village Bargahan

| Caste | Graduation and above |  |  | Intermediate and high school |  |  | Below high school |  |  | Illiterate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | T | M |  | T | M |  | T | M |  | T |
|  | $\mathcal{M}$ | $\mathcal{F}$ |  |  | $\mathcal{F}$ |  |  | $\mathcal{F}$ |  |  | $\mathcal{F}$ |  |
| Harijan | 8 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 2 | 26 | 130 | 88 | 218 | 99 | 174 | 273 |
| Rajbhar | 8 | - | 8 | 51 | 7 | 58 | 128 | 69 | 197 | 48 | 99 | 149 |
| Nai | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Kahar | 1 | - | 1 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 40 | 30 | 70 | 3 | 12 | 15 |
| Lohar | - | - | - | - |  | - | 3 |  | 3 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Kashyap | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 4 | 16 | 10 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| Prajapati | 1 | - | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | 5 | 2 | 7 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Maurya | - | - | - | - |  | - | 13 | 9 | 22 | 13 | 14 | 27 |


| Yadav | 6 | - | 6 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 40 | 27 | 67 | 3 | 23 | 26 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Chauhan | - | - | - | 8 | 3 | 11 | 35 | 19 | 54 | 14 | 25 | 39 |
| Thakur | 19 | 8 | 27 | 23 | 13 | 36 | 22 | 44 | 66 | 3 | 15 | 18 |
| Brahmin | 7 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 16 | 21 | 37 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Muslim | 2 | - | 2 | 6 | - | 6 | 82 | 21 | 103 | 22 | 56 | 78 |
| Total | 53 | 12 | 65 | 163 | 40 | 203 | 533 | 343 | 876 | 212 | 439 | 651 |
| Percentage | 4.3 | 1.06 | 2.74 | 13.22 | 3.52 | 8.57 | 43.23 | 30.17 | 36.96 | 17.19 | 38.61 | 27.47 |

### 5.3.3 Land Ownership

In Bargahan, the average size of landholding per family was 1.61 acres and per adult person only 0.38 acres (See table 5.3.3.1). The low size of land holdings per adult person also indicates that the land available for cultivation was not enough to engage all the adults in agriculture for full time work. The pressure of land has therefore forced many others to search for jobs outside agriculture. The fact that per adult person land was around 0.6 acres in the landholding group (2.55.0) acres shows that in future population pressure on land would be tremendous in all size groups. The village is thus moving towords a situation in which it will be dominated by landless and near landless households and marginal farmers who already constitute around 86.0 per cent of total households in the village. Village Bargahan had a mixed population from the point of view of distribution of castes in the village population. Harijans (Chamars, a schedule caste) was the predominant caste in the village as 102 out of 305 i.e. around 33.44 per cent households belonged to this caste (See table 5.3.3.2). Other caste with sizable households was Rajbhars.

Table - 5.3.3.1
Distribution of Per Family/Per Adult Size of Landholdings in Different Size Groups in the
Village Bargahan

| Landholding size | Total <br> households | Total adult pop. <br> (>14 year) | Total land | Average <br> landholding (Per <br> adult person) | Average <br> andholding <br> (Per family) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land-less | 36 | 117 | - | - | - |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 62 | 215 | 17.3 | 0.08 | 0.28 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 67 | 267 | 42.8 | 0.16 | 0.63 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 98 | 407 | 145.8 | 0.35 | 1.48 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 22 | 117 | 70.8 | 0.60 | 3.21 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 14 | 89 | 98.7 | 1.11 | 7.05 |
| Above 10 Acre | 6 | 75 | 115.3 | 1.54 | 19.22 |
| Total | 305 | 128 | 490.7 | 0.38 | 1.61 |

Caste-wise Distribution of Landholdings in Different Size Groups in the Village Bargahan

| Caste | Land-less | Below 0.63 Acre | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.63 \text { to } \\ \text { 1.0 Acre } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } 2.5 \\ \text { Acre } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.5 \text { to } 5.0 \\ \text { Acre } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 5.0 \text { to } \\ \text { 10.0 Acre } \end{array}$ | Above 10 Acre | Total HHs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harijan | 24 | 37 | 27 | 13 | - | 1 |  | 102 |
| Rajbhar | 4 | 4 | 11 | 42 | 10 |  | 1 | 72 |
| Nai | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Kahar | - | 11 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | 18 |
| Lohar | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 |
| Kashyap | - | 2 | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | 10 |
| Prajapati | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  | 2 |
| Maurya | - | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | - | 9 |
| Yadav | - | - | 3 | 14 | 4 | 2 |  | 23 |
| Chauhan | 3 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 21 |
| Thakur | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 15 |


| Brahmin | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Muslim | 1 | 3 | 10 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | 22 |
| Total | 36 | 62 | 67 | 98 | 22 | 14 | 6 | 305 |
| Percentage | 11.80 | 20.33 | 21.96 | 32.13 | 7.21 | 4.59 | 1.97 | 100.0 |

### 5.3.4 Occupational Structure

The occupation-wise distribution of households showed that the main occupation of 100 out of 305 households i.e. 32.75 per cent was cultivation, while that of 132 households i.e. around 43.28 per cent households it was service.

The occupation of many households have also changed as a result of increasing pressure on land and non-availability of work in the village. The change in main occupation has taken place mainly among cultivators and wage labour. Out of 140 households whose main occupation was cultivation in the past, now 80 i.e. 57.14 per cent are continuing with it, 50 (i.e. 35.71 per cent) are engaged in service and 10 (i.e. 7.14 per cent) are engaged in other work. All of 60 households who have shifted to other occupations, continue to be engaged in cultivation as their supplementary occupation (See table 5.3.4.1).

Occupation wise distribution of workers in the village showed that out of 1079 workers 28.5 per cent were cultivators 34.3 per cent were agricultural labour, 7.0 per cent were other labourers, 20.8 per cent were in service and 9.5 per cent were engaged in other work. Gender wise distribution of occupation of workers showed that proportion of female workers was higher among cultivators, agricultural labourers, and other works. The proportion of males was higher in the category of service class. But proportion of females and males was almost similar higher among the category of non-agricultural, labourers (See table 5.3.4.2).

Table - 5.3.4.1
Present and Past Occupations of Households in the Village Bargahan

| Past occupation |  | Present main occupation |  |  |  | Supplementary occupation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupation | Total <br> HHs. | Cultiva <br> tor | Wage | Servic <br> e | Other <br> works | Cultiva <br> tor | Wage | Shop | Others <br> work |
| Cultivator | 140 | 80 | - | 50 | 10 | 60 | - | 10 | 9 |
| Wage | 152 | 20 | 47 | 73 | 12 | 15 | 52 | 7 | 12 |
| Service | 8 | - | - | 8 | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| Other work | 5 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | - |
| Total | 305 | 100 | 47 | 132 | 26 | 84 | 52 | 20 | 21 |

Table - 5.3.4.2
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Occupation of Workers in the Village Bargahan

| Caste | Cultivator |  |  | Agricultural Lab. |  |  | Non-Ag. Labour |  |  | Service |  |  | Other Works |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M | $\mathcal{F}$ | T | M | $\mathcal{F}$ | T | M | T | T | M |  | T | M |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathcal{F}$ |  |  | $\mathcal{F}$ |  |  | $\mathcal{F}$ |  |
| Harijan | 12 | 20 | 32 | 79 | 100 | 179 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 92 |  | 92 | 12 |  | 12 |
| Rajbhar | 41 | 45 | 86 | 29 | 37 | 66 | 21 | 11 | 32 | 42 |  | 42 | 30 | 14 | 44 |
| Nai |  | - |  |  | 4 | 4 |  | - |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 4 |
| Kahar |  | 2 | 2 | 19 | 24 | 43 |  | - |  | 7 |  | 7 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Lohar |  | - |  |  |  | - | 3 | 2 | 5 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kashyap | 7 | 8 | 15 | - | - | - | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Prajapati | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 2 | 2 |
| Maurya | 9 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Yadav | 28 | 40 | 68 | - |  | - | - | - | - | 9 |  | 9 | 4 | - | 4 |
| Chauhan | 10 | 13 | 23 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 19 | 7 |  | 7 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


| Thakur | 21 | - | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | 24 | 7 | - | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brahmin | 10 | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | 10 | - | - | - |
| Muslim | 10 | 19 | 29 | 22 | 26 | 48 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 27 | - | 27 | 10 | 5 | 15 |
| Total | 150 | 157 | 307 | 165 | 205 | 370 | 47 | 29 | 76 | 224 | - | 224 | 72 | 30 | 102 |
| Percentage | 22.8 | 37.3 | 28.5 | 25.1 | 48.7 | 34.3 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 34.1 | - | 20.8 | 10.9 | 7.1 | 9.5 |

### 5.3.5 Livestock

The animal population per household was not very large in the village (See table 5.3.5). It could be seen from the table that cows and buffaloes were the main income supporting animals in the village. If we work out the average number of cattles (that is cows and buffaloes taken together), average cattle owned was found to be 0.71 per household in the village.

## Table - 5.3.5

Distribution of Animal in Different Categories of Landholding Size Groups Households in the Village Bargahan

| Landholding size | Total HHs. | Cow | Buffalo | Calf | Other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land-less | 36 | 15 | 2 | 19 | 26 | 62 |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 62 | 16 | 7 | 25 | 72 | 120 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 67 | 23 | 11 | 32 | 81 | 147 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 98 | 49 | 42 | 95 | 69 | 255 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 22 | 13 | 17 | 28 | 13 | 71 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 14 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 8 | 39 |
| Above 10 Acre | 6 | 7 | - | 6 | 1 | 14 |
| Total | 305 | 133 | 84 | 221 | 270 | 708 |

### 5.3.6 Housing Condition

There were 428 built houses owned by 305 households i.e. 123 households owned amore than one house. These are generally those households who own a pucca house along with a kutcha /semi pucca houses. There is a tendency to shift to a pucca house whenever possible and then kutcha or semi pucca house is put to other uses or as storage. Out of 428 houses in the village 204 i.e. 47.66 per cent were kutcha houses, 186 i.e. 43.43 per cent were pucca houses, and 38 i.e. 8.88 per cent were semi pucca houses (See table 5.3.6).

Table - 5.3.6
Caste-wise Distribution of Housing Condition in the Village Bargahan

| Caste |  |  |  | Total | Total HHs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Housing Conditions |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $P_{\text {Pa }} \times$ ка | Semi Pakka |  |  |
|  | Katchha |  |  |  |  |
| Harijan | 70 | 52 | - | 122 | 102 |
| Rajbhar | 54 | 42 | 4 | 100 | 72 |
| Nai | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Kahar | 7 | 10 | 5 | 22 | 18 |
| Lohar | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Kashyap | 8 | 3 | - | 11 | 10 |
| Prajapati | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 |
| Maurya | 7 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 |
| Yadav | 20 | 19 | 8 | 47 | 23 |
| Chauhan | 10 | 13 | 4 | 27 | 21 |


| Thakur | 12 | 15 | 5 | 32 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Brahmin | 5 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 7 |
| Muslim | 9 | 22 | 6 | 37 | 22 |
| Total | 204 | 186 | 38 | 428 | 305 |

## (B) Responses of Selected Households in Village Bargahan

Twenty households in the village Bargahan were selected to elicit information about land use behaviour at household level. We selected only those households who owned some land.

### 5.3.7 Change in Size of Land Holding

The distribution of households on the basis of landholdings showed that 9 (i.e. 45 per cent) owned less than 2.5 acres of land and 5 owned between 2.5 to 5 acres of land. Thus 45 per cent farmers were marginal farmer and 30 per cent farmers owned more than 5.0 acres of land (See table 5.2.7.1).

In Bargahan, 11 out of 20 households reported that the size of landholdings changed during the last 20 years.

The reason of changes in the total land owned during the last 20 years in selected households showed that in 3 households (i.e. 30 per cent), division of family was the major cause, while in other cases changes took place due to multiple reasons (See table 5.3.7.2).

Table - 5.3.7.1
Caste and Landholding wise Distribution of Selected Households in Villages Bargahan

| Caste | Below 0.63 Acre | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.63 \text { to } \\ 1.0 \text { Acre } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \text { to } 2.5 \\ \text { Acre } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \text { to } 5.0 \\ \text { Acre } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \text { to } \\ \text { 10.0 Acre } \end{gathered}$ | Above 10 Acre | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chamar | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Yadav | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Chauhan | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Rajbhar | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 |
| Kahar | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| Brahmin | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Thakur | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Muslims | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total | - | 1 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 20 |
| Percentage | - | 5.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 100.00 |

Table - 5.3.7.2
Reason of Changes in Total Land Owned During the Last 20 years in Selected Households

| Reason | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |
| Division of family | 3 | 15.0 |
| Acquired \& Division of family | 2 | 10.0 |
| Sold \& Division of family | 2 | 10.0 |
| Sold \& Acquired | 2 | 10.0 |
| Due to land distribution programme | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 9 | 40.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

In Bargahan village, 2 (i.e. 10 per cent) households reported that their landholding increased during the last 20 years. The average change per reporting household was found to be 0.86 acres. They were landless households and received land under land distribution programme (See table 5.3.7.3).

The number of households who reported decrease in their landholdings was 9 (i.e. 45 per cent) of total sampled households, and the average change per reporting households was 6.71 acres which was a significant change (See table 5.3.7.4).

In Bargahan land of four selected households was acquired. The land was acquired by irrigation department. It was unirrigated land, and the size of land acquired was 4.85 acres (See table 5.3.7.5).

Table - 5.3.7.3

Number of Households Whose Landholding Increased

| Number <br> of HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during 20 <br> years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1.71 | - | 1.71 | 0.86 |

Table-5.3.7.4
Number of Households Whose Landholding Decreased

| Number of <br> HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during <br> 20 years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 66.08 | 116.43 | 60.35 | 6.71 |

Table - 5.3.7.5
Number of Households Whose Land was Acquired

| Number <br> of HHs. | Land owned <br> at present <br> (in acre) | Land <br> acquired <br> (in acre) | Types of land | Acquired by <br> the Dept. | Purpose | Compensat <br> ion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 26.0 | 4.85 | Unirrigated | Irrigation | Road and <br> Canal | Yes |

### 5.3.8 Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-Agricultural Purposes

In Bargahan 10 out of 20 respondents (i.e. 50 per cent) reported that they had converted some of their agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes. Six of them reported that it was due to division in family and consequent need of more land for non-agricultural purposes. Two households suggested that the conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes was done to construct shed for animals and 3 other households suggested that conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes took place due to development of village (See table 5.3.8.1).

It was also reported by respondents that reasons of conversion of agricultural land for nonagricultural purposes in the village was -
(i) Division of family and consequent need of land for construction of houses;
(ii) For animal husbandry;
(iii) For development of village (See table 5.3.8.2).

Respondents were also asked whether they had discontinued cultivation of any part of agricultural land owned by them. In village Bargahan, six respondents replied in affirmative, and the reason for 2 respondents was that, there was water logging/seepage in agricultural land, two respondent discontinued cultivation because their land was near usar land while two others discontinued cultivation due to dispute on the land (See table 5.3.8.3).

Table 5.3.8.1
Reason of Conversion of Agricultural land for Non-agricultural Uses of Owned Land by Selected Households

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family and need for construction of houses | 6 | 30.0 |
| Shed for animals | 2 | 10.0 |
| Development of village (canal \& road) | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not applicable | 10 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.3.8.2
Reasons of Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-agricultural Purposes in the Village (As Suggested by Respondents)

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family for construction of houses | 18 | 90.0 |
| Animal husbandry | 2 | 10.0 |
| Development of village (canal \& road) | 5 | 25.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table 5.3.8.3
Distribution of Respondents on the Basis of Responses to Query "Reasons for not cultivating the agriculture land"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Water logging/seepage | 2 | 10.0 |
| Low productivity/Usar land | 2 | 10.0 |
| Disputed land | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 14 | 70.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.3.9 Land Reclamation

All villages have some land which is barren and uncultivable. We wanted to know villagers perception about the possible uses of barren land. Only 15 out of 20 respondents replied to our query that barren land could be put to which uses. The suggestions were: Barren land could be used for (a) construction of new houses and (b) for plantation and (c) for establishing industry and for ponds (See table 5.3.9.1).

Seven out of 20 respondents were aware about the government programmes to reclaim usar land (See table 5.3.9.2). However, eleven amongst the selected respondents benefited from it. Due to certain misgivings, people did not participate in the land reclamation programme. Therefore it was not a success in the village.

> Table - 5.3.9.1

Distribution of Responses to the query "Barren land could be put to which uses"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction of colony | 3 | 15.0 |
| For pond | 2 | 10.0 |
| School/Panchayat buildings | 3 | 15.0 |
| Plantation | 4 | 20.0 |
| Small industry | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not of any use | 2 | 10.0 |
| Do not know | 2 | 10.0 |
| No response | 3 | 15.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.9.2
Distribution of Responses to the question "Are you aware of the Government Programmes to recalm Usar Land"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 17 | 85.0 |
| No | 1 | 5.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.9.3
Distribution of Responses to Query "Which Department Undertook the work of Reclamation of Usar Land"

| Agency | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Usar Sudhar Nigam/Sudhar Nigam | 11 | 55.0 |
| Did not avail the benefit | 9 | 45.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.9.4
Distribution of Responses to the Query "Reasons for Not-availing the Facilities of Schemes for Land Reclamation"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Don't need | 4 | 20.0 |
| Not approachable | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 3 | 15.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.3.10 Water Harvesting

Water harvesting is a serious challenge at the village level. It has two aspects - one is water logging and the other is water conservation. The problem of water logging either due to floods or other reasons was reported in the village Bargahan. When asked, what measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water, 2 out of 20 (i.e. 10 per cent) respondents suggested that there was need to construct new nullah while 8 suggested for cleaning up of old nullah (See table 5.3.10.1).

As regards water conservation, when farmers were asked, what could be done to conserve rain water in the village, 12 (i.e. 60 per cent) suggested that old ponds be renovated, while 1 farmer suggested that new ponds should be constructed. Thus ponds are considered by most of the farmers as most suitable way to conserve rain water (See table 5.3.10.2).

Farmers were also asked as to what would be the potential use of water, if more water could be conserved in the village. Farmers suggested that it could be used for irrigation, for animals and for bathing/washing clothes etc. (See table 5.3.10.3).

Table - 5.3.10.1
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Drainage system link to pond | 2 | 10.0 |
| Cleaning of nullah | 8 | 40.0 |
| Construction of new nullah | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 10 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.10.2
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What could be done to Conserve rain water in the village"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Renovation of old Ponds | 12 | 60.0 |
| Construct new Ponds | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not Needed | 8 | 40.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.3.10.3
Distribution of Responses to Query "If more water could be conserved in the village then, it could be put to what uses?

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation | 12 | 60.0 |
| For animal | 10 | 50.0 |
| Fisheries | 3 | 15.0 |
| Bathing/Washing | 4 | 20.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

We also enquired about the present status/use of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared. It was reported by respondents that such land had been encroached upon, and/or is being used as grazing land (See table 5.3.10.4).

When asked what efforts should be made to renovate/revive those ponds, farmers said that desiltation and removal of encroachments were necessary for renovation of ponds (See table 5.3.10.5).

We also enquired from farmers as to what benefits would accrue if ponds could be revived. Villagers expected various benefits if disappeared ponds could be renovated/revived. The water thus available then could be used for irrigation, for cattle etc. (See table 5.3.10.6).

The present use of ponds showed an encouraging sign. As it was used for irrigation for cattle, and for agriculture (See table 5.3.10.7).

## Table - 5.3.10.4

Distribution of Responses to the Query "What is the present use of land of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Encroachment | 14 | 70.0 |
| Grazing by animals | 3 | 15.0 |
| No response | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.10.5
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What efforts could be made for revival of ponds"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Renovation/Cleaning of pond | 17 | 65.0 |
| Raise bunding | 2 | 10.0 |
| Remove the encroachment | 4 | 20.0 |
| Not applicable | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.10.6
Distribution of Responses to query "In what way the revival of Ponds will help villagers"

Table 5.3.10.7
Distribution of Responses to query "What is the Present Use of Existing Ponds"


| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation | 2 | 10.0 |
| For cattle use | 5 | 25.0 |
| Fisheries | 1 | 5.0 |
| Agriculture | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not of any use | 12 | 60.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.3.11 Orchards

Farmers were also asked whether the area under orchards has increased or decreased. Ninteen (i.e. 95 per cent) farmers suggested that it has decreased, while only one i.e. 5 per cent reported increase in area under orchards (See table 5.3.11.1).

The main reason for decrease of orchards according to farmers were waterlogging and need for agricultural land (See table 5.3.11.2).

The reason for increase in the area under orchards, and/or coming up of new orchards was mentioned by one farmer only. He attributed it to tendency for commercial groves (See table 5.3.11.3).

Table - 5.3.11.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Whether the area under orchards has increased/decreased"

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Increased | 1 | 5.0 |
| Decreased | 19 | 95.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.11.2
Distribution of Perception of Respondent about Reason of Decrease of Orchard

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low quality of land | 2 | 10.0 |
| Water logging/seepage | 10 | 50.0 |
| Pollution | 2 | 10.0 |
| Need for agricultural land | 8 | 40.0 |
| Not applicable | 1 | 5.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.11.3
Perception of Respondent about Reason of Increase of Orchard

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tendency for commercial groves | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 19 | 95.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

When asked that why the potential of growth of orchards was low in the village, 9 (i.e. 45 per cent) farmers suggested that it was so because more land was needed for agricultural purposes while 8 attributed it to waterlogging (See table 5.3.11.4).

The scope for developing new orchards in the village seemed to be very limited as most of reporting farmers felt that new orchards could be developed on agricultural land while some suggested that barren land or road side land could be used for the purpose (See table 5.3.11.5).

When asked, what kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard, 25 per cent farmers suggested for economic assistance, 10 per cent farmers suggested that high yielding variety plants be given for the purpose while protection of trees was considered as an important factor by 15 per cent farmers. Twenty percent farmers suggested that gram sabha land be made available for the purpose (See table 5.3.11.6).

Table-5.3.11.4
Distribution of Responses to query "Why the potential of growth of orchards is low"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| More land needed for agriculture | 9 | 45.0 |
| Waterlogging/Seepage from canal | 8 | 40.0 |
| Pollution | 2 | 10.0 |
| No response | 1 | 5.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.11.5
Distribution of Responses to query "On which type of land area under orchards could be increased

| Type of Land | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural land | 7 | 35.0 |
| G.S. Land | 3 | 15.0 |
| Road side and around hamlet | 4 | 20.0 |
| All type land | 5 | 25.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.11.6
Distribution of Responses to query "What kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Awareness campaign | 6 | 30.0 |
| H.Y.V. plants be given | 2 | 10.0 |
| Protection of tree | 3 | 15.0 |
| Economic assistance | 5 | 25.0 |
| G. S. Land be made available for the purpose | 4 | 20.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.3.12 Livestock

In Bargahan, 13 out of 20 selected respondents reported that size of their livestock has decreased, while 3 reported increase in the livestock.

The main reason suggested for decrease in livestock by respondents was increasing use of tractors, other reasons mentioned by them included scarcity of fodder and grazing, there was no one in the family to look after livestock and low income (See table 5.3.12.1).

Out of the three respondents who reported increase in number of cattles, two said that they increased cattle to meet family needs while one wanted to increase income (See table 5.3.12.2).

When asked that number of which type of livestock has decreased; the respondents reported that number of mainly two types namely bovine and bullocks had decreased (See table

### 5.3.12.3).

The overwhelming majority of respondents suggested that their economic condition would improve if they increase bovine cattle (See table 5.3.12.4).

The main constraints in increasing livestock were: economic constraint, scarcity of manpower to look after livestock, and scarcity of fodder/grazing land, (See table 5.3.12.5).

Table-5.3.12.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for decrease in livestock"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Low income | 2 | 10.0 |
| Scarcity of fodder/Grazing land | 1 | 5.0 |
| Scarcity of manpower | 2 | 15.0 |
| Death | 12 | 10.0 |
| Now use tractors | 7 | 60.0 |
| Not applicable (3 increased + 4 constant) | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents |  |  |

Table - 5.3.12.2
Distribution of Responses to query
"Reasons for increase in livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Need for family | 2 |
| Increased income | 1 |
| NA (13 dec. +4 constant) | 17 |
| Total respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.3.12.4
Distribution of Responses to query "What type of livestock will improve your economic condition"

| Types of Cattle | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 19 |
| Goat | 2 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.3.12.3
Distribution of Responses to query "Number of which type of livestock has decreased"

| Type of Cattles | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 7 |
| Bullock | 9 |
| No applicable | 7 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.3.12.5
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in increasing livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Economic constraint | 12 |
| Scarcity of manpower | 8 |
| Scarcity of fodder/grazing land | 4 |
| Illness of animals | 2 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

### 5.3.13 Agriculture

The main crops grown in the village Bargahan were wheat and paddy and sugarcane. The average production of wheat and paddy was 10.5 Qt./acre and 10.0 Qt./acre respectively (See table 5.13.1).

All 20 selected farmers reported that productivity in their farms was lower than other farms. The main reasons for lower productivity were: low productivity of land, scarcity of manpower and inability to look after farming, scarcity of resources and lower use of fertilizer, pesticide, compost etc.
(See table 5.3.13.2).
Farmers were also asked about the main constraints in better utilization of agricultural land. The constraints suggested included low productivity of land, water logging, economic constraint, low irrigation and scarcity of resources etc. (See table 5.3.13.3).

Table - 5.3.13.1
Cropping Pattern of Selected Household, Average Production and Use of Fertilizer

| Crops | (in acre) | Production (in Qt./Acre) | Compost (per acre) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAP } \\ \text { (in kg./acre) } \end{gathered}$ | Urea (in kg./acre) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Potas } \\ \text { (in kg./acre) } \end{gathered}$ | Pesticide (Rs./Acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 52.5 | 10.5 | 1 Trolley | 45.0 | 45.0 | 30.0 | - |
| Paddy | 53.2 | 10.0 | - | 40.0 | 40.0 | - | 350.00 |
| Potato | 4.71 | 60.0 | 1.2 Trolley | 133.0 | 90.0 | - | - |
| Peas | 3.30 | 6.5 | - | 30.0 | - | - | - |
| Gram | 2.15 | 7.0 | - | 25.0 | - | - | - |
| Sugarcane | 13.86 | 200.0 | - | 100.0 | 90.0 | 60.0 | 300.00 |
| Arhar | 9.43 | 6.2 | - | 30.0 | - | - | - |
| Bajra | 3.86 | 5.5 | - | - | 25.0 | - | - |

Table - 5.3.13.2
Distribution of Responses to query "Reason for lower productivity of respondents farm from other farms"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low use of fertilizer/pesticide/compost etc. | 3 | 15.0 |
| Low Irrigation | 2 | 10.0 |
| Scarcity of resources | 3 | 15.0 |
| Low productivity of soil | 12 | 60.0 |


| Scarcity of manpower and inability to look after farming | 4 | 20.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Small size of land and long distance | 1 | 5.0 |
| Economic constraint | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.3.13.3
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in better utilisation of agricultural land"

| Constraints | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scarcity of manpower | 1 | 5.0 |
| Irrigation | 1 | 5.0 |
| Economic constraint | 2 | 10.0 |
| Scarcity of resources | 2 | 10.0 |
| Water logging/seepage | 8 | 40.0 |
| Low productivity of soil | 16 | 80.0 |
| Pollution | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Various suggestions made by farmers to remove these constraints included soil testing, cleansing of drainage system, HYV seeds be made available, power supply be increased, economic assistance should be provided, and irrigation facility be increased (See table 5.3.13.4).

Tenancy: None of the selected farmers leased-out land, nor any selected farmer reported that he leased-in land.

Table - 5.3.13.4
Distribution of Responses to query "How above mentioned constraints could be removed"

| Measures | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Increase irrigation facility | 3 | 15.0 |
| Economic/Credit assistance | 2 | 10.0 |
| Soil testing | 10 | 50.0 |
| drainage system linked to pond | 3 | 15.0 |
| Increase power supply | 5 | 25.0 |
| Disease resistant | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

## (C) Land Use Plan for Bargahan Village

The major problem of the village is that a large part of land is usar. The other problem is related to waterlogging. A canal passes through the village and seepage in the canal causes waterlogging. Secondly height of the road on the northern side of village has been raised several times in the past. The height of the road on the western side of the village has also been raised by digging fields. The drainage of water has been obstructed. There was a nullah on the other side of the road, which has largely been encroached upon by people and a very narrow passage now remains.

There are many ponds in the village which have been encroached upon.
Some plantation work was done under social forestry, plants had been eaten by animals.
The programme of land reclamation did not succeed in the village due to lack of peoples participation.

There is need to revive ponds, construct a drainage passage in the village and launch land reclamation programme again with participation of people.

Besides above suggestions following steps could be taken to regulate land use in the village:
(i) Land Management Committee be reconstituted with representations of all sections and entrusted with specific responsibilities related to land use in the village.
(ii) After consolidation, conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes is prohibited. Those who have violated this norm should be penalized. A fine based on current value of land and house be imposed.
(iii) Building tax should be collected every year from those farmers who have constructed any house/building on farm land.
(iv) Stringent action should be taken against those who have encroached upon pond of the village. They should be debarred from getting benefit of any government scheme and also debarred from contesting any elections.
(v) Desiltation of drainage course should be done regularly.

## Village Study - IV <br> Village - Madanpur (Block - Thekma)

## (A) Village Profile

Madanpur village is located at a distance of 8 kilometers from the block headquarter of Thekma. A minor canal passes through the village, which is linked to a tal which in turn is linked with Besov river. When Besav river overflows, the drainage system works in the reverse direction and large area gets waterlogged. The level of minor is higher when it reaches outside village. This again causes waterlogging in the village.

Some of the land in the village is usar land.

### 5.4.1 Land Use Pattern

Village Madanpur is a small village with 73 hectares of total reporting area. In village Madanpur land use pattern shows that 73.97 per cent of total reporting area was net sown area with around 85 per cent irrigated area. Another important feature was that the land under current fallow was 12.33 per cent and the land under the water bodies was 4.11 per cent (See table 5.4.1).
Table - 5.4.1

## Land Use Pattern in the Madanpur Village of the Azamgarh District

| Land Use Categories | In hectare | In percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total reporting area | 73 | 100.0 |
| Water bodies | 3 | 4.11 |
| Habitation | 3 | 4.11 |
| Pasture | 1 | 1.37 |
| Banjar | 1 | 1.37 |
| Culturable waste | 2 | 2.74 |
| Current fallow | 9 | 12.33 |
| Net sown area | 54 | 73.97 |
| (a) Irrigated | 48 | 88.89 |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 6 | 11.11 |
| Area sown more than once | 46 |  |
| (a) Irrigated | 6 |  |
| (b) Un-irrigated | 40 |  |

Source: Revenue department.

### 5.4.2 Demographic Profile

The average family size was 9.4 in the village. The population in the working age group i.e. in the age group (14-60) years comprised around 58.74 per cent of total population. That is around 41.0 per cent persons constituted dependents in the family. The village also shows adverse sex ratio. This is evident from the fact that the number of female population per thousand male population was 947.37 (See table 5.4.2.1).

The literacy rate was 72.6 per cent. It could also be seen from table 5.4.2.2 that number of illiterates was much higher among females ( 39.3 per cent) than among males (16.1 per cent). On the other hand in each category of education group above high school the number of males was much higher than females.

Table - 5.4.2.
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Population in the Village Madanpur

|  | Gender | Kahar | Nai | Pal | Maurya | Yadav | $\underset{r}{\text { Bhumina }}$ | Muslims | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total population | Male | 27 | 30 | 31 | 34 | 75 | 30 | 58 | 285 |
|  | Female | 21 | 35 | 19 | 36 | 78 | 26 | 55 | 270 |
|  | Total | 48 | 65 | 50 | 70 | 153 | 56 | 113 | 555 |
| Below 5 year population | Male | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 14 | 54 |
|  | Female | 2 | 7 | - | 6 | 16 | - | 11 | 42 |
|  | Total | 6 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 31 | 5 | 25 | 96 |
| 5 to 14 year population | Male | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 13 | 6 | 13 | 59 |
|  | Female | 3 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 4 | 20 | 67 |
|  | Total | 7 | 17 | 12 | 19 | 28 | 10 | 33 | 126 |
| 14 to 60 year population | Male | 19 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 46 | 18 | 31 | 169 |
|  | Female | 16 | 16 | 13 | 21 | 46 | 21 | 24 | 157 |
|  | Total | 35 | 33 | 30 | 42 | 92 | 39 | 55 | 326 |
| Above 60 year population | Male | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
|  | Female | - | - | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | - | 4 |
|  | Total | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 7 |
| Family size |  | 6.8 | 16.2 | 7.1 | 7.8 | 10.2 | 8.0 | 11.3 | 9.4 |


| $P$ <br> a <br> $r$ <br> $t$ <br> $i$ <br> c <br> $u$ <br> I <br> a <br> $r$ <br> $s$ |  | Kahar | Nai | Pal | Maurya | Yadav | Bhumi har | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Muslim } \\ \mathbf{s} \end{array}$ | Total | \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduation and above | Male | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 8 | 5 |  | 21 | 7.4 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 8 | 5 |  | 21 | 3.8 |
| Intermediate and high school | Male | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 11 | 1 | 47 | 16.5 |
|  | Female | - | 2 | 2 | - - | 9 | 12 |  | 25 | 9.3 |
|  | Total | 4 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 26 | 23 | 1 | 72 | 13.0 |
| Below high school | Male | 7 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 26 | 8 | 25 | 108 | 37.9 |
|  | Female | 3 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 23 | 7 | 21 | 86 | 31.9 |
|  | Total | 10 | 28 | 19 | 27 | 49 | 15 | 46 | 194 | 35.0 |
| Illiterate | Male | 9 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 |  | 17 | 46 | 16.1 |
|  | Female | 16 | 10 | 11 | 19 | 26 | 1 | 23 | 106 | 39.3 |
|  | Total | 25 | 13 | 15 | 26 | 32 | 1 | 40 | 152 | 27.4 |

### 5.4.3 Land Ownership

In Madanpur, the average size of landholding per family was 2.61 acres and per adult person only 0.44 acres (See table 5.4.3.1). The low size of land holdings per adult person also indicates that the land available for cultivation was not enough to engage all the adults in agriculture for full time work. The pressure of land has therefore forced many others to search for jobs outside agriculture. The fact that per adult person land was around 0.40 acres in even the landholding group (2.5-5.0) acres, shows that in future population pressure on land would be tremendous in all size groups. The village is thus moving towords a situation in which it will be dominated by landless, near landless and marginal farmer households who already constitute 61.0 per cent of all households in the village. The distribution of caste in the village population showed that there were six major castes. These were Yadavas, Muslims, Maurya, Bhumihar, Pal and Kahar. Only Bhumihars and Yadavas owned more than 5.0 acres of land (See table 5.4.3.2).

Table - 5.4.3.1
Distribution of Per Family/Per Adult Size of Landholdings in Different Size Groups in the Village Madanpur

| Landholding size | Total <br> households | Total adult pop. <br> (>14 year) | Total land | Average <br> landholding (Per <br> adult person) | Average <br> landholding <br> (Per family) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land-less | 5 | 30 | - | - | - |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 8 | 45 | 2.6 | 0.05 | 0.32 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 9 | 33 | 5.7 | 0.17 | 0.63 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 14 | 79 | 17.7 | 0.22 | 1.26 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 11 | 85 | 34.8 | 0.40 | 3.16 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 10 | 57 | 63.3 | 1.11 | 6.33 |
| Above 10 Acre | 2 | 18 | 30.0 | 1.66 | 15.0 |
| Total | 59 | 347 | 154.1 | 0.44 | 2.61 |

Table - 5.4.3.2
Caste-wise Distribution of Landholdings in Different Size Groups in the Village Madanpur

| Landholding <br> size | Kahar | Nai | Pal | Maurya | Yadav | Bhumiha <br> $\mathbf{r}$ | Muslims | Total | \%age |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land-less | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 8.5 |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 8 | 13.6 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 9 | 15.3 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 4 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 4 | 14 | 23.7 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | - | - | 2 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 11 | 18.6 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | - | - | - | - | 5 | 5 | - | 10 | 16.9 |
| Above 10 Acre | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 3.4 |
| Total | 7 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 59 | 100.0 |

### 5.4.4

Occupational Structure
The occupation-wise distribution of households showed that the main occupation of 15 out of 59 households was cultivation, while that of 33 households i.e. around 56 per cent households it was service. The occupation of many households have also changed as a result of increasing pressure on land and non-availability of work in the village. The change in occupation has taken place due to spread of education. The change in main occupation has also taken place mainly among cultivators. Out of 46 households whose main occupation was cultivation in the past, now only 13 i.e. 28.26 per cent are continuing with it, while 25 (i.e. 54.35 per cent) are engaged in service and 8 (i.e. 17.39 per cent) are engaged in wage work. Interestingly among the 33 households who have shifted to other occupations, 32 still continue to maintain farming as their supplementary occupation. Similarly some of those households who continue cultivation as their main occupation are also engaged in supplementary occupations (See table 5.4.4.1).

Occupation wise distribution of workers in the village showed that out of 155 workers 139 were males and only 16 were females showing that participation of females in work force was very low. It could also be seen from the table that out of 155 workers 80 i.e. 51.6 per cent were cultivators 105 i.e. 3.2 per cent were agricultural labourers, 55 i.e. 39.6 per cent were in service and 13 i.e. 8.4 per cent were engaged in other work (See table 5.4.4.2).

Table - 5.4.4.1
Present and Past Occupations of Households in the Village Madanpur

| Past occupation |  | Present main occupation |  |  |  | Supplementary occupation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | Total HHs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cultiva } \\ & \text { tor } \end{aligned}$ | Wage | $\begin{gathered} \text { Servic } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | Other works | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cultiva } \\ \text { tor } \end{gathered}$ | Wage | Shop | Other work |
| Cultivator | 46 | 13 | - | 25 | 8 | 32 | - | 6 | 1 |
| Wage | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Service | 3 | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Other work | 6 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | - | - |
| Total | 59 | 15 | 4 | 33 | 10 | 37 | 6 | 7 | 1 |

Table - 5.4.4.2
Caste and Gender-wise Distribution of Occupation of Workers in the Village Madanpur

| Particulars | Gender | Kahar | Nai | Pal | Maurya | Yadav | Bhumi <br> har | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Muslim } \\ \mathbf{s} \end{array}$ | Total | \%age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivator | Male | 12 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 24 | 11 | 10 | 67 | 48.2 |
|  | Female | 6 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 13 | 81.3 |
|  | Total | 18 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 24 | 11 | 10 | 80 | 51.6 |
| Agricultural Labour | Male |  | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1.4 |
|  | Female |  | 1 | - | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 18.8 |
|  | Total |  | 2 | - | 3 |  |  |  | 5 | 3.2 |
| Labour | Male | - | - | - | - |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.4 |
|  | Female | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
|  | Total | - | - | - | - |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Service | Male | 5 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 55 | 39.6 |
|  | Female |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 5 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 55 | 35.5 |
| Others work | Male |  |  | 4 | 5 |  | 1 | 3 | 13 | 9.4 |
|  | Female |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
|  | Total |  | - | 4 | 5 |  | 1 | 3 | 13 | 8.4 |

### 5.4.5 Livestock

The animal population per household was not very small in the village (See table 5.4.5). Cows and buffaloes were the main income supporting animals in the village. If we work out the average number of cattles (that is cows and buffaloes taken together), average cattle owned was found to be 1.31 per household in the village. Villagers also owned other animals.

Table - 5.4.5
Distribution of Animal in Different Categories of Landholding Size Groups Households in the Village Madanpur

| Landholding size | Total HHs. | Cow | Buffalo | Calf | Other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land-less | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Below 0.63 Acre | 8 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 16 |
| 0.63 to 1.0 Acre | 9 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 20 |
| 1.0 to 2.5 Acre | 14 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 37 |
| 2.5 to 5.0 Acre | 11 | 10 | 10 | 17 | 13 | 50 |
| 5.0 to 10.0 Acre | 10 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 4 | 39 |
| Above 10 Acre | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 | - | 14 |
| Total | 59 | 42 | 35 | 66 | 33 | 176 |

### 5.4.6 Housing Condition

There were 76 built houses owned by 59 households i.e. 17 households owned more than one house. These are generally those households who own a pucca house along with a kutcha /semi pucca houses. There is a tendency to shift to a pucca house whenever possible and then kutcha or semi pucca house are put to other uses or as storage. Out of 176 houses in the village 27 i.e. 35.53 per cent were kutcha houses, 43 i.e. 56.58 per cent were pucca houses, and 6 i.e. 7.89 per cent were semi pucca houses (See table 5.4.6).

| Housing <br> condition | Kahar | Nai | Pal | Maurya | Yadav | Bhumi <br> har | Muslim <br> $\mathbf{s}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Katcha | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 27 |
| Pakka | 5 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 43 |
| Semi Pakka | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | 6 |
| Total | 6 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 21 | 17 | 9 | 76 |
| Total HHs. | 7 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 59 |

## (B) Responses of Selected Households in Village Madanpur

Twenty households in the village Madanpur were selected to elicit information about land use behaviour at household level. We selected only those households who owned some land.

### 5.4.7 Change in Size of Land Holding

The distribution of households on the basis of landholdings showed that 12 (i.e. 60 per cent) were marginal farmers, 2 were small farmers and 5 belonged to the category of semi medium farmers (See table 5.2.7.1).

In Madanpur, out of 20 households 10 reported that the size of landholdings changed during the last 20 years.

The reason of changes in the total land owned during the last 20 years in selected households showed that in 7 households (i.e. 35 per cent), division of family was the major cause, One household purchased land while only 2 (i.e. 10 per cent) reportedly received land under land distribution programme (See table 5.4.7.2).

Table - 5.4.7.1
Caste and Landholding wise Distribution of Selected Households in Villages Madanpur

| Caste | Below <br> 0.63 Acre | 0.63 to <br> $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ Acre | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ to 2.5 <br> Acre | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ to 5.0 <br> Acre | 5.0 to <br> 10.0 Acre | Above 10 <br> Acre | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Yadav | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 4 |
| Pal | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Kushwaha | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 |
| Kahar | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Bhumihar | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Muslims | - | 1 | 4 | - | - | - | 5 |
| Total | - | 3 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 20 |
| Percentage | - | 15.0 | 45.0 | 10.0 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.7.2
Reason of Changes in Total Land Owned During the Last 20 years in Selected Households

| Reason | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |
| Division of family | 7 | 35.0 |
| Purchased | 1 | 5.0 |
| Land distribution | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 10 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

In Madanpur village, 3 (i.e. 30 per cent) households reported that their landholding increased during the last 20 years. The average change per reporting household was found to be 0.7 acres (See table 5.4.7.3).

The number of households who reported decrease in their landholdings was 7 (i.e. 35 per cent) of total sampled households, and the average change per reporting household was 4.1 acres (See table 5.4.7.4).

Table - 5.4.7.3

Number of Households Whose Landholding Increased

| Number <br> of HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during 20 <br> years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 10.68 | 8.57 | 2.11 | 0.70 |

Table - 5.4.7.4
Number of Households Whose Landholding Decrease

| Number of <br> HHs. | Land owned at <br> present (in acre) | Land owned 20 <br> years ago (in acre) | Change during <br> 20 years (in acre) | Average change per <br> reporting HHs. (in acre) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 20.71 | 49.43 | 28.72 | 4.10 |

### 5.4.8 Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-Agricultural Purposes

In Madanpur 7 out of 20 respondents (i.e. 37 per cent) reported that some part of their agricultural land had been converted for non-agricultural purposes. All the seven of them reported that it was due to division in family and consequent need of more land for non-agricultural purposes (See table 5.4.8.1).

It was also reported by respondents that reasons of conversion of agricultural land for nonagricultural purposes in the village was - division of family and consequent need of land for construction of houses, development of village and for animal husbandry (See table 5.4.8.2).

The respondents were also asked whether they had discontinued cultivation of any part of agricultural land owned by them. In village Madanpur, only five respondents replied in affirmative. Multiple reasons were given by them for it. The main reasons were preoccupation in other work, land being usar and litigation (See table 5.4.8.3).

Table - 5.4.8.1
Reason of Conversion of Agricultural land for Non-agricultural Uses of Owned Land by Selected Households

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family for construction of houses | 7 | 35.0 |
| Not applicable | 13 | 65.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.8.2
Reasons of Conversion of Agricultural Land for Non-agricultural Purposes in the Village (As Suggested by Respondents)

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Division of family for construction of houses | 16 | 80.0 |
| Development of village | 4 | 20.0 |
| Animal husbandry | 3 | 15.0 |
| No response | 1 | 5.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table 5.4.8.3
Distribution of Respondents on the Basis of Responses to Query "Reasons for not cultivating the agriculture land"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Disputed land | 2 | 10.0 |
| Low productivity land/Usar land | 1 | 5.0 |
| Engaged in other works | 2 | 10.0 |
| Not applicable | 15 | 75.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.4.9 Land Reclamation

All villages have some land which is barren and uncultivable. We wanted to know villagers perception about the possible uses of barren land. Only 15 out of 20 respondents replied to our query that barren land could be put to which uses. The suggestions were: Barren land could be used for ((i) construction of houses; and buildings (ii) construction of new ponds and tanks for fisheries (iii) for plantation, and for (iv) industries (See table 5.4.9.1).

All the 20 respondents were aware about the government programmes to reclaim usar land (See table 5.4.9.2). However only six benefitted from such scheme. Reason for not availing the facility of land reclamation programme were either it was not needed or it became they could not approach to concerned functionaries.

Table - 5.4.9.1

Distribution of Responses to the query "Barren land could be put to which uses"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction of House/Colony | 4 | 20.0 |
| Small industry | 3 | 15.0 |
| Construction of School/Panchayat Bhawan | 2 | 10.0 |
| Plantation | 4 | 20.0 |
| Construction of new ponds/fisheries | 2 | 10.0 |
| No response | 5 | 25.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.9.2
Distribution of Responses to the question "Are you aware of the Government Programmes to recalm Usar Land"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 20 | 100.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.9.3
Distribution of Responses to Query "Which Department Undertook the work of Reclamation of Usar Land"

| Agency | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Usar Sudhar Nigam/Sudhar Nigam | 6 | 30.0 |
| Not applicable | 14 | 70.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.9.4
Distribution of Responses to the Query "Reasons for Not-availing the Facilities of Schemes for Land Reclamation"

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Don't need | 6 | 30.0 |
| Not approachable | 5 | 25.0 |
| No response | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not applicable | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.4.10 Water Harvesting

Water harvesting is a serious challenge at the village level. It has two aspects one is water logging and the other is water conservation. The problem of water logging either due to floods or other reasons was reported in Madanpur. When asked, what measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water, 5 out of 20 (i.e. 25 per cent) respondents suggested drainage system should be linked with ponds while all the 14 suggested cleansing of drainage system (See table 5.4.10.1).

As regards water conservation, when farmers were asked, what could be done to conserve rain water in the village, 11 (i.e. 55 per cent) suggested that old ponds be renovated. Thus ponds are considered by most of the farmers as most suitable way to conserve rain water (See table 5.4.10.2).

Farmers were also questioned about the potential use if more water could be conserved in the village. Farmers suggested that if more water could be conserved in the village, then it could be used for irrigation, for animals, for fisheries and for bathing/washing clothes etc. (See table 5.4.10.3).

Table - 5.4.10.1
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What measures could be adopted to avoid water logging due to rain water"

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Drainage system linked to pond | 5 | 25.0 |
| Cleaning of nullah | 14 | 70.0 |
| No Response | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.10.2
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What could be done to Conserve rain water in the village"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Renovation of old Ponds | 8 | 40.0 |
| Renovation of old ponds \& link with drainagesystem | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not needed | 9 | 45.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.10.3
Distribution of Responses to Query "If more water could be conserved in the village then, it could be put to what uses?

| Responses | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation | 8 | 40.0 |
| For animal | 3 | 15.0 |
| Fisheries | 4 | 20.0 |
| Bathing/Washing | 5 | 25.0 |
| Not of any use | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

We also enquired about the present status/use of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared. It was reported by respondents that such land had been encroached upon, and some part is also being used for agricultural purposes and as grazing land (See table 5.4.10.4).

When asked what efforts should be made to renovate/revive those ponds, farmers said that desiltation, and removal of encroachments were necessary for renovation of ponds (See table 5.4.10.5).

Villagers expected various benefits if disappeared ponds could be renovated/revived. The water thus available then could be used for irrigation, for cattle, for fisheries, for domestic use and it would help in managing water logging (See table 5.4.10.6).

The present use of ponds showed that it was used for cattle, for irrigation and was used for domestic purposes while 10 reported that it was unusable (See table 5.4.10.7).

Table-5.4.10.4
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What is the present use of land of those ponds, which have totally or partially disappeared"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grazing land | 2 | 10.0 |
| Encroachment | 15 | 75.0 |
| Agriculture | 3 | 15.0 |
| No response | 2 | 10.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.10.5
Distribution of Responses to the Query "What efforts could be made for revival of ponds"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Renovation of old pond | 17 |
| Remove encroachments | 8 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table-5.4.10.6
Distribution of Responses to query "In what way the revival of Ponds will help villagers"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Irrigation | 9 |
| For Cattle use | 11 |
| Fisheries | 5 |
| Manage water logging | 4 |
| Domestic use | 3 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table 5.4.10.7
Distribution of Responses to query "What is the Present Use of Existing Ponds"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| For irrigation | 5 | 25.0 |
| For cattle use | 9 | 45.0 |
| Domestic use | 2 | 10.0 |
| Unusable | 10 | 50.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.4.11 Orchards

Farmers were also asked whether the area under orchards has increased or decreased. Twelve (i.e. 60 per cent) farmers suggested that it has decreased, while only 3 farmers reported increase in area under orchards (See table 5.4.11.1).

The main reason for decrease of orchards according to farmers were need for agricultural land, water logging and increase in felling of trees (See table 5.4.11.2).

The reason for increase in the area under orchards, and/or coming up of new orchards was mentioned by three farmers only. Two of them suggested that non-fruit trees were being planted while one told that he wanted to develop it as a commercially viable orchard (See table 5.4.11.3).

Table - 5.4.11.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Whether the area under orchards has increased/decreased"

| Response | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Increased | 3 | 15.0 |
| Decreased | 12 | 60.0 |
| Constant | 5 | 25.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.11.2
Distribution of Perception of Respondent about Reason of Decrease of Orchard

| Reasons | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Old tree felling increased | 2 | 10.0 |
| New orchards not coming | 2 | 10.0 |
| Water logging/seepage of canal | 4 | 20.0 |
| Need for Agricultural Land | 9 | 45.0 |
| No response | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 8 | 40.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.11.3
Perception of Respondent about Reason of Increase of Orchard

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Non-fruit tree are being planted | 2 | 10.0 |
| Tendency for commercial groves | 1 | 5.0 |
| Not applicable | 17 | 85.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

When asked that why the potential of growth of orchards was low in the village, 15 (i.e. 75 per cent) farmers suggested that it was so because more land was needed for agriculture and 4 attributed to its long gestation period (See table 5.4.11.4).

The scope for developing new orchards in the village seemed to be very limited as majority most of the farmers felt that new orchards could be developed on agricultural land (See table 5.4.11.5).

When asked, what kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard, four farmers suggested that gram sabha land be made available for the purpose, while five others suggested that high yielding variety plants be given for the purpose. Development of water drainage system, economic assistance for the purpose and protection of trees were the other suggestions made by farmers (See table 5.4.11.6).

Table - 5.4.11.4
Distribution of Responses to query "Why the potential of growth of orchards is low"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| More land needed for agriculture | 15 | 75.0 |
| Tendency declined | 2 | 10.0 |
| Long gestation period | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.11.5
Distribution of Responses to query "On which type of land area under orchards could be increased

| Type of Land | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural land | 12 | 60.0 |
| G. S. Land | 3 | 15.0 |
| Road/pond side and around hamlet | 3 | 15.0 |
| All type land | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.11.6
Distribution of Responses to query "What kind of facilities would be required to increase area under orchard"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| G.S. land be made available for the purpose | 4 | 20.0 |
| H.Y.V. plants be given | 5 | 25.0 |
| Economic assistance | 3 | 15.0 |
| System of water drainage be developed | 2 | 10.0 |
| Protection for tree | 3 | 15.0 |
| No response | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

### 5.4.12 Livestock

In Madanpur, 16 out of 20 selected respondents reported that size of their livestock has decreased, while 7 reported increase in the livestock.

The main reasons suggested for decrease in livestock by respondents were increasing use of tractors, low income, scarcity of fodder and grazing land and also because, there was no one in the family to look after livestock (See table 5.4.12.1).

Out of the four (i.e. 20 per cent) respondents who reported increase in number of cattles, two said that they increased cattle to meet family needs, while two others increased number of cattles in order to increase income (See table 5.4.12.2).

When asked that number of which type of livestock has decreased; the respondents reported that number of only two types namely bovine and bullocks had decreased (See table 5.4.12.3).

The overwhelming majority of respondents suggested that their economic condition would improve if they increase bovine cattle (See table 5.4.12.4).

The main constraints in increasing livestock were: lack of manpower to manage, economic constraint and scarcity of fodder/grazing land (See table 5.4.12.5).

Table - 5.4.12.1
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for decrease in livestock"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low income |  |  |
| Scarcity of fodder/ Grazing land | 2 | 10.0 |
| No one to look after them | 2 | 10.0 |
| Now use tractors | 13 | 10.0 |
| Sold | 1 | 65.0 |
| Not applicable | 4 | 20.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.4.12.2
Distribution of Responses to query
"Reasons for increase in livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Family need | 2 |
| Income increase | 2 |
| Not applicable | 16 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.4.12.4
Distribution of Responses to query "What type of livestock will improve
your economic condition"

| Types of Cattle | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 17 |
| Goat | 5 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table - 5.4.12.3
Distribution of Responses to query "Number of which type of livestock has decreased"

| Type of Cattles | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bovine | 10 |
| Bullock | 11 |
| Goat | 2 |
| All type animal | 2 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

Table-5.4.12.5
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in increasing livestock"

| Reason | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Economic constraint | 6 |
| Lack of manpower to manage | 7 |
| Scarcity of fodder/grazing land | 11 |
| Total Respondents | 20 |

### 5.4.13 Agriculture

The main crops grown in the village Madanpur were wheat and paddy. The average production of wheat and paddy was 11.5 Qt ./acre and 13.5 Qt ./acre respectively (See table 5.43.1).

Out of the 20 selected farmers, 15 reported that productivity in their farms was lower than other farms. The main reasons for lower productivity were economic constraint, scarcity of resources, scarcity of manpower and inability to look after farming, low irrigation and lower use of fertilizer, pesticide, compost etc. (See table 5.4.13.2).

Farmers were also asked about the main constraints in better utilization of agricultural land. The constraints suggested included low productivity of land, water logging, low irrigation, erratic power supply, scarcity of manpower, economic constraint etc. (See table 5.4.13.3).

Table-5.4.13.1
Cropping Pattern of Selected Household, Average Production and Use of Fertilizer

| Crops | Net sown area <br> (in acre) | Production <br> (in Qt./Acre) | Compost <br> (per acre) | DAP <br> (in kg./acre) | Urea <br> (in kg./acre) | Potas <br> (in kg./acre) | Pesticide <br> (Rs./Acre) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wheat | 43.71 | 11.5 | 2 Trolley | 50.0 | 50.0 | - | - |
| Paddy | 38.63 | 13.5 | - | 25.0 | 50.0 | - | 300.00 |
| Gram | 4.0 | 6.0 | - | 25.0 | - | - | - |
| Peas | 5.29 | 6.0 | - | 25.0 | - | - | - |
| Potato | 8.43 | 65.0 | 2 Trolley | 150.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 400.0 |
| Sugarcane | 10.29 | 250.0 | - | 120.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 500.0 |
| Makka | 16.73 | 10.5 | 2 Trolley | 25.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | - |
| Bajra | 4.29 | 6.5 | - | - | 25.0 | - | - |
| Arhar | 5.29 | - | - | 25.0 | - | - | - |

Table - 5.4.13.2
Distribution of Responses to query "Reason for lower productivity of respondents farm from other farms"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low use of fertilizer/pesticide/compost etc. | 1 | 5.0 |
| Low irrigation | 2 | 10.0 |
| Scarcity of resources | 1 | 5.0 |
| Economic constraint | 4 | 20.0 |
| Scarcity of manpower and inability to look after farming | 10 | 50.0 |
| Not applicable | 5 | 25.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table - 5.4.13.3
Distribution of Responses to query "What are the main constraints in better utilisation of agricultural land"

| Constraints | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scarcity of manpower to manage | 3 | 15.0 |
| Low irrigation | 3 | 15.0 |
| Economic constraint | 2 | 10.0 |
| Low productivity of land | 9 | 45.0 |
| Scarcity of resources | 1 | 5.0 |
| Water logging/Seepage | 3 | 15.0 |
| Long distance of land | 1 | 5.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Various suggestions made by farmers to remove these constraints included support for land reclamation, irrigation facility be increased economic assistance should be provided and soil testing should be done (See table 5.4.13.4).

Tenancy: No case of leasing-out by sampled farmers was reported from the village. However, three amongst the selected farmers reported that they leased-in land due to economic constraint and also because they owned small pieces of land.

Table-5.4.13.4
Distribution of Responses to query "How above mentioned constraints could be removed"

| Measures | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Increase irrigation facility | 5 | 25.0 |
| Economic/Credit assistance | 4 | 20.0 |
| Soil testing | 5 | 25.0 |
| New technique available | 4 | 20.0 |
| Land reclamation | 6 | 30.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

Table-5.4.13.5
Distribution of Responses to query "Reasons for leasing-in by tenants"

| Reason | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Economic constraint and Owned land is small | 3 | 15.0 |
| Not applicable | 17 | 85.0 |
| Total Respondents | 20 | 100.0 |

## (C) Land Use Plan for Madanpur Village

The drainage of the water is not a problem in normal times because of a minor canal which is linked to a tal which, in turn, is linked to Besav river. However when the river overflows, its water enters the village through the same minor end causes waterlogging.

The nallah should be regularly cleaned.
There is possibility of developing dairy in the village if its marketing is assured.
Land reclamation programme will help in increasing productivity in usar land.
Besides above suggestions following steps could be taken to regulate land use in the village:
(i) Land Management Committee be reconstituted with representations of all sections and entrusted with specific responsibilities related to land use in the village.
(ii) After consolidation, conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes is prohibited. Those who have violated this norm should be penalized. A fine based on current value of land and house be imposed.
(iii) Building tax should be collected every year from those farmers who have constructed any house/building on farm land.
(iv) Stringent action should be taken against those who have encroached upon pond of the village. They should be debarred from getting benefit of any government scheme and also debarred from contesting any elections.
(v) Desiltation of drainage course should be done regularly.

## Chapter - 6

## Conclusion and Recommendations

The total area of Azamgarh district reduced from 5740.00 sq.km. in 1981 to 4234.00 sq.km. in 1991 due to carving out of new districts.

There had also been obvious changes in the number of residential houses and number of households during the last 40 years.

The number of residential houses increased from 367128 in 1961 to 393898 in 1971, which shows an increase of 7.29 per cent during the decade. The trend in the increase of residential houses increased to 23.41 per cent during decades 1971-81 and 1981-91.

Thus the number of residential houses have been increasing at the rate of around 24 per cent or more per decade. Though this is an obvious off shoot of increase in population, it will have serious implication for land use planning during the coming decades. These implications would have two aspects. One, more and more land would be brought under the category 'land put to nonagricultural purposes'. Secondly, planning for housing in both urban and rural areas will have to be given serious thought such as:
(i) how land saving devices could be adopted;
(ii) how civic amenities could be provided;
(iii) what kind of infra-structural facilities will be needed to be developed; and
(iv) what kind of common use facilities will be required to be developed.

Azamgarh had been divided therefore true picture could only be inferred from increase in urban area during 1971-81. The area under urban limits increased from $21.8 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$. in 1971 to 68.5 sq.km. in 1981. i.e. an increase of 214.22 per cent during two decade. The share of urban population has increased from 5.21 per cent in 1971 to 7.16 per cent in 1991 and to 7.64 per cent in 2001.

The population pressure started to increase on agriculture since 1931. The decennial growth rate of population had been very high during the last three decades.

It could also that whereas the proportion of agricultural labourers has marginally increased during 1981-91, the proportion of workers in household industry and in other services have declined during the same period.

### 6.1 Land Use Plan Related to Agricultural Land

In Azamgarh district the average size of landholding was 0.56 hectares as per the 1995-96 agricultural census and 95.18 per cent holdings belonged to the small and marginal farmers, while they accounted for only 72.28 per cent of total area under all landholdings.

The net sown area as percentage of total reporting area varied around 75.0 per cent till 1989-90, and thereafter declined to around 72.0 per cent during the decade 1990-91 to 1999-2K.

But the analysis of block-wise net sown area shows that in most of the blocks the proportion of net sown area had been around or above 70.0 per cent during 2000-01 or during some past years.

The cropping intensity of the Azamgarh district had almost consistently increased since 1960-61, and has increased to 163.26 during the period 2000-01.

The most important factor which has affected cropping intensity is irrigation.
The irrigation intensity i.e. net irrigated area as percentage of net sown area has increased from 50.38 per cent in 1960-61 to 88.33 per cent in 2000-01. This trend was discernible in all the blocks of the district as well.

However, gross irrigated area as percentage of net irrigated area has increased slowly during the last twenty five years from around 108.82 in 1980-81 to around 127.45 in 1999-2K which shows, it is still low.

Tubewells are now the major sources of irrigation in Azamgarh district, and account for 80.0 per cent of net irrigated area.

The cropping pattern in the district has vastly changed during the last 30 years.
The main crops viz. paddy, wheat and sugarcane have witnessed large increases in their productivity also during the period 1960-61 to 1998-99.

Thus farmers have shifted to crops, which are highly irrigated, fertilizer use is higher on them and whose productivity is also comparatively very high.

We need to make efforts to increase production of more pulses, oilseeds and spices. Cropping rotation also needs to be changed. Following steps are imperative to achieve it.
(a) More thrust be given for developing high yielding varieties for these crops.
(b) Rain fed areas should be encouraged to cultivate these crops.
(c) Orchards, fallow land and land under social forestry could be used for growing such crops.
(d) Processing industries of oilseeds and spices be promoted at local level with support for technology up gradation, packaging and market access facilities.
Use of fertilizer had been increasing in all the blocks. But their balanced and proportionate application has not been reported.

There is need to adopt following strategy to combat this menace:
(a) Circulate guidelines for each gram-panchayat-on the basis of soil-testing - the proportion of fertilizer which is required to be applied.
(b) Farmers' meeting be organised at village level before every cropping season to make them aware about such guidelines.
(c) Farmers be also informed about hazardous impact of non-proportionate application of urea.
(d) Government functionaries, specially at the gram-panchayat level be sensitised regarding these aspects.
The extent of mechanisation has increased in the district. The number of tractors, sowing machine, sprayers, threshing machine etc. have increased, while the number of wood plough have decreased during the last 20 years.

The trend of increasing mechanisation despite the fact that average size of landholdings has been decreasing indicates a new type of resource sharing in rural area. Those who cannot afford to purchase the equipment or machine, hire its services. Be it irrigation water, tractor, thresher or any other machine, their services are being hired by those who cannot afford to purchase or maintain them. Very poor farmers do not keep draught animals and hire services of new machines because they cannot afford to feed draught animals throughout the year.

Tenancy and share cropping was found in our survey in selected villages of the district. Thus sharing of land resource as well as services of machines indicates emergence of a new type of land-labour-capital relations.

Livestock plays two types of roles in rural economy, one it provides draught animals or for pulling carts. Secondly it generates income through animal products, which has serious implications for diversification of rural economy.

But the size of livestock has also a serious bearing on land use. The increase in livestock would mean that more land under pasture will be required, as well as more fodder will be required.

## Agricultural Production System and Framework for Restructuring

It was found that the majority of land owners who leased out their land belonged to medium, small or marginal farmers. The fact that even small and marginal farmers were leasing out their land, revealed two trends - one, in case of uneconomic holdings farmers want to search other opportunities and will be content to get the market rent for their land yet they would prefer to retain the land instead of selling it out right. Moreover, the new generation, if educated seeks jobs in cities, and prefers to lease out the land. The other aspect was in regard to changing relationship. The exploitative relationship between tenant/share cropper and the land lord is fast changing. It is now purely an economic arrangement of mutual interests. Small and marginal farmers also lease-out land to other small and marginal farmers. Thus enterprising farmers are continuing agricultural activities by pooling resources from fellow farmers, while some other farmers are trying to make efforts in non-agricultural activities also.

Thus the new form of economic arrangement under tenancy was giving way to emergence of new enterprising farmers who were seeking ways to pool resources for higher productivity and application of new technology.

Dependency relationship based tenancy was declining because not many cultivators wanted to be tied up for the whole of year with some small parcel of land which they did not own, and further depend on the landlord for resources and credit. Landless or near landless people also now want to keep options open for seeking job elsewhere as well. So they preferred to work as casual agricultural labour during peak periods rather than working as an attached labour or as a tenant.

On the other hand leasing-out by small farmers was on the increase because many small farmers wanted to get job outside agriculture and at the same time wanted some income from their land also. This was possible only by leasing-out land to fellow farmers at mutually agreed terms. This kind of tenancy was free from both the dependency and exploitative relationship.

Sharing of machines and equipments was also found to be widely prevalent among farmers of this district. It was found that almost all farmers owning agricultural machines and equipments
hired out or shared their services with other farmers. many agricultural tools were also found to be shared among farmers on the exchange basis.

## Factors Inhibiting Growth

The immediate factors which inhibited growth among small and marginal farmers were: lack of resources, capital deficiency and lack of facility to sell at remunerative prices. The other factors included the problems of water logging, floods, drying of canals during summer, etc.

## Framework for Agricultural Growth

Among small and marginal farmers, agricultural productivity is hampered by poor logistical support and weak infrastructure. If food production is to be increased in a sustainable way, these deficiencies must be corrected and favourable economic framework for agriculture should be evolved. Such actions need to be backed up by practices aimed at maintaining or enhancing fertility and productivity.

The first step is to protect the best land for agriculture. In view of the scarcity of high quality arable land and the rising demand for food and other agricultural products, the land that is most suitable for crops should be reserved for agriculture. Government should map and monitor the more productive areas of farm land and adopt planning and zoning policies to prevent the loss of prime land to urban settlements. Village Land Management Committee and local authorities should be entrusted with responsibility to ensure that these policies are implemented in their areas.

We have found that the number of small and marginal farmers in the district is predominant. It was also found that the immediate factors which inhibited growth among small and marginal farmers were lack of resources, capital deficiency and lack of facility to sell at remunerative prices. The most important factor which could become basis for future restructuring of agricultural production system related to tenancy. It was found the majority of land owners who leased out their land (without entering into any written or formal contract) belonged to the category of medium, small or marginal farmers. This was for two reasons - one in case of uneconomic holdings, farmers wanted to search other opportunities and would be content to get the market rent for their land. Yet they would prefer to retain the land instead of selling it outright. The other aspect was in regard to non-exploitative nature of relationship between the lessor and the lessess. It is now purely an economic arrangement in which small and marginal farmers are also leasing out land to other small and marginal farmers. Thus enterprising farmers are continuing agricultural activities by pooling resources from fellow farmers, while some other farmers are seeking opportunities in nonagricultural activities also. Thus the new form of economic arrangement was giving way to pooling of resources by enterprising farmers, while other farmers who were leasing out their land were treating their land as a share capital for which they will receive the rent as well as the share in profit. The process of pooling of resources was further strengthened by a simultaneous process of sharing of machines and equipments. it was found that almost all farmers owning agricultural machines and equipments hired out or shared their services with other farmers.

It seems to us that a limited restructuring of the production process in agriculture can be such that it serves the interests of small and marginal farmers and at the same time protects wider interests of the farming community.

One major step in this direction would be to allow formation of Collective Farming Society and Confederation of Farming Societies. In the collective farming society framework, tenancy to such farming societies could be permitted under specified conditions. In particular such societies may be formed of small and marginal farmers for a complete package of inputs, and it may then be permissible for any member of such a society to lease out land to the society or to any other member of the society.

At the next level, a confederation of such Collective Farming Societies could be formed which will work as service societies. These confederations would provide high cost machinery and equipments to Collective Farming Societies on rent. The idea essentially is that it should be possible to increase number of viable farms by permitting some of the non-viable farmers to go out of agricultural business and seek other jobs and economic opportunities. This should on the one hand, improve productivity of labour on the expanded farms and on the other aid in much needed shift of labour away from agriculture.

## Model for Restructuring Farming System

## Collective Farming Society

1. Collective farming units be allowed to be registered under a separate Collective Farming Society Registration Act.
2. Only small and marginal farmers be allowed to become members of such a society.
3. The number of members of a society should not be above twenty and below five.
4. Those who become members of such a collective farming society will be allowed to lease out their land to the society for a minimum of ten years on a fixed annual rent.
5. A collective farming society will not bring under its purview more than ten hectares of irrigated land.
6. A collective farming society will be allowed to pool its resources on hire or through raising capital from its members.
7. The produce will be shared among members in proportion to the share amount of each member.
8. The share amount of each member will be the weighted sum of (a) money invested under capital raising scheme plus, (b) the amount fixed as annual rent for the land leased out to the society, (c) operational holdings of actual cultivators.

## Confederation of Collective Farming Societies

For storage facilities, providing transportation facilities and to work as marketing syndicates of farming societies, a confederation of ten to twenty corporate farming societies be allowed to be formed.

These confederations will work in the following areas:

1. Marketing of agricultural goods at national and international level.
2. Provide transportation and storage facilities to Collective Farming Societies against such stored goods.
3. Function as cushions against speculative prices.
4. The confederation will also act as counselling centre for farmers projecting the production and demands of each agricultural commodity for the next two years.
5. Provide high costing tools and machines to Collective Farming Societies for land levelling, soil testing, land reclamation and other activities related to land and water management on rental basis.
6. Help in technological innovations and in increasing productive efficiency.

### 6.2 District Level Analysis of Land Use Pattern and Land Use Plan (Other than Agricultural Land) <br> Our focus in preparing land use plan has been four fold -

(i) Agricultural land be transferred for use to other purposes.
(ii) Maximum area should not be brought under vegetative cover i.e.
(a) Increase forest
(b) Increase area under miscellaneous trees and groves.
(c) Increase area under pasture and grazing land.
(iii) Use culturable waste and other fallow land for such purposes. Therefore, efforts should be made to convert land under these categories into forest, orchards or grazing land.
(iv) Barren and unculturable land be used for constructing buildings or infra-structural facilities.

## Forest

The forest land had been almost non-existent in the district. It has varied between 0.02 per cent to 0.04 per cent of total reporting area. There are scattered trees and bushes in some areas. The source of hope is that there are a number of commonest trees in the district which are all to be found singly or in clumps around the village sites or in the fields. Hence micro forests could be developed in or around villages (See table 4.1).

The area under micro forests could be increased, if some part of the land under other fallow and some part of land under culturable waste is brought under forest. This could be done by forming Joint Forest Management Committees consisting of plant growers from poor peasantry class and representatives of forest department and land use committee. A cell should be formed to provide them the financial support and infra-structural support so that they could get suitable plants, methods to protect them and finally marketing of forest produce.

Secondly, development of such forests should be linked with watershed management in the area. For this purpose an area of 500 hectares to 1000 hectares should be choosen as unit for micro-watershed management.

This would include (i) construction of water retention structures (ii) clearing and desilting of natural courses of drainage systems and (iii) restoration/reconstruction of ponds/ tanks in totally barren lands or low lying lands.

Thirdly programmes like Pradhan Mantri Rojgar Yojana etc. should be now utilised for construction of bundhis, management of wild resources including fisheries, drainage maintenance and enhancement etc.

Fourthly, more emphasis will have to be laid on energy plantation which would provide fuel wood besides growing of fruit trees rather than timber linked growth of forests.

## Private Micro Forests

Private micro forest is different from orchards, as orchards generally comprise fruit bearing plants. The concept of private micro forest envisages that private individuals could also grow various varieties of plants. We have in the past found that eucalyptus had been grown in private land because it was expected to fetch good amount. The private waste land could also be used for growing timber. energy plants, etc. This could also be linked with purification of surroundings. For this purpose plants related to different planets (Navgrah) and different Nakshatra which are 27 in numbers could be planted as per specified arrangement.

Even plants with medicinal value could be grown in such land if people could be informed about their medicinal and commercial value.

## Land Put to Non-agricultural Uses

Area under land put to non-agricultural uses has been continuously increasing over the past 40 years. It was around 9.0 per cent during 1960-61 and has risen to around 12.6 per cent by the end of year 2000.

Land in this category has been steadily increasing. However, this increase is faster in blocks where small markets have also grown over time. There were three blocks in the district, where land under this category was found to be high. These are: Maharajganj (17.91 per cent) Harraiya (16.03 per cent) and Palhani ( 15.54 per cent).

## Regulation of Land Use at Urban Fringes

There is need to regulate land use at urban fringes. This could be done by setting up an Azamgarh Urban Fringe Development Authority. The UFDA could decide on the following:
(i) Conservation of green areas such as orchards, agriculture, social forestry and allied activities.
(ii) Development of water management and drainage system. Ponds and other water retention structures be revived. Any encroachment on such land should be identified and legal proceedings against encroachers be initiated.
(iii) The provisions made under Zamindari Abolition and Land Reforms Acts (specially section 143 and 154) and Consolidation of Holdings Act be used effectively to check diversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes.
(iv) Heavy fine should be imposed (say ten times the cost of the land) in case of such diversion on the owner of the land.
(v) In addition to it, if the agricultural land had been sold then capital gain tax should be imposed on purchaser of the land. Because huge capital gain accrues to the builders who develop colonies in such land.
(vi) First priority should be given to development of social services in the fringe areas which will include hospitals, educational centres, training centres for farmers and agro-based industries.
(vii) Barren and uncultivable land should be identified for development of micro-industrial estates and then for developing multistoried residential complexes which are land saving as well.
Besides urban fringes there is need to restrict the rate of increase of area under land put to non-agricultural uses, in rural areas in general.

This could be made possible by adopting following steps.
(a) Discourage migration of people of nearby villages. This could be done by increasing transport facility and by improving road networks.
(b) Strengthen household industries of rural areas by providing them institutional support and market facilities.
(c) Develop green belt around city and any construction in the green belt area be strictly prohibited.
(d) Encourage multi-storey buildings and economic flats to weaker sections.

One important aspect of land put to non-agricultural uses is increasing number of residential houses. However, since population growth rate is faster, per person living area is decreasing. Even more disturbing factor is that per person open area in house premises is also declining. This is the trend in even rural areas. Hence space for community uses and common recreation places must be developed even in rural areas. In city planning we leave space for parks, playgrounds and recreation spots. Such planning should also be done for rural areas. Watershed management could then be linked with development of parks and recreation places. Some area could also be reserved for floriculture and horticulture.

## Regulation of Land Use along Road Side

There has been a tendency to change land use along road side - specially national highways and state highways. Houses and shops are constructed or such land is put to even other non-agricultural uses. As a result of this contiguous effect leads to further expansion of settlements near highways and such places become accident prone. Therefore, there is need to regulate land use along roadside. Following measures could be adopted in this respect:
(i) A green strip be developed on both sides of road. Such green strip on each side should not be less than 10 meter wide.
(ii) Wherever highways are connected with other roads, construction along side even such connecting roads be prohibited for a length of at least one kilometer.
(iii) Those who construct houses or buildings on agricultural lands along side road should be fined heavily (say ten times the cost of the land).

The rate of increase of area under the category of land put to non-agricultural uses could then be restricted to around 1.0 per cent of total reporting area during decade 2000-2010.

## Barren and Unculturable Land

Area under barren and unculturable land has declined from 4.4 per cent in 1960-61 to 1.69 per cent in 2000-01. Barren and unculturable land could be used for further expansion of residential places, playgrounds and construction of building for common uses such as school or panchayat bhawan. It could also be used as Khalihan if it is nearby fields. And it could be used for cremation ground or graveyard if it is far away from habitation.

Thus, barren and unculturable land could be shifted for use as land put to non-agricultural purposes. Some part of it could also be used for developing as pasture and grazing land.

We hope that through these measures, area under barren and unculturable land could be reduced by 1.0 per cent of reporting area in district Azamgarh.

## Culturable Waste Land

This is a category showing non-enterprise. To our mind, there should be no such category. If cultivation is not possible then it could be converted into area for social forestry or developed as pasture and other grazing land.

Currently area under culturable waste is 1.45 per cent of total reporting area (See table 4.1). A part of it could be converted into social forestry and the rest could be developed as pasture and other grazing land. At some places, such land could also be used for fodder cultivation specially those areas, which are owned by private individuals.

Support should be provided for developing pasture land and growing fodder.

## Culturable Waste along River Side

Azamgarh has one major river and there are many tributories which traverse the district. The patches of land along side these rivers are undulating with depressions of varying depth and extent. and at some places with high mounds. These areas could be developed as reserved forest strips with one to two kilometers' width. Plant varieties which suit the local soils could be grown in these reserved forest strips.

Development of these reserved forest strips should also be linked with river water pollution control systems. It means that water which goes through drainage courses and which meets these rivers should be treated before it reaches the river. The management of reserved strip forest should be entrusted with the responsibility to operate the treatment plants.

Besides reserved forest strips, parks and picnic spots could be developed at various points along the river route. Such parks/picnic spots could become centres of sight seeing and attraction for tourists as well.

## Land under Miscellaneous Trees, Crops, and Groves not included in Net Sown Area

Land use under this category had changed little in the district.
Land under this category could be increased by 1.0 per cent of total reporting area by converting 1.0 per cent of total reporting area under other fallow land for growing miscellaneous trees and groves. We propose this because we feel that it would be difficult to bring back all the other fallow land under cultivation.

Reduction of such area increases run off of rain water. Such areas are best suited for agroforestry. The main types of agro-forestry system are:
(a) alley cropping - where annual crops are grown between lines of trees that produce valuable mulching material.
(b) orchard systems - where the trees provide edible fruits, medicines and fuel wood, while the ground layer is cropped or grazed.
(c) growth of scattered trees with pasture at the ground or grazing land.

Conserve Genetic Resources: Land under the above category should also be used to conserve genetic resources. This could be done by focussing on following programmes.

- Support grassroots associations of farmers and gardeners for the maintenance of traditional and local cultivars and breeds. Involve women's groups, Record farmers knowledge of traditional and local cultivars and breeds,
- Develop a common information service for exchange in information and germplasm among grassroots, state and national agencies.


### 6.2 Some General Suggestions

### 6.3.1 District Level

(i) District Land Use Committee should be strengthened. The Committee must meet at least once in a year and take stock of changes which have occurred during past one year. It should also be informed about up-dating of records and changes which have taken place during the year.
(ii) As regards its constitution, it should also include District Panchayat Adyaksha, BDOs and some more representatives of farmers.
(iii) Each line department and BDO should be asked to furnish informations in a pre-structured proforma.
(iv) The annual proceedings be documented and action plans drawn in the meeting be circulated to all concerned departments and functionaries.

### 6.3.2 Block Level

(i) Need for Block Level Land Use Committee (BLUC)

There is Land Use Committee at district level. There are Land Management Committees at the village level. But there are no land use committees at the block level.

Land records were maintained with a view to fix land revenue by the revenue department. There had been no systematic effort to maintain land records to identify land use categories on the basis of their potential development and quality.

The development perspective requires that unit for land use planning be made at block level. Because at district level it remains too generalised, while at village level, it would create operational problems in coordinating various line departments who have bearing on the land use. Therefore, there is need to create a planning cum implementing agency at the block level.

The Block level Land Use Committee may be formed with following as their members:
Block Pramukh - President
B.D.O. - Convenor
A.D.O. (Statistics) - Secretary

Other Members will include representatives from concerned line departments and some specialists, and
Three B.D.C. Members (to be selected by Kshetra Panchayat Members)
Block level Land Use Committee may take up the following issues for planning and implementation in the block:
(ii) Salinity and Alkalinity

The problem of alkalinity arises when infiltration rate of water in soil is low. This results in higher run off of surface water and creates problems of water logging in adjoining areas. As the water gets muddy, it also creates pollution of water streams. Reclamation of such land will have multiple effect. Such as increase in the infiltration rate, increase in recharge of ground water, reduction in water logging and control on water polluation.
Following steps should be encouraged for reclamation of such land:
(a) Construction of field bunds - through boundary mounds,
(b) Levelling of fields,
(c) Use of gypsum/pyrites, depending upon the degree of alkalinity,
(d) Rotation of crops.

Group of farmers be formed for their collective action. Then such groups could be provided financial, technical and infra-structural support for reclamation of alkaline land.

## (iii) Water Managment

Reforms are needed to facilitate water management systems for various reasons:
(a) rain and surface water needs to be preserved instead of being allowed to go waste via drain courses;
(b) natural drain courses should not be allowed to be obstructed otherwise it leads to avoidable water-logging

Increase in the number of private tubewells results in the lowering of level of ground water, therefore water management should include recharging by using rain/surface water.
By reducing run off we can check removal of top fertile soil on the one hand and maintain infiltration on the other. the catchment area of each water route should be mapped out and the programme to manage rain water should start from the highest land and end at the drainage basin.
Water harvesting will involve shaping farm land and sometimes also the catchment area of water course to slow the flow of water and thereby increase infiltration into soil. There are several cheap ways to make contours, if this is taken up collectively.
The sloppy areas and those along the drainage or field boundary which otherwise are not suitable for agriculture needs conservation efforts with optimum plant productivity. The strip plantations of multipurpose trees or shelter belts for crop lands will provide wood/leaf fodder and also ameliorate environment.

Water reservoir tanks/ponds/bundhis be constructed at places where main drain routes meet. Such land should be mapped and brought under community/panchayat ownership. No other construction be allowed to take place on such land through suitable modification in laws.
Drain network-allowing disposal of waste household water as well as community water using posts should be linked with natural drainage (by gravity flow) courses. Thus there should be micro drains (for disposal of household waste water), which will have to be connected to a community drain and finally the entire waste water has to be drained to other reservoir sites after proper treatment.

Area along the drainage route should be allowed for fodder cultivation and if possible for farm forestry. Fodder cultivation and farm forestry needs to be developed in chronically water-logged areas. To facilitate this, land along drain routes and water-logged land be kept outside the purview of tenancy provisions. Secondly, land owners of such land be permitted to form fodder or farm forest production units and lease out their land to such collective production units.

## (iv) Protection of Communal Land

Common resource property has been one of the most important source of sustenance of livelihood of less privileged communities in many backward and remote areas.

A support system for maintenance and quality improvement in land use is needed to protect grazing land, land under trees, bushes etc. as well as protection of land for chak road and drainage system is also necessary. Through detailed mapping of each village, community management and these (water recharging, drainage, trees) etc. should be brought under communal ownership which should become non transferable and any activity that leads to their destruction should become unlawful.

The role of common resource property and its allocation systems becomes crucial in management of these natural resources. It must be emphasized that management of such resources be vested with the local communities who will take a longer view. Outside commercial interest will come and go with narrow economic interest only.
Effective communal property rights and resource management systems could be developed by empowering panchayats to develop modes of their use in their respective panchayats and by providing them technical and managerial skill as well as the needed capital resources.

## (v) Culturable Waste Lands and Fallow Land

Culturable waste land could be brought under vegetative cover by providing necessary institutional and infra-structural support.
We suggest following measures to facilitate their proper use.
(a) Identification of Records: Presently such lands are identified and delineated through revenue records. Block Level Land Use Committee (BLUC) be entrusted with the responsibility to identify and delineate such land in each block. Land Management Committees of each Gram Panchayat should be involved in the process.
(b) Preparation of Land Use Maps: Land use maps for all the villages be prepared by the proposed BLUC.
(c) Put Such Land outside the Purview of Tenancy Clause: These types of land require huge investment and long waitings for their reclamation. If they remain within the purview of Tenancy Clause, it would be difficult for farmers to pool such land and invest on them, because farmers generally prefer to invest on prime land rather than on degraded land.
(d) Lease Out Such Land to Landless Peasants' Societies: Most of such land is under State or Gram Samaj ownership. Distribution of small parcel of such land to individual small farmers or land less peasants will not work. Because individual peasants in these categories have neither the sufficient capital to invest nor they could wait for longer periods to reap the profits of their investments. Landless Peasants' Societies could be expected to make long term heavy investments provided such land are leased out to

## (vi) A New Model for Culturable Waste and Degraded Land

For taking up regeneration activities of culturable waste and degraded land we will have to keep the following factors in mind:
(a) Size of such land in contiguity;
(b) Nature of regeneration programme;
(c) Raising of capital and acquisition of technical support
(d) Incentive for participation of interested landless peasants and capacity building;
(e) Changes in the tenural rights over such land; and
(f) Distribution of benefits.

Keeping these in view we suggest another model in which local people could be involved, and its economic viability could be ensured.
We suggest that a joint venture of state sector with local organisation be formed for this purpose.
As a first step a Collective Land Development Society (or Self Help Group for Land Development) be formed at local level. This Collective Land Development Society or SHG should enter into a contract with any state department, which has been approved for the purpose by the government.

## (vii) Land Development Society/SHG for Land Development

(a) A Land Development Society or SHG shall be formed for a land chunk of 10 to 25 acres.
(b) The chunk of land be divided into 10-20 equal size sub-chunks.
(c) Lease out around 1 acre of such sub-chunk land piece to one landless family each.
(d) The tenure holder, in turn, will have to become member of the Land Development Society or SHG.

## (viii) Joint Venture

A Public Corporate Organisation (approved by the government for the purpose) will then enter into an agreement with Land Development Society or SHG for a minimum of ten years for jointly developing the land and for its utilization.
(a) Members of Land Development Society or SHG would provide land and labour;
(b) Public Corporate Organisation will provide capital, technology and technical know-how;
(c) A joint management system will be evolved;
(d) One-third of the profit shall be ploughed back for further raising the capital stock of the joint venture.
(e) The rest of the profit shall be shared on 50:50 basis between the state unit and Land Development Society.

### 6.3.3 Village Level

(i) The land use plan is almost finalized after consolidation of holdings is implemented in a village. It provides land for various purposes in the village besides consolidating holdings. These include -
(a) provision of roads and public irrigation channels,
(b) provision of land for house sites for scheduled castes and other weaker sections,
(c) provision of sector roads, inter village roads and link roads,
(d) provision of land for community purposes namely - schools, playgrounds, panchayat ghar, hospital, cremation ground, graveyards, threshing floor, manure pits, pasture land, plantation trees, flaying sites etc.
(e) solving of common disputes in the village regarding roads/naalis for irrigation for each field through chak roads and chak naalis.
The problem is that powerful persons in the village influence functionaries of the consolidation work and get some of government and community land located near their farms. And once consolidation work is over, they easily encroach upon such community land.
Therefore effort should be made that Bachat and Gram Sabha land is not left scattered at many places. The consolidation process should also consolidate government and gram sabha land in one or two large consolidated chaks.
The land which had been carved out as orchard, grazing land or pond/tank in the past, should not be allowed to be transferred for other purposes by new rounds of consolidation neither through chak carvation nor through readjustment of gram sabha land.
(ii) Whenever chakbandi is declared, illegal felling of trees takes place, land under orchards or pasture or such other uses is sought to be shown as land under cultivation. This happens on a large scale specially on Gaon Sabha and government land. In order to check such changes in land use on the eve of consolidation, revenue officials and consolidation officials should jointly prepare reports and send report to concerned courts for quick action. The power to decide such cases should be assigned to concerned SDM.
Similarly provisions of Consolidation of Holdings Act and Manual regarding provision of inter-village link road, bachat land, Gaon Sabha and Government land and other common property resources should be widely made known to people so that its strict implementation is done with peoples participation.
(iii) After consolidation is over land use for each plot of the villages is well defined.

It should be the responsibility of LMC to see that land use is not alterned. There should be training of LMC members to make them aware of their roles and responsibilities.
(iv) Land Management Committee should be treated as Chakbandi Committee during the period of consolidation. Formation of separate committee does not prove helpful as it is at the mercy of consolidation department and Pradhan only and ceases to exist after consolidation work is over.
(v) All members of Chakbandi Committee should sign the final land use map prepared after consolidation work is over.
(vi) The map of the village should be made available to all the members of Land Management Committee, free of cost.
(vii) Encroachers of government and/or gram sabha land should be severely penalised and eviction proceedings against them should be made more stringent.
(viii) Land capability maps be prepared for each village. The land use of each type of land could then be planned for effective, efficient, sustainable and profitable use.

The land capability map will indicate about the texture and quality of soil. It will also give information about limitations of the land such as erosion, water logging, degree of alkalinity or salinity etc.

Thus land capability maps would provide necessary inputs for land use planning i.e. suitability of land for agriculture, horticulture, forestry etc. It will also indicate as to what measures would be needed for improving land for its optimum utilisation.
(ix) The Land Management Committee at the village level be revamped. And there should be fair representation of weaker sections, beneficiaries of land allottees, self help groups and all the hamlets/communities of the village.
The committee should meet once every six months, develop plans for water conservation, drainage channels, regeneration of degraded land, effective use of lands in the category of (a) barren and uncultivable land, (b) pastures, (c) orchards groves and land under trees and (d) fallow land.
(x) There are already legal provisions under consolidation of Holdings Act and Supreme Court Judgements in regard to protection of land uses. These should be widely circulated among members of Land Management Committee. Proceedings for eviction of encroachers should be launched in right earnest. The provision should be made in law for eviction of unauthorised occupation of Gram Sabha land by summary proceedings.
(xi) The gaon sabha land or pond or forest land should be given on lease to self help groups or tree growers society or such other collective groups rather than to individuals.

### 6.4 Block Level Plans for Year 2010

The proposed land use plan of the Maharajganj block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.1
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Maharajganj Block

| Land Use Categories | Present Level in Percentage (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level in Percentage (for Year 2010 | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest | 0.02 | 0.52 | Around 0.5 per cent from culturable waste |
| Barren and Unculturable land | 1.12 | 0.37 | Shift 0.75 per cent for land for nonagricultural purposes |
| Land put to nonagricultural uses | 17.91 | 18.66 | Around 0.75 per cent from barren and unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 0.81 | 0.31 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and grazing land | 0.17 | 0.17 | - |
| Current Fallow | 6.49 | 6.49 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.60 | 3.60 | 1.0 per cent for orchard and groves |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees and groves | 1.68 | 2.68 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 69.20 | 69.20 | - |
| Total reporting area (in Hectares) | 24,006.00 | 24,006.00 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Bilariyaganj block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.2
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Bilariyaganj Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.06 | 0.56 | 0.5 per cent from culturable waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.08 | 0.58 | Shift 0.5 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 11.29 | 11.79 | Around 0.5 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 0.67 | 0.17 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.08 | 0.08 | - |
| Current Fallow <br> Other Fallow | 1.56 | 1.56 | - |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 0.61 | 0.61 | - |
| Net Sown Area | 82.12 | 82.12 | - |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $19,927.00$ | $19,927.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Harriaya block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.3
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Harraiya Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | - | Around 0.5 per cent from culturable <br> waste |  |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 0.87 | 0.37 | Shift 0.5 per cent of land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 16.03 | 16.53 | Around 0.5 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.07 | 0.57 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.05 | 0.05 | - |
| Current Fallow | 7.51 | 7.51 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.33 | 1.33 | 1.0 per cent for orchard \& groves |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 2.13 | 3.13 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 70.00 | 70.00 | - |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $26,391.00$ | $26,391.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Azmatgarh block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.4
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Azmatgarh Block

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Land Use } \\ & \text { Categories } \end{aligned}$ | Present Level in Percentage (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level in Percentage (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest | - | 0.50 | Around 0.5 per cent from culturable waste |
| Barren and Unculturable land | 1.43 | 0.43 | Shift 1.0 per cent of such land for non-agricultural purposes |
| Land put to nonagricultural uses | 12.22 | 13.22 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.04 | 0.54 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and grazing land | 0.08 | 0.08 | - |
| Current Fallow | 8.62 | 8.62 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.64 | 1.64 | Around 1.0 per cent to orchards |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees and groves | 2.21 | 3.21 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow land |
| Net Sown Area | 71.77 | 71.77 | - |
| Total reporting area (in Hectares) | 20,535.00 | 20,535.00 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Mirzapur block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.5
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Mirzapur Block

| Land Use Categories | Present Level in Percentage (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level in Percentage (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest | 0.02 | 1.52 | Around 1.5 per cent from culturable waste land |
| Barren and Unculturable land | 1.66 | 0.66 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for nonagricultural purposes |
| Land put to nonagricultural uses | 13.11 | 14.11 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 2.11 | 3.61 | Around 1.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and grazing land | 0.54 | 0.54 | - |
| Current Fallow | 6.23 | 6.23 | - |
| Other Fallow | 4.26 | 3.26 | 1.0 per cent for orchard \& groves |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees and groves | 2.86 | 3.86 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 69.21 | 69.21 | - |
| Total reporting area (in Hectares) | 16,556.00 | 16,556.00 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Mohammadpur block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.6
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Mohammadpur Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.2 | 0.52 | Around 0.5 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.74 | 0.74 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 12.51 | 13.51 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.17 | 0.67 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.70 | 0.70 | - |
| Current Fallow <br> Other Fallow <br> 5.22 <br> 5.42 | 1.42 | 1.0 per cent for orchard \& groves |  |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 0.81 | 1.81 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 75.42 | 75.42 | - |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $19,192.00$ | $19,192.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Tahabarpur block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.7
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Tahabarpur Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Perrentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.02 | 2.02 | 2.0 per cent from culturable waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 0.80 | 0.30 | Shift 0.5 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 10.92 | 11.42 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 3.43 | 0.43 | Around 2.0 per cent to forest and 1.0 <br> per cent for pasture and grazing land |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.43 | 1.43 | 1.0 per cent from culturable waste |
| Current Fallow | 4.55 | 4.55 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.29 | 1.29 | 1.0 per cent for orchards |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 2.28 | 3.28 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow land |
| Net Sown Area | 75.29 | 75.29 | - |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $17,526.00$ | $17,526.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Palhani block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.8
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Palhani Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.02 | 1.02 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.0 | 0.50 | 0.5 per cent to land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 1.65 | 0.65 | - |
| Culturable waste | 0.53 | 0.53 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 5.46 | 5.46 | - |
| Current Fallow | 3.22 | 2.22 | - |
| Other Fallow | 3.05 | 4.05 | 1.0 per cent for orchard and groves |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $12,738.00$ | $12,738.00$ | - |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 3.05 | 4.05 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 69.55 | 69.55 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Ranni-ki-Sarai block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.9
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Rani-Ki-Sarai Block

| Land Use Categories | Present Level in Percentage (Year 1999-2K | Proposed Level in Percentage (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forest | 0.01 | 1.51 | Around 1.5 per cent from culturable waste |
| Barren and Unculturable land | 2.35 | 1.35 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for nonagricultural purposes |
| Land put to nonagricultural uses | 13.52 | 14.52 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 2.15 | 0.65 | Around 1.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and grazing land | 0.96 | 0.96 | - |
| Current Fallow | 5.58 | 5.58 | - |
| Other Fallow | 1.92 | 1.42 | 0.5 per cent for orchard and groves |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees and groves | 0.79 | 1.29 | 0.5 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 72.71 | 72.71 | - |
| Total reporting area (in Hectares) | 13,901.00 | 13,901.00 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Sathiyav block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:
Box - 6.1.10

Proposal of Land Use Plan for Sathiyav Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.01 | 1.01 | 1.0 per cent from culturable waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.50 | 0.50 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 1.76 | 12.76 | Around 0.5 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste <br> Pasture and <br> grazing land | 1.31 | 0.31 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest |
| Current Fallow | 4.43 | 4.43 | - |
| Other Fallow <br> Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 2.32 | 1.32 | 1.0 per cent for orchard and groves |
| Net Sown Area <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | 77.20 | 77.20 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Jahanaganj block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box - 6.1.11
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Jahanaganj Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.02 | 0.52 | Around 0.5 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.80 | 0.80 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 8.36 | 9.36 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 0.89 | 0.39 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.26 | 0.26 | - |
| Current Fallow | 7.96 | 7.96 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.40 | 1.40 | 1.0 per cent for orchard \& groves |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 0.58 | 1.58 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 77.74 | 77.74 | - |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $18,189.00$ | $18,189.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Atrauliya block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box - 6.1.12
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Atrauliya Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.04 | 1.04 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 0.72 | 0.22 | Shift 0.5 per cent such land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 11.36 | 11.86 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.90 | 0.90 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.06 | 0.06 | - |
| Current Fallow | 5.80 | 5.80 | - |
| Other Fallow <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $16,016.00$ | $16,016.00$ | - |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 2.43 | 1.43 | Around 1.0 per cent for orchards |
| Net Sown Area | 77.15 | 77.15 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Koyalsa block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box - 6.1.13
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Koyalsa Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.02 | 1.02 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturalbe <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 0.86 | 0.36 | Shift 0.5 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 11.51 | 12.01 | Around 0.5 per cent from barren and <br> uncultivable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.25 | 0.25 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.11 | 0.11 | - |
| Current Fallow | 6.01 | 6.01 | - |
| Other Fallow <br> Tatal reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $16,539.00$ | $16,539.00$ | - |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 3.43 | 0.93 | 0.5 per cent for orchard \& groves |

The proposed land use plan of the Ahiraula block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

> Box - 6.1.14

Proposal of Land Use Plan for Ahiraula Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010 | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.05 | 1.05 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 0.73 | 0.23 | Shift 0.5 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 11.85 | 12.35 | Around 0.5 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 2.28 | 0.28 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest and <br> around 1.0 per cent for pasture and <br> grazing land |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.19 | 1.19 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste land |
| Current Fallow 7.50 7.50 - <br> Other Fallow 3.77 2.27 Shift 1.5 per cent to land under trees <br> and groves <br> Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves 4.32 5.82 1.5 per cent from culturable waste <br> Net Sown Area 69.31 69.31 - <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) $19,592.00$ $19,592.00$ - |  |  |  |

The proposed land use plan of the Pawai block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

$$
\text { Box - } 6.1 .15
$$

Proposal of Land Use Plan for Pawai Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.03 | 1.03 | 1.0 per cent from culturable waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.46 | 0.46 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 12.52 | 13.52 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.56 | 0.56 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.14 | 0.14 | - |
| Current Fallow | 9.13 | 9.13 | - |
| Other Fallow <br> Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 2.96 | 1.96 | Shift 1.0 per cent for orchards |
| Net Sown Area <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $20,699.00$ | $20,699.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Phulpur block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.16
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Phulpur Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.04 | 1.04 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.58 | 0.58 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 14.27 | 15.27 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.64 | 0.64 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.17 | 0.17 | - |
| Current Fallow | 8.53 | 8.53 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.68 | 1.68 | 1.0 per cent for orchard and groves |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $19,120.00$ | $19,120.00$ | - |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 2.26 | 3.26 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area | 68.83 | 68.83 | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Martinganj block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box - 6.1.17
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Martinganj Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.02 | 0.52 | Around 0.5 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 2.26 | 1.26 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 14.42 | 15.42 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.09 | 0.59 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.18 | 0.18 | - |
| Current Fallow <br> Other Fallow | 1.93 | 0.93 | 1.0 per cent for orchard \& groves |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 1.03 | 2.03 | 2.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $23,235.00$ | $23,235.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Thekma block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.18
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Thekma Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.01 | 1.01 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 2.76 | 1.76 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 12.34 | 13.34 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 1.74 | 0.74 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.65 | 0.65 | - |
| Current Fallow | 7.98 | 7.98 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.28 | 1.28 | 1.0 per cent for orchard \& groves |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 1.14 | 2.14 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow |
| Net Sown Area <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $27,768.00$ | $27,768.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Lalganj block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

> Box - 6.1.19

Proposal of Land Use Plan for Lalganj Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.05 | 1.05 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 3.31 | 2.31 | Shift 1.0 per cent for land for non- <br> agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 11.63 | 12.63 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 2.23 | 0.23 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest and 1.0 <br> per cent for pastures \& grazing land |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.27 | 1.27 | 2.0 per cent from culturable waste |
| Current Fallow | 8.10 | 8.10 | - |
| Other Fallow <br> Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 1.82 | 0.82 | 1.0 per cent for orchard \& groves |
| Net Sown Area <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $24,707.00$ | $24,707.00$ | - |

The proposed land use plan of the Mehnagar block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:
Box - 6.1.20

Proposal of Land Use Plan for Mehnagar Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.01 | 0.51 | Around 0.5 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 2.75 | 1.75 | Shift 1.0 per cent of such land for <br> non-agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 10.12 | 11.12 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 0.76 | 0.26 | Around 0.5 per cent to forest |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.51 | 0.51 | - |
| Current Fallow | 7.66 | 7.66 | - |
| Other Fallow | 2.07 | 1.07 | Shift 1.0 per cent for orchard <br> development |
| Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $25,494.00$ | $25,494.00$ | - |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 0.20 | 1.20 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow land |

The proposed land use plan of the Tarvan block for year 2010 will have land use pattern as follows:

Box-6.1.21
Proposal of Land Use Plan for Tarvan Block

| Land Use <br> Categories | Present Level <br> in Percentage <br> (Year 1999-2K) | Proposed Level <br> in Percentage <br> (for Year 2010) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forest | 0.02 | 1.02 | Around 1.0 per cent from culturable <br> waste |
| Barren and <br> Unculturable land | 1.94 | 0.94 | Shift 1.0 per cent of such land for <br> non-agricultural purposes |
| Land put to non- <br> agricultural uses | 9.06 | 10.06 | Around 1.0 per cent from barren and <br> unculturable land |
| Culturable waste | 2.52 | 0.52 | Around 1.0 per cent to forest and 1.0 <br> per cent for pasture and grazing land |
| Pasture and <br> grazing land | 0.60 | 1.60 | 1.0 per cent from culturable waste <br> land |
| Current Fallow | 9.48 | 9.48 | - |
| Other Fallow | 1.80 | 0.80 | Shift 1.0 per cent for orchard <br> development |
| Land Under <br> Miscellaneous trees <br> and groves | 0.18 | 1.18 | 1.0 per cent from other fallow land |
| Net Sown Area <br> Total reporting area <br> (in Hectares) | $26,204.00$ | $26,204.00$ | - |

### 6.5 Village Level Plans for Selected Villages

### 6.5.1 Land Use Plan for Jalalpur Village

The main problem of the village is water logging and effects of brick kilns.
It is therefore necessary to check seepage and overflow of water from canals. The sides of canal should have brick lining.

Secondly, operation of brick kilns be regulated in the village.
Houses are being constructed in haphazard manner, even on agricultural land. There is need to check this tendency.

The drainage system in the village could improve if nullah (a natural drainage passage) is regularly cleaned.

The village pond has been encroached upon. Encroachers should be evicted and pond be revived in the village.

### 6.5.2 Land Use Plan for Surjipur Village

There is a nullah near the village. Many pits have developed around the route of this nullah, and some area gets waterlogged during rainy season due to it. This nullah is linked to a canal which is at around 2 kms . from the village. During rainy season even the canal overflows and causes waterlogging.

There is a pond in the village which is under gram sabha land which is being used by washerman for washing clothes. Some part of it has been encroached upon by them.

There is need to cleanse the pond and raise embankments for its general use.
In the less productive cultivated land agro-forestry could be promoted.

### 6.5.3 Land Use Plan for Bargahan Village

The major problem of the village is that a large part of land is usar. The other problem is related to waterlogging. A canal passes through the village and seepage in the canal causes waterlogging. Secondly height of the road on the northern side of village has been raised several times in the past. The height of the road on the western side of the village has also been raised by digging fields. The drainage of water has been obstructed. There was a nullah on the other side of the road, which has largely been encroached upon by people and a very narrow passage now remains.

There are many ponds in the village which have been encroached upon.
Some plantation work was done under social forestry, plants had been eaten by animals.
The programme of land reclamation did not succeed in the village due to lack of peoples participation.

There is need to revive ponds, construct a drainage passage in the village and launch land reclamation programme again with participation of people.

### 6.5.4 Land Use Plan for Madanpur Village

The drainage of the water is not a problem in normal times because of a minor canal which is linked to a tal which, in turn, is linked to Besav river. However when the river overflows, its water enters the village through the same minor end causes waterlogging.

The nallah should be regularly cleaned.
There is possibility of developing dairy in the village if its marketing is assured.
Land reclamation programme will help in increasing productivity in usar land.
Besides above suggestions following steps could be taken to regulate land use in all the village:
(i) Land Management Committee be reconstituted with representations of all sections and entrusted with specific responsibilities related to land use in the village.
(ii) After consolidation, conversion of agricultural land for non-agricultural purposes is prohibited. Those who have violated this norm should be penalized. A fine based on current value of land and house be imposed.
(iii) Building tax should be collected every year from those farmers who have constructed any house/building on farm land.
(iv) Stringent action should be taken against those who have encroached upon pond of the village. They should be debarred from getting benefit of any government scheme and also debarred from contesting any elections.
(v) Desiltation of drainage course should be done regularly.

## List of Herbal Plants

|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Unh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Abrus precatorius | LEGUMINOSAE (FABACEAE) | x $\chi^{\prime}$ k |
| 2 | Abutilon indicum | MALVACEAE | v frcy k |
| 3 | Acacia catechu | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | [ kfnj |
| 4 | Acacia collcinna | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | f' kd kd koz |
| 5 | Acacia nilotica | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | CC W |
| 6 | Acalypha hispida | EUPHORBIACEAE | grku |
| 7 | Achyranthus aspera | AMARANTHACEAE | vi ke lx z |
| 8 | Aconitum heterophyllum | RANUNCULACEAE | $v$ fr fo "kk |
| 9 | Acorus calamus | ARACEAE | opk |
| 10 | Adallsonia digitata | BOMBACACEAE | xks \{ Kh |
| 11 | Adhatoda vasica (Nees) | ACANTHACAE | v M Wk |
| 12 | Adiantum lunu1atum (Burm) | POLYPODIACEAE | g $\mathrm{HV}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{knh}$ |
| 13 | Aegle marmelos (Corr) | RUTACEAE | fc Yo |
| 14 | Agave americana (Linn) | AGAVACEAE | d $\mathrm{Bly} \mathrm{k}^{\text {k }}$ |
| 15 | Aijallthusexcelsa (Roxb) | SIMARUBACEAE | vjyoks |
| 16 | Albizzia lebbek (Bellth) | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | $f^{\prime}$ kj h'k |
| 17 | Allium cepa (Linn) | LILIACEAE | i y kMa |
| 18 | Allium sativum (Linn) | LILIACEAE | y 19 |
| 19 | Alocasia indica (Roxb) | ARACEAE | e kud a |
| 20 | Aloe barbadensis (Mill) | IJILIACEAE | ? $\mathrm{k}^{\text {d d a kj h }}$ |
| 21 | Alpinia galanga (Willd) | ZINGIBERACEAE | e g kHkj ho p |
| 22 | Alstonia scholaris (R.Br) | APOCYANACEAE | I Ir i . KZ |
| 23 | Althea officinalis (Linn) | ACEAE | $1 \mathrm{k} \#$ |
| 24 | Amaranthus spinosus (Lilln) | AMARANTHACEAE | r. M g hi |
| 25 | Amarryllis beladonna (Linn) | AMARRYLLIDACEAE | c \$ kM kak fy fy |
| 26 | Amomum subulatum (Roxb) | ZINGIBERACEAE | cgnsk |
| 27 | AmorphophaJlus companulatus (Blume) | ARACEAE | I juud a |
| 28 | Anacardium occidentales (Linn) | ANACARDIACEAE | cr kM + |
| 29 | Anacyclus pyrethrum (D.C) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITEAE) | v kd kj d je |
| 30 | Ananas cosmosum (Merr) | BROMELIACEAE | v Uu Ku Kl |
| 31 | Andrographis paniculata (Nees) | ACANTHACEAE | Hktw Ec |
| 32 | Annonasquamosa (Linn) | ANNONACEAE | I hr KQ y |
| 33 | Anthocephalus cadamba (Miq) | RUBIACEAE | $d n E C$ |


| 34 | Apium graveolens (Linn) | UMBELLIFERAE | v te ks |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | Aralia nudicaulis (Linn) | ARALIACEAE | y \{e kk |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Uhh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Arec catechu (Linn) | PALMAE | i why y |
| 37 | ArgeiT1one maxicana (Linn) | PAPAVARACEAE | d Vq. MZ |
| 38 | Argyreia speciosa (Sweet Syn) | CONVOL VULACEAE | 0) nkid |
| 39 | Aristolochia indica, (Linn) | ARISTOLOCHIACEAE | b) jh |
| 40 | Artemissia yulgaris (Linn) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | neud |
| 41 | Artocarpusintegrifolia (Linri) | MORACEAE | iu'k |
| 42 | Ascleplas curassavica (Linn) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | d kd uk k |
| 43 | Asparagus adscendens (Roxb) | LILIACEAE | y seaty h |
| 44 | Asparagus recemosus (Willd) | LILIACEAE | kroj |
| 45 | Asteracantha longifolia (Nees) | ACANTHACEAE | d kfd y kik |
| 46 | A verrhoa carambola | OXALIDACEAE | dejlk |
| 47 | Azadirachta indica | MELIACEAE | uhe |
| 48 | Bacopa monieri (Linn) | SCROPHULARIACEAE | $t$ y uhe |
| 49 | Balanites roxbu ghi (Planch) | SIMARUBACEAE | bagh |
| 50 | Bombusa arundlnacla (WIlld) | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | oaky ke u |
| 51 | Barleria prionitis \{Linn) | ACANTHACEAE | i hy kok k |
| 51 | Basella alba (Linn) | CHENOPODIACEAE | ifrdk |
| 53 | Bauhinia purpurea (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | d M 50 nkj 1/4. ky $1 / 2$ |
| 54 | Bauhin.ia v riegata (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | d pukj |
| 55 | Berberis arlstata (D.C) | BERBERIDACEAE | nk\#gYnh |
| 56 | Biophytum sensitivum (Linn) | GERANIACEAE (OXALIDACEAE) | v y Ec bk |
| 57 | Boerhaavia diffusa (Linn) | NYCTAGINACEAE | y ky i quảk |
| 58 | Brassica campestris | CRUCIFERAE (BRASSICACEAE) | \| j| ko |
| 59 | Brassica Juncea (Linn) | BRASSICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | y ky j koz |
| 60 | Brassica oleracea (Linn) | BRASSICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | i Pr $k \times$ kilk |
| 61 | Bryophyllum calycinum Salib | CRASSULACEAE | i PFlj p j/ |
| 62 | Butea frondosa koenex (Roxb) | LEGUMINOSAE (FABACEAE) | iy k k |
| 63 | Caesalpinia bonducela Fleming | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | itrdja |
| 64 | Callicarpa macrophylla (Linn) | VERBENACEAE | fçial |
| 65 | Calotropis procera (Aif) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | vydz |
| 66 | Cannabis indica (Linn) | CANNABINACEAE | nofd y h |
| 67 | Cannabis Sativa (Linn) | CANNABINACEAE | Hkd |
| 68 | Capsicum annum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | fejpk |
| 69 | Carica papaya (Linn) | CARICACEAE | i i hr k |
| 70 | Carum copticum (Benth \& Hook) | UMBELLIFERAE | vtoku |
| 71 | Cassa auriculata (Linn) | CAESALPINACEAE | VC\% |
| 72 | Cassia absus (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | p \{ $\mathrm{k}_{0}$; k |
| 73 | Cassia angustifolia (Vahl) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | $1 \mathrm{k}_{i}$ |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Uhh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | Cassia fistula (Linn), Cassia rhombifolia | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | veyrk |
| 75 | Cassia occidentalis (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE, (CAESALPINACEAE) | dklenz |
| 76 | Cassiatora (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | p0enZ |
| 77 | Catharanthes roseus (L.) vincarosea | APOCYANACEAE | Inkc gk |
| 78 | Cedrela toona (Roxb Syn) toona ciliata roem | MELIACEAE | ' ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| 79 | Cedrus deodara. (Roxb) Loud | PINACEAE | no nkj |
| 80 | Celastrus paniculatus (Willd) | CELASTRACEAE | ely d kauh |
| 81 | Celosia argentea. (Linn) | AMARANTHACEAE | f' ki o kj |
| 82 | Centella asiatica (Linn) (Hydrocotyle asiatica) | UMBELLIFERAE | e Aldi. kZ |
| 83 | Cestrum diuranum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | fnu d k jkt k |
| 84 | Cestrum nocturnum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | jkr jkuh |
| 85 | Chenopodium albu (Linn) | CHENOPODIACEAE | cFkg k |
| 86 | Chlorophytum borivilianum (Sant \& Ferm) | LILIACEAE | 1Qa ewy h |
| 87 | Cicerarietinum (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (FABACEAE) | puk |
| 88 | Cinnamomum camphora (Nees \& Eberm) | LAURACEAE | phud dip |
| 89 | Cinnamomum tamala (Nees \& Eberrm) | LAURACEAE | 16ik |
| 90 | Cinnamomum zeylanicum (Blume Syn) | LAURACEAE | nky p huh |
| 91 | Cissampelos pareira (Linn) | MENISPERMACEAE | i kB k |
| 92 | Cissus quadrangularis (Linn) | VITACEAE | $g M *\|t\| l \mid$ |
| 93 | Citrullus colocynthis (Schrader) | CUCURBITACEAE | bUlk; . $k$ |
| 94 | Citrus medica var. acida watt.) | RUTACEAE | d lxt h uhew |
| 95 | Citrus medica (Linn) | RUTACEAE | fet tigk |
| 96 | Cleome viscosa (Linn Syn) | CAPPARIDACEAE | i hy k g gigá |
| 97 | Clerodendron inerme (Lil111) | VERBENACEAE | N kJk vjuh |
| 98 | Clerodendron phlomidis (Linn) | VERBENACEAE | vjuh |
| 99 | Clerodendron serratum (Spreng) | VERBENACEAE | Hkj $) \cdot \mathrm{h}$ |
| 100 | Clitoria ternatea (Linn) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | vijkt ¢ $k$ |
| 101 | Coccinia indica (W \& A) | CUCURBITACEAE | d ¢n: |
| 102 | Coleus aromaticus (Benth) | LABIATAE | i PFlij p iN |
| 103 | Commiphora mukul (Hook \& Exstocks) | BURSERACEAE |  |
| 104 | Convolvulus pluricaulis (Choisy) | CONVOLVULACEAE | 伯 $\mathrm{b}_{\text {bi }} \mathrm{h}$ |
| 105 | Cordia myxa (Ro.xbSyn) Cordia dichotoma | BORAGINACEAE | fy 1 kth 1 c |
| 106 | Coriandrum sativum (Linn) | UMBELLIFERAE | I kí; k |
| 107 | Costus speciosus (Koen) smith | ZINGIBERACEAE | dod dun |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Unh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | Crataeva nurvala (Buch-Ham) | CAPPARIDACEAE | c \#. $k$ |
| 109 | Crinum asiaticum (Linn) | AMARYLLIDACEAE | $1 q^{\prime}$ k ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 110 | Croton tiglium (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | teky xidk |
| 111 | Cuminum Cyminum (Linn) | UMBELLIFERAE | 10athik |
| 112 | Curculigo orchioides (Gaertn.) | AMARYLLIDACEAE | d ky h ewy h |
| 113 | Curcuma amada (Roxb) | ZINGIBERACEAE | v kek gYnh |
| 114 | Curcuma domestica (Valsyn) longa | ZINGIBERACEAE | gYnh |
| 115 | Cuscuta reflexa (Roxb) | CONVOLVULACEAE | V ejos |
| 116 | Cymbopogon citratus (Andropogon citratus) | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | Hkw. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| 117 | Cymbopogon Schoenanthus (Linn) | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | \| Kga "k ? kd |
| 118 | Cynodon dactylon (Linn) Pefs | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | git nv |
| 119 | Cyperus rotundus (Linn) | CYPERACEAE | ekikk |
| 120 | Dalbergia sissoo (Roxb) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | kl e |
| 121 | Datura metal (Linn. Syn) Datura innoxia | SOLANACEAE | d ky k /kr jk |
| 122 | Datura Stramonium (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | dud /ki jk |
| 123 | Daucus Carota L. Var. Sativa D. C. | UMBELLIFERAE | xkt j |
| 124 | Desmodium gangeticum (D.C.) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | kky i kz |
| 125 | Digitalis purpurea (Linn) | SCROPHULARIACEAE | fr y i $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{h}$ |
| 126 | Dillenia indica (Linn) | DILLENIACEAE | fp YVk |
| 127 | Dioscorea bulbifera (Linn) | DIOSCORIACEAE | obikg d a |
| 128 | Eclipta alba (Hassk.) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | Hkid jk |
| 129 | Elettaria Cardamomum (Maton.) | ZINGIBERACEAE | N KJWh by k, ph |
| 130 | Embelia ribes (Burm. F.) | MYRSINACEAE | Ok; fo Ma. |
| 131 | Emblica officinalis (Geartn.) | EUPHORBIACEAE | v key dh |
| 132 | Erioborya Japonica (Linn) | ROSACEAE | y $\times 6 \mathrm{CK}$ W |
| 133 | Ervatamia Coronaria (Jacq. Syn) <br> Tabernaemontana divaricata | APOCY ANACEAE | p kauh |
| 134 | Erythrina indica (Lam) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | i kj Hknz |
| 135 | Euphorbia antiquorum (Linn) | EUPHORBJACEAE | ctd. Vd |
| 136 | Euphorbia hirta (Linn) E.pilllitera (Ljnn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | n6V/ kd k |
| 137 | Euphorbianeriifolia (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | $1 \mathrm{gM\mid}$ |
| 138 | Euphorbia tirucalli (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | kr y $k$ |
| 139 | Euryale ferox (Salisb) | NYMPHAEACEAE | el kuk |
| 140 | Evolvulus alsinoides (Linn) | CONVOLVULACEAE | uhy ' Kalitioth |
| 141 | Feronia elephantum (Correa) | RUTACEAE | d fi j Fk |
| 142 | Ferula foetida (Regd. Syn) feruala narthex (Boiss) | UMBELLIFERAE | gha |
| 143 | Ficus bengalensis (Linn) | MORACEAE | OV |
| 144 | Ficus Carica (Linn) | MORACEAE | $v a b j$ |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | Ficus glomerata (Roxb. Syn) F. recemosa | MORACEAE | X W j |
| 146 | Ficus religiosa (Linn) | MORACEAE | i hi y |
| 147 | Foel1iculum Vulgare (Mill\} | UMB.ELLIFERAE | $1 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{l}^{\text {a }}$ |
| 148 | Fumaria indica (Pugsley) | FUMARIACEAE | fi Rr i k M k |
| 149 | Gardenia gummifera (Linn) | RUBIACEAE | M hd ke ly h |
| 150 | Gloriosa superba. (Linn) | LILIACEAE | d fy gki h |
| 151 | Glycyrrhiza glabra (Bois) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | e/kg SB h |
| 152 | Gmelina arborea (Roxb) | VERBINACEAE | x Eg kj |
| 153 | Gossypium herbaceum (Linn) | MALVACEAE | d ik |
| 154 | Grewia subinaequalis (D.c.Syn) gasiatica | TILIACEAE | Q ly I k |
| 155 | Grevillea robusta. (A.Cunn.) | PROTEACEAE | fl Yoj v K Kl |
| 156 | Gymnema Sylvestre (R. Br.) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | x M e kj |
| 157 | Gynandropsis pentaphylla. (D.C.) | CAPPAR1DACEAE | '06 gidgid |
| 158 | Hedychium spicatum (Hamex. smith) | ZINGIBERACEAE | xak i y k kh |
| 159 | Helianthus Annuus (Linn) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSIT AE) | I węfkh |
| 160 | Hemidesmus indicus (R.Br.) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | I kfjok |
| 161 | Hibiscus rosa-sinesis (Linn) | MALVACEAE | $x$ (ty |
| 162 | Holarrhena antidysenterica (Wall) | APOCY ANACEAE | $d \vee t$ |
| 163 | Jasminum grandiflorum (Linn) | OLEACEAE | pesh |
| 164 | Jasminum Sambac (Ait.) | OLEACEAE | eksjk |
| 165 | Jatropha Curcas (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | O; Kkz , j, M |
| 166 | Jatropha gossypifolia (Linn) | EUPHORB1ACEAE | jrut ks |
| 167 | Juniperus communis (Linn) | CLJPRESSACEAE | gi bkk |
| 168 | Lagerstroemia speciosa (Pers. Syn.) | LYTHRACEAE | t k: y |
| 169 | Lantana camara (Linn) | VERBINACEAE | ourg\|h |
| 170 | Lawsonia inermis Linn. L. alba. | LYTHRACEAE | efund $k$ |
| 171 | Lepidium Sativum Linn | BRASSICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | pegu=h |
| 172 | Leptadenia reticulata. W \& A | ASCLEPIADACEAE, | t ho uh |
| 173 | Leucas Cephalotes spreng | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | neski qi h |
| 174 | Linum Usitatissimum. (Linn) | LNACEAE | v y $/ \mathrm{h}$ |
| 175 | Litchi chil1ensis Syl1. Nephelium litchi comb. | SAPINDACEAE | fyph |
| 176 | Loral1thus lol1gitlorus Desrsyn. Dendropthoe falcala | LORANTHACEAE | O kak |
| 177 | Luffaacutangula (Linn) Roxb Var.amaraclark. | CUCURBITACEAE | $d M \theta h r k \dot{\prime}$ b |
| 178 | Lycopersicon esculentum Mill | SOLANACEAE | Ve WJj |
| 179 | MallotusPhillippinensis Mue'l Arg | EUPHORBIACEAE | di hy k |
| 180 | Mangifera indica. (Linn) | ANACARDIACEAE | V ke |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181 | Meliaazedarach. (Linn) | MELIACEAE | od ki U |
| 182 | Mentha Piperata (Linn) | LAMIACEAE | fi i j fe UV |
| 183 | Ment.ha Spicata (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | i qhuk |
| 184 | Mesua ferrea | GUTTIFERAE | ukx d 5 kj |
| 185 | Michelia champaca (Linn) | MANGNOLIACEAE | I kop Ei k |
| 186 | Mimosa pudica (Linn) | MIMOSAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | y Tt ko Ur h |
| 187 | Mimusops elengi (Linn) | SAPOTACEAE. | cdy |
| 188 | Mirabilisjalapa (Lil111) | NYCTAGINACEAE | xyok |
| 189 | Momordica charantia (Linn) | CUCURBITACEAE | djok |
| 190 | Momordica dioica (Roxb) | CUCURBITACEAE | d d kd h |
| 191 | Moringa pterygosperma (Gaertn) | MORINGACEAE | I fgauk |
| 192 | Morus indica (Griff.) | MORACEAE | I grw |
| 193 | Mucuna Pruriens (Bek.) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | d k $\mathrm{h}^{\text {d }}$ |
| 194 | Murraya koenigii. Spreng | RUTACEAE | ehbh uhe |
| 195 | Murraya paniculata Jack Syn. M.exotica | RUT ACEAE | d kfe uh |
| 196 | Musa sapientum (Linn) M. paradisiaca. | MUSACEAE | $d g k$ |
| 197 | Myrica nagi Thunb. M.esculanta ct1lq | MYRICACEAE | $d k_{i} Q$ y |
| 198 | Myristica fragrans Houtt. | MYRISTICACEAE | t ki Q y |
| 199 | Myristica fragrans Houtt | MYRISTICACEAE | t kfo =h |
| 200 | Nardostachys jatamansi | VALERIANACEAE | t Vke ka h |
| 201 | Nelumbium speciosum (Willd) | NYMPHAEACEAE | $d \mathrm{ey}$ |
| 202 | Nerium odorum Soland. | APOCYANACEAE | duj |
| 203 | Nigella Sativa Linn | RANUNCULACEAE | dy $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{C}_{\text {h }}$ |
| 204 | Nyctanthes arbor-tristis (Linn) | OLEACEAE | gjfik |
| 205 | Ocimum basilicum (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | dijurglh |
| 206 | Ocimum canum sines. o. americanum | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | ourglh |
| 207 | Ocimum grattisimum (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | ke rylh |
| 208 | Ocimum sanctllm (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | x K ¢ ¢ 「 \| | h |
| 209 | Oldenlandia Corymbosa (Linn) | RUBIACEAE | \{kf i i Z |
| 210 | Operculina terpthum Silva Manso. Ipomoea terpethllm | CONVOLVULACEAE | fu' kkEk |
| 211 | Oroxylum indicum Vent. | BIGNONIACEAE | I kak i kBk |
| 212 | Oxalis Corniculata (Linn) | OXALIDACEAE | p kajh |
| 213 | Pandanus odoratissimus Roxb | PANDANACEAE | doM H |
| 214 | Papaver Somniferum (Linn) | PAPAVARACEAE | $V$ Q he |
| 215 | Pedalium murex (Linn) | PEDALIACEAE | CMH X K¢ k\# |
| 216 | Peucedonum graveolens (Linn) | UMBLLIFERAE | kribik |
| 217 | Phaseolus trlobus. Alt | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ou ew |
| 218 | Phyllanthus niruri (Linn) P. asperulatus | EUPHORBIACEAE |  |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 219 | Physalisminima (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | Va kjh |
| 220 | Phyla nodit1ora. Lippia nodit1ora Rich | VERBENACEAE | t y i hi y |
| 221 | Picrorl.hiza kurroa. Royle exbenth. | SCROPHULARIACEAE | dVd h |
| 222 | Pinlls longifolia Roxb. | PINACEAE | p hM + |
| 223 | Piper betle Linn. | PIPERACEAE | i ku |
| 224 | Piper longum (Linn) | PIPERACEAE | fili y h |
| 225 | Pipernigrum (Linn) | PIPERACEAE | d ky hefjp |
| 226 | PiperSylvaticum Roxb | PIPERACEAE | i gkM A i hi y |
| 227 | Pluchea lanceolata oliver \& Hiern. | COMPOSITAE (ASTERACEAE) | $\hat{N} f=e V^{\prime} \mathrm{kkd}$ |
| 228 | Plumbago Zeylanica Linn. | PLUMBAGINACEAE | $f p=d$ |
| 229 | Plumeria acutifolia Poir. | APOCY ANCEAE | jk uk |
| 230 | Pluchea lanceolata oliver \& Hiern. | COMPOSITAE (ASTERACEAE) | [ K's p Ei k |
| 231 | Pongamia Pinnata Syn P. glabra. Vent | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | dja |
| 232 | Portulaca oleracea (Linn) | PORTULACEAE | CM A y ksk |
| 233 | Pol1ulaca quadrifida (Linn) | PORTULACEAE | y ? kg y kskk |
| 234 | Prosopis Spicigera | MIMOSAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ' keh |
| 235 | Prunus amygdalus Batsch. | ROSACEAE | c kn ke |
| 236 | Prunus Persica Batsch. | ROSACEAE | $\checkmark \mathrm{kM} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 237 | Psoralea Corylifolia (Linn) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ckd ph |
| 238 | Psidium guajava (Linn) | MYRTACEAE | ve: $n$ |
| 239 | Pterocarpus marsupium. Roxb. | FABACEAE (PAPILIONACEAE) | v I U |
| 240 | Pueraria tuberosa D.C. | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | fonkjh da |
| 241 | Punica granatum. (Linn) | PUNICACEAE | v ukj |
| 242 | Putranjiva roxbllrghii. Wall | EUPHORBIACEAE | fir l kit ; k |
| 243 | Pyrus maills (Linn) | ROSACEAE | 0 |
| 244 | Quisqlalis indica (Linn) | COMBRETACEAE | e/kg ky r h |
| 245 | Randia dllmetorum Lam. | RUBIACEAE | enu |
| 246 | Raphanus Sativus Linn | BRASICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | ewh |
| 247 | Rauwolfia Serpenlina Benth. ex. kurz. | APOCYANACEAE | I i 又 akk |
| 248 | Ricinus communis Linn | EUPHORBIACEAE | , j.M |
| 249 | Rosa centifolia (Linn) | ROSASEAE | x M K |
| 250 | Rubia cordifolia Linn | RUBIACEAE | e tt "Bk |
| 251 | Saccharum officinarium. Linn | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | b? k |
| 252 | Salmalia malbarica. | BOMBACEAE | \| 8 y |
| 253 | Santalum album Linn. | SANT ALACEAE | 1Q Q p Unu |
| 254 | Sansevieria roxburghina Schult. | HAEMODORACEAE | ukx neu |
| 255 | Sapindlls trifolialus (Linn) | SAPINDACEAE | jhBk |
| 256 | Saraca indica | CAESALPINACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | V' k $\mathrm{V}^{\text {d }}$ |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257 | Saxifraga ligulata Wall. | SAXIFRAGACEAE | i k'kk. KHKg |
| 258 | Sesamum indicum'Linn. | PEDALIACEAE | fr y |
| 259 | Shorea robusta gaertn. | DIPTEROCARPACEAE | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{kky}$ |
| 260 | Sida Cordifolia (Linn) | MALVACEAE | cy k |
| 261 | Sida rhombifolia (Linn) | MALVACEAE | egkcy k |
| 262 | Smilex china (Linn) | LILIACEAE | pkis phuh |
| 263 | Solanum indicum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | córh |
| 264 | Solanum melongena (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | c89 |
| 265 | Solanum nigrum (Linn) | SOLANCEAE | ed ks |
| 266 | Solanum Surattense Brumt. S.Xanthocarpum. | SOLANACEAE | d $\mathrm{Zd} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{kj} \mathrm{d} k$ <br> 1/4) ? K $k$. 2 |
| 267 | Soymida febrifuga. A. Juss | MELIACEAE | jkfguh |
| 268 | Spinacia oleracea (Linn) | CHENOPODIACEAE | i ky d ' kkd |
| 269 | Strychnos nux vomica (Linn) | LOGANIACEAE | dayk |
| 270 | Swertia chirayata Roxb.Syn. | GENTIANACEAE | fp j k; r k |
| 271 | Symplocos racemosa Roxb. Syn. mu | SYMPLOCACEAE | y kbkz |
| 272 | Syzygium aromatica. Meril \& Perry. | MYRTACEAE | $y k \delta$ |
| 273 | Syzygium cumini Skeels Syn. | MYRTACEAE | cMAt ke a |
| 274 | Tagetes erecta (Linn) | ASTERACEAE | $x$ 相 |
| 275 | Tamarindus indica (Linn) | CAESALPINACEAE LEGUMINOCEAE | bey $h$ |
| 276 | Tamarix articulata. Vahl. | TAMARICACEAE | N KJh i $=0 \mathrm{kl}$ |
| 277 | Tamarix gallica (Linn) | TAMARICACEAE | CMA i $=0 \mathrm{kl}$ |
| 278 | Tectona grandis (Linn) | VERBINACEAE | 1 kx 0 ku |
| 279 | Tephrosia purpurea Linn | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | 'ki ${ }^{\text {自k }}$ |
| 280 | Teramnus labialis spreng | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | e k'lik. . KZ |
| 281 | Terminalia arjuna. Bedd. | COMBRETACEAE | vt $\square^{\text {a }}$ |
| 282 | Terminalia belerica. Roxb. | COMBRETACEAE | fo Hkhr d |
| 283 | Terminalia chebula Retz. | COMBRETACEAE | gjhrd h 1/cM H/2 |
| 284 | Terminalia tomentosa. W \& A. | COMBRETACEAE | v I U |
| 285 | Thevetia neriifolia Juss. | APOCYANCEAE | i hy k dup |
| 286 | Thuja orientalis | CUPRESSACEAE | e; ji i ak |
| 287 | Tinospora cordifolia (Willd) Miers. | MENISPERMACEAE | fxy ks |
| 288 | Trapa natans (Linn) | TRAPACEAE | fl akkM H |
| 289 | Tribullls terrestris (Linn) | ZYGOPHYLLACEAE | x kgkg |
| 290 | Trichosanthes dioica. Roxb. | CUCURBITACEAE | ijoy |
| 291 | Trigonella foenum graecum (Linn) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | e fFkd k |
| 292 | Tylophora indica (Burmf.) Merr. | ASCLEPIADACEAE | v d Z. KVZ |
| 293 | Uraria picta. Desv. | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ifl i. khz |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Unh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 294 | Urginia indica. kunth. | LILIACEAE | tay h I; kt |
| 295 | Vernonia anthelmintica (Willd) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | out hik |
| 296 | Vernonia cinerea Less. | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | gnoh |
| 297 | Vetiveria zizanioides (Linn) Nash. | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | olij. Key |
| 298 | Viola odorata Linn | VIOLACEAE | xgcui' k |
| 299 | Vitex negundo (Linn) | VERBENACEAE | fux IM $^{\text {n }}$ |
| 300 | Vitis Vinifera (Linn) | VITACEAE |  |
| 301 | Withania Somnifera Dunal. | SOLANACEAE | v ý x kk $^{\text {d }}$ |
| 302 | Wrightia tinctoria R. Br. Syn. | APOCYANACEAE | ehBk bunt 0 |
| 303 | Zingiber officinale Roscoe. | ZINGIBERACEAE | v nj ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| 304 | Zizyphus Vulgaris Lam. | RHAMNACEAE | jkt cnj |

## Xg u\｛k＝okfVd kv kadkjki．k








 dgkx；kA bl çdkj uoxgkarfkk 27 u\｛k＝kadhigpku dhx；hA
 $0 ; f d r d k$ t Ue $u\{k=d g y k r k g d$
 g\＄bueals iegkx afokg \％
－i Kh大f．kd x 歫k
－T；kfr＂k x bFk
－vkiand xbFk
－$r k 6=d x$ 㫙k
－$v_{j}^{\prime} \quad x$ bFk
ukin i gk．k
ukin I Egrk


v ku UnkJe id k＇ku］oulifr \＆v l；KRe $] u\{k=\& o\{k v k f$

I Hkh rF；ka ij fopkj djusds ckn fofHKlu xaka，oa u\｛k＝kadsfy，ft u i Kbkka ds uke



 vkjkaj；jguk vko＇；d ga
 i；烟j．kvko＇；d ga egkekrg｜mk us fylkg g\％
＂xxu｜ehj vuy ty Ikjuh budh ukFk I gt t M＋djuhAA＂

 rFkk＇kn i；烟j．kdsier ge tkx：dg




rlfy d $K \% 1$


| $0 \cdot$ | u \{ $k=$ | nork | j kt k | i kfks d k uke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a |  |  |  | I l d i | fgUnh |
| 1. | v f'ouh | v f'oun | e sk | d kjd jk | d to y k |
| 2. | Hji. Kh |  | e sk | 1 ko h | v kay k |
| 3. | d frdk | v fXu | e Skoo 'k | mn Ec j | x yiu |
| 4. | j kfg. kh | cgek | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{k}$ | t Ec w | $t$ keq |
| 5. | exfflk | 1 ke | O "Kofe Fkg | [ kfnj | 116 |
| 6. | v Mntz | nz | fe Fkg | $d^{n} . k$ | ' kl ke |
| 7. | iq0 O | v fnfr | fe Fkg@d d $z$ | 0ak | c kb |
| 8. | i ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | cgliff | $d d z$ | V'oprk | i it y |
| 9. | v K M y $k k$ | \| wZ | $d d z$ | t kx | ukxd $\mathrm{j}^{\text {j }}$ |
| 10. | e/kk | fir ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | fig | OV | cjxn |
| 11. | i w KZ Q kxx qh | Hox | fig | iy k k | B Kd |
| 12. | mPr jh Q kxah | v H/2k | fi g @d U; k | ly $\{k$ | i kd M + |
| 13. | glr | 1 fork | d U; k | vfjoV | j hBk |
| 14. | fp | Ro "Vk | du; ker g k | fo Y: | Cl |
| 15. | Lokr h | oki a | rgk | vta | vta |
| 16. | fo ' MV \|k | batixu | rak@of'pd | fodar | d VkbZ |
| 17. | v uagk kk | $\mathrm{fe}=$ | oftod | odg | el9 \\| h |
| 18. | T; $3 B \mathrm{k}$ | baz | oftod | I jy | p in + |
| 19. | eyk | fu_ fr | I kua | $1 \times 2$ | 1 ky |
| 20. | i w Wrakk | $t y$ | $1 \mathrm{ku} \mathrm{l}^{\text {a }}$ | 089 | ty 061 |
| 21. | mPr jk'kk<k | fo'008 | Ikuedj | iul | d Vgy |
| 22. | Jo.k | fo ". kg | edj | $v d z$ | enkj |
| 23. | ? $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{Bk}$ | O19 | ed j@d 耼 | ' ke h | $N$; 四 j |
| 24. | ' kr fe "kd | 0:.k | d $\mathrm{k}^{\text {d }}$ | d nEC | $d n E C$ |
| 25. | i akZ Hknėn | vtioin | d kkee hu | $v$ kez | v ke |
| 26. | mPr jk Hknzin | $v$ fg Zgkv ; | e hou | fu Ec | une |
| 27. | jarh | i ${ }^{\text {Wk }}$ | e ho | e/kd | egak |

## List of Herbal Plants

|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Unh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Abrus precatorius | LEGUMINOSAE (FABACEAE) | x $\chi^{\prime}$ k |
| 2 | Abutilon indicum | MALVACEAE | v frcy k |
| 3 | Acacia catechu | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | [ kfnj |
| 4 | Acacia collcinna | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | f' kd kd koz |
| 5 | Acacia nilotica | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | CC W |
| 6 | Acalypha hispida | EUPHORBIACEAE | grku |
| 7 | Achyranthus aspera | AMARANTHACEAE | vi ke lx z |
| 8 | Aconitum heterophyllum | RANUNCULACEAE | $v$ fr fo "kk |
| 9 | Acorus calamus | ARACEAE | opk |
| 10 | Adallsonia digitata | BOMBACACEAE | xks \{ Kh |
| 11 | Adhatoda vasica (Nees) | ACANTHACAE | v M Wk |
| 12 | Adiantum lunu1atum (Burm) | POLYPODIACEAE | g $\mathrm{HV}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{knh}$ |
| 13 | Aegle marmelos (Corr) | RUTACEAE | fc Yo |
| 14 | Agave americana (Linn) | AGAVACEAE | d $\mathrm{Bly} \mathrm{k}^{\text {k }}$ |
| 15 | Aijallthusexcelsa (Roxb) | SIMARUBACEAE | vjyoks |
| 16 | Albizzia lebbek (Bellth) | LEGUMINOSAE (MIMOSAE) | $f^{\prime}$ kj h'k |
| 17 | Allium cepa (Linn) | LILIACEAE | i y kMa |
| 18 | Allium sativum (Linn) | LILIACEAE | y 19 |
| 19 | Alocasia indica (Roxb) | ARACEAE | e kud a |
| 20 | Aloe barbadensis (Mill) | IJILIACEAE | ? $\mathrm{k}^{\text {d d a kj h }}$ |
| 21 | Alpinia galanga (Willd) | ZINGIBERACEAE | e g kHkj ho p |
| 22 | Alstonia scholaris (R.Br) | APOCYANACEAE | I Ir i . KZ |
| 23 | Althea officinalis (Linn) | ACEAE | $1 \mathrm{k} \#$ |
| 24 | Amaranthus spinosus (Lilln) | AMARANTHACEAE | r. M g hi |
| 25 | Amarryllis beladonna (Linn) | AMARRYLLIDACEAE | c \$ kM kak fy fy |
| 26 | Amomum subulatum (Roxb) | ZINGIBERACEAE | cgnsk |
| 27 | AmorphophaJlus companulatus (Blume) | ARACEAE | I juud a |
| 28 | Anacardium occidentales (Linn) | ANACARDIACEAE | cr kM + |
| 29 | Anacyclus pyrethrum (D.C) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITEAE) | v kd kj d je |
| 30 | Ananas cosmosum (Merr) | BROMELIACEAE | v Uu Ku Kl |
| 31 | Andrographis paniculata (Nees) | ACANTHACEAE | Hktw Ec |
| 32 | Annonasquamosa (Linn) | ANNONACEAE | I hr KQ y |
| 33 | Anthocephalus cadamba (Miq) | RUBIACEAE | $d n E C$ |


| 34 | Apium graveolens (Linn) | UMBELLIFERAE | v te ks |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 | Aralia nudicaulis (Linn) | ARALIACEAE | y \{e kk |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Uhh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Arec catechu (Linn) | PALMAE | i why y |
| 37 | ArgeiT1one maxicana (Linn) | PAPAVARACEAE | d Vq. MZ |
| 38 | Argyreia speciosa (Sweet Syn) | CONVOL VULACEAE | 0) nkid |
| 39 | Aristolochia indica, (Linn) | ARISTOLOCHIACEAE | b) jh |
| 40 | Artemissia yulgaris (Linn) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | neud |
| 41 | Artocarpusintegrifolia (Linri) | MORACEAE | iu'k |
| 42 | Ascleplas curassavica (Linn) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | d kd uk k |
| 43 | Asparagus adscendens (Roxb) | LILIACEAE | y seaty h |
| 44 | Asparagus recemosus (Willd) | LILIACEAE | kroj |
| 45 | Asteracantha longifolia (Nees) | ACANTHACEAE | d kfd y kik |
| 46 | A verrhoa carambola | OXALIDACEAE | dejlk |
| 47 | Azadirachta indica | MELIACEAE | uhe |
| 48 | Bacopa monieri (Linn) | SCROPHULARIACEAE | $t$ y uhe |
| 49 | Balanites roxbu ghi (Planch) | SIMARUBACEAE | bagh |
| 50 | Bombusa arundlnacla (WIlld) | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | oaky ke u |
| 51 | Barleria prionitis \{Linn) | ACANTHACEAE | i hy kok k |
| 51 | Basella alba (Linn) | CHENOPODIACEAE | ifrdk |
| 53 | Bauhinia purpurea (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | d M 50 nkj 1/4. ky $1 / 2$ |
| 54 | Bauhin.ia v riegata (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | d pukj |
| 55 | Berberis arlstata (D.C) | BERBERIDACEAE | nk\#gYnh |
| 56 | Biophytum sensitivum (Linn) | GERANIACEAE (OXALIDACEAE) | v y Ec bk |
| 57 | Boerhaavia diffusa (Linn) | NYCTAGINACEAE | y ky i quảk |
| 58 | Brassica campestris | CRUCIFERAE (BRASSICACEAE) | \| j| ko |
| 59 | Brassica Juncea (Linn) | BRASSICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | y ky j koz |
| 60 | Brassica oleracea (Linn) | BRASSICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | i Pr $k \times$ kilk |
| 61 | Bryophyllum calycinum Salib | CRASSULACEAE | i PFlj p j/ |
| 62 | Butea frondosa koenex (Roxb) | LEGUMINOSAE (FABACEAE) | iy k k |
| 63 | Caesalpinia bonducela Fleming | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | itrdja |
| 64 | Callicarpa macrophylla (Linn) | VERBENACEAE | fçial |
| 65 | Calotropis procera (Aif) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | vydz |
| 66 | Cannabis indica (Linn) | CANNABINACEAE | nofd y h |
| 67 | Cannabis Sativa (Linn) | CANNABINACEAE | Hkd |
| 68 | Capsicum annum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | fejpk |
| 69 | Carica papaya (Linn) | CARICACEAE | i i hr k |
| 70 | Carum copticum (Benth \& Hook) | UMBELLIFERAE | vtoku |
| 71 | Cassa auriculata (Linn) | CAESALPINACEAE | VC\% |
| 72 | Cassia absus (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | p \{ $\mathrm{k}_{0}$; k |
| 73 | Cassia angustifolia (Vahl) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | $1 \mathrm{k}_{i}$ |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Uhh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | Cassia fistula (Linn), Cassia rhombifolia | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | veyrk |
| 75 | Cassia occidentalis (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE, (CAESALPINACEAE) | dklenz |
| 76 | Cassiatora (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (CAESALPINACEAE) | p0enZ |
| 77 | Catharanthes roseus (L.) vincarosea | APOCYANACEAE | Inkc gk |
| 78 | Cedrela toona (Roxb Syn) toona ciliata roem | MELIACEAE | ' ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| 79 | Cedrus deodara. (Roxb) Loud | PINACEAE | no nkj |
| 80 | Celastrus paniculatus (Willd) | CELASTRACEAE | ely d kauh |
| 81 | Celosia argentea. (Linn) | AMARANTHACEAE | f' ki o kj |
| 82 | Centella asiatica (Linn) (Hydrocotyle asiatica) | UMBELLIFERAE | e Aldi. kZ |
| 83 | Cestrum diuranum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | fnu d k jkt k |
| 84 | Cestrum nocturnum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | jkr jkuh |
| 85 | Chenopodium albu (Linn) | CHENOPODIACEAE | cFkg k |
| 86 | Chlorophytum borivilianum (Sant \& Ferm) | LILIACEAE | 1Qa ewy h |
| 87 | Cicerarietinum (Linn) | LEGUMINOSAE (FABACEAE) | puk |
| 88 | Cinnamomum camphora (Nees \& Eberm) | LAURACEAE | phud dip |
| 89 | Cinnamomum tamala (Nees \& Eberrm) | LAURACEAE | 16ik |
| 90 | Cinnamomum zeylanicum (Blume Syn) | LAURACEAE | nky p huh |
| 91 | Cissampelos pareira (Linn) | MENISPERMACEAE | i kB k |
| 92 | Cissus quadrangularis (Linn) | VITACEAE | $g M *\|t\| l \mid$ |
| 93 | Citrullus colocynthis (Schrader) | CUCURBITACEAE | bUlk; . $k$ |
| 94 | Citrus medica var. acida watt.) | RUTACEAE | d lxt h uhew |
| 95 | Citrus medica (Linn) | RUTACEAE | fet tigk |
| 96 | Cleome viscosa (Linn Syn) | CAPPARIDACEAE | i hy k g gigá |
| 97 | Clerodendron inerme (Lil111) | VERBENACEAE | N kJk vjuh |
| 98 | Clerodendron phlomidis (Linn) | VERBENACEAE | vjuh |
| 99 | Clerodendron serratum (Spreng) | VERBENACEAE | Hkj $) \cdot \mathrm{h}$ |
| 100 | Clitoria ternatea (Linn) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | vijkt ¢ $k$ |
| 101 | Coccinia indica (W \& A) | CUCURBITACEAE | d ¢n: |
| 102 | Coleus aromaticus (Benth) | LABIATAE | i PFlij p iN |
| 103 | Commiphora mukul (Hook \& Exstocks) | BURSERACEAE |  |
| 104 | Convolvulus pluricaulis (Choisy) | CONVOLVULACEAE | 伯 $\mathrm{b}_{\text {bi }} \mathrm{h}$ |
| 105 | Cordia myxa (Ro.xbSyn) Cordia dichotoma | BORAGINACEAE | fy 1 kth 1 c |
| 106 | Coriandrum sativum (Linn) | UMBELLIFERAE | I kí; k |
| 107 | Costus speciosus (Koen) smith | ZINGIBERACEAE | dod dun |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Uhh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | Crataeva nurvala (Buch-Ham) | CAPPARIDACEAE | c \#. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 109 | Crinum asiaticum (Linn) | AMARYLLIDACEAE | $q^{\prime} k$ k |
| 110 | Croton tiglium (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | t ely x < ${ }^{\text {ck }}$ |
| 111 | Cuminum Cyminum (Linn) | UMBELLIFERAE | Q a thik |
| 112 | Curculigo orchioides (Gaertn.) | AMARYLLIDACEAE | d ky h ewy h |
| 113 | Curcuma amada (Roxb) | ZINGIBERACEAE | v kek gYnh |
| 114 | Curcuma domestica (Valsyn) longa | ZINGIBERACEAE | gYnh |
| 115 | Cuscuta reflexa (Roxb) | CONVOLVULACEAE | vejos |
| 116 | Cymbopogon citratus (Andropogon citratus) | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | Hlw.' k |
| 117 | Cymbopogon Schoenanthus (Linn) | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | jkg "k ? kd |
| 118 | Cynodon dactylon (Linn) Pefs | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | gjh ne |
| 119 | Cyperus rotundus (Linn) | CYPERACEAE | ekEk |
| 120 | Dalbergia sissoo (Roxb) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | kll e |
| 121 | Datura metal (Linn. Syn) Datura innoxia | SOLANACEAE | d kj k /kr jk |
| 122 | Datura Stramonium (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | dud Ik ijk |
| 123 | Daucus Carota L. Var. Sativa D. C. | UMBELLIFERAE | x kt j |
| 124 | Desmodium gangeticum (D.C.) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | kky i . kz |
| 125 | Digitalis purpurea (Linn) | SCROPHULARIACEAE | fr y i $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{h}$ |
| 126 | Dillenia indica (Linn) | DILLENIACEAE | fp YVk |
| 127 | Dioscorea bulbifera (Linn) | DIOSCORIACEAE | Olikgh da |
| 128 | Eclipta alba (Hassk.) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | Hkij jkt |
| 129 | Elettaria Cardamomum (Maton.) | ZINGIBERACEAE | N Wht by kiph |
| 130 | Embelia ribes (Burm. F.) | MYRSINACEAE | Oki, fo Ma. |
| 131 | Emblica officinalis (Geartn.) | EUPHORBIACEAE | $v$ keyd h |
| 132 | Erioborya Japonica (Linn) | ROSACEAE | y K8 K |
| 133 | Ervatamia Coronaria (Jacq. Syn) <br> Tabernaemontana divaricata | APOCY ANACEAE | p kauh |
| 134 | Erythrina indica (Lam) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | i kj Hknz |
| 135 | Euphorbia antiquorum (Linn) | EUPHORBJACEAE | ct d. Vd |
| 136 | Euphorbia hirta (Linn) E.pilllitera (Ljnn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | n6X ld k |
| 137 | Euphorbianeriifolia (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | 19M |
| 138 | Euphorbia tirucalli (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | kry k |
| 139 | Euryale ferox (Salisb) | NYMPHAEACEAE | el kuk |
| 140 | Evolvulus alsinoides (Linn) | CONVOLVULACEAE | uhy 'kalitit h |
| 141 | Feronia elephantum (Correa) | RUTACEAE | d fi j Fk |
| 142 | Ferula foetida (Regd. Syn) feruala narthex (Boiss) | UMBELLIFERAE | gha |
| 143 | Ficus bengalensis (Linn) | MORACEAE | OV |
| 144 | Ficus Carica (Linn) | MORACEAE |  |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145 | Ficus glomerata (Roxb. Syn) F. recemosa | MORACEAE | X W j |
| 146 | Ficus religiosa (Linn) | MORACEAE | i hi y |
| 147 | Foel1iculum Vulgare (Mill\} | UMB.ELLIFERAE | $1 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{l}^{\text {a }}$ |
| 148 | Fumaria indica (Pugsley) | FUMARIACEAE | fi Rr i k M k |
| 149 | Gardenia gummifera (Linn) | RUBIACEAE | M hd ke ly h |
| 150 | Gloriosa superba. (Linn) | LILIACEAE | d fy gki h |
| 151 | Glycyrrhiza glabra (Bois) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | e/kg SB h |
| 152 | Gmelina arborea (Roxb) | VERBINACEAE | x Eg kj |
| 153 | Gossypium herbaceum (Linn) | MALVACEAE | d ik |
| 154 | Grewia subinaequalis (D.c.Syn) gasiatica | TILIACEAE | Q ly I k |
| 155 | Grevillea robusta. (A.Cunn.) | PROTEACEAE | fl Yoj v K Kl |
| 156 | Gymnema Sylvestre (R. Br.) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | x M e kj |
| 157 | Gynandropsis pentaphylla. (D.C.) | CAPPAR1DACEAE | '06 gidgid |
| 158 | Hedychium spicatum (Hamex. smith) | ZINGIBERACEAE | xak i y k kh |
| 159 | Helianthus Annuus (Linn) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSIT AE) | I węfkh |
| 160 | Hemidesmus indicus (R.Br.) | ASCLEPIADACEAE | I kfjok |
| 161 | Hibiscus rosa-sinesis (Linn) | MALVACEAE | $x$ (ty |
| 162 | Holarrhena antidysenterica (Wall) | APOCY ANACEAE | $d \vee t$ |
| 163 | Jasminum grandiflorum (Linn) | OLEACEAE | pesh |
| 164 | Jasminum Sambac (Ait.) | OLEACEAE | eksjk |
| 165 | Jatropha Curcas (Linn) | EUPHORBIACEAE | O; Kkz , j, M |
| 166 | Jatropha gossypifolia (Linn) | EUPHORB1ACEAE | jrut ks |
| 167 | Juniperus communis (Linn) | CLJPRESSACEAE | gi bkk |
| 168 | Lagerstroemia speciosa (Pers. Syn.) | LYTHRACEAE | t k: y |
| 169 | Lantana camara (Linn) | VERBINACEAE | ourg\|h |
| 170 | Lawsonia inermis Linn. L. alba. | LYTHRACEAE | efund $k$ |
| 171 | Lepidium Sativum Linn | BRASSICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | pegu=h |
| 172 | Leptadenia reticulata. W \& A | ASCLEPIADACEAE, | t ho uh |
| 173 | Leucas Cephalotes spreng | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | neski qi h |
| 174 | Linum Usitatissimum. (Linn) | LNACEAE | v y $/ \mathrm{h}$ |
| 175 | Litchi chil1ensis Syl1. Nephelium litchi comb. | SAPINDACEAE | fyph |
| 176 | Loral1thus lol1gitlorus Desrsyn. Dendropthoe falcala | LORANTHACEAE | O kak |
| 177 | Luffaacutangula (Linn) Roxb Var.amaraclark. | CUCURBITACEAE | $d M \theta h r k \dot{\prime}$ b |
| 178 | Lycopersicon esculentum Mill | SOLANACEAE | Ve WJj |
| 179 | MallotusPhillippinensis Mue'l Arg | EUPHORBIACEAE | di hy k |
| 180 | Mangifera indica. (Linn) | ANACARDIACEAE | V ke |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181 | Meliaazedarach. (Linn) | MELIACEAE | od Ki U |
| 182 | Mentha Piperata (Linn) | LAMIACEAE | fi i j fe UV |
| 183 | Ment.ha Spicata (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | i qhuk |
| 184 | Mesua ferrea | GUTTIFERAE | ukx d 5 kj |
| 185 | Michelia champaca (Linn) | MANGNOLIACEAE | I kop Ei K |
| 186 | Mimosa pudica (Linn) | MIMOSAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | y Tt ko Ur h |
| 187 | Mimusops elengi (Linn) | SAPOTACEAE. | cdy |
| 188 | Mirabilisjalapa (Lil111) | NYCTAGINACEAE | x Mok |
| 189 | Momordica charantia (Linn) | CUCURBITACEAE | djok |
| 190 | Momordica dioica (Roxb) | CUCURBITACEAE | d d kid h |
| 191 | Moringa pterygosperma (Gaertn) | MORINGACEAE | I fgauk |
| 192 | Morus indica (Griff.) | MORACEAE | \| grw |
| 193 | Mucuna Pruriens (Bek.) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | d kj |
| 194 | Murraya koenigii. Spreng | RUTACEAE | e hBh uhe |
| 195 | Murraya paniculata Jack Syn. M.exotica | RUT ACEAE | d kfe uh |
| 196 | Musa sapientum (Linn) M. paradisiaca. | MUSACEAE | d g k |
| 197 | Myrica nagi Thunb. M.esculanta ct1lq | MYRICACEAE | d k; Q y |
| 198 | Myristica fragrans Houtt. | MYRISTICACEAE | t ki Q y |
| 199 | Myristica fragrans Houtt | MYRISTICACEAE | $t \mathrm{kfo}=\mathrm{h}$ |
| 200 | Nardostachys jatamansi | VALERIANACEAE | t Vke ka h |
| 201 | Nelumbium speciosum (Willd) | NYMPHAEACEAE | dey |
| 202 | Nerium odorum Soland. | APOCYANACEAE | duj |
| 203 | Nigella Sativa Linn | RANUNCULACEAE | d y K6 h |
| 204 | Nyctanthes arbor-tristis (Linn) | OLEACEAE | gjfik |
| 205 | Ocimum basilicum (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | dijurglh |
| 206 | Ocimum canum sines. o. americanum | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | ourylh |
| 207 | Ocimum grattisimum (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | jke rylh |
| 208 | Ocimum sanctllm (Linn) | LAMIACEAE (LABIATAE) | x K ¢ ¢ 「y\|h |
| 209 | Oldenlandia Corymbosa (Linn) | RUBIACEAE | \{ks i i l |
| 210 | Operculina terpthum Silva Manso. Ipomoea terpethllm | CONVOLVULACEAE | fu' kkEk |
| 211 | Oroxylum indicum Vent. | BIGNONIACEAE | kak i kB k |
| 212 | Oxalis Corniculata (Linn) | OXALIDACEAE | plajh |
| 213 | Pandanus odoratissimus Roxb | PANDANACEAE | doM |
| 214 | Papaver Somniferum (Linn) | PAPAVARACEAE | V Q he |
| 215 | Pedalium murex (Linn) | PEDALIACEAE | c M H x k k k\# |
| 216 | Peucedonum graveolens (Linn) | UMBLLIFERAE | ' kr i 6i k |
| 217 | Phaseolus trllobus. Alt | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ou ew |
| 218 | Phyllanthus niruri (Linn) P. asperulatus | EUPHORBIACEAE |  |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 219 | Physalisminima (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | Va kjh |
| 220 | Phyla nodit1ora. Lippia nodit1ora Rich | VERBENACEAE | t y i hi y |
| 221 | Picrorl.hiza kurroa. Royle exbenth. | SCROPHULARIACEAE | dVd h |
| 222 | Pinlls longifolia Roxb. | PINACEAE | p hM + |
| 223 | Piper betle Linn. | PIPERACEAE | i ku |
| 224 | Piper longum (Linn) | PIPERACEAE | fili y h |
| 225 | Pipernigrum (Linn) | PIPERACEAE | d ky hefjp |
| 226 | PiperSylvaticum Roxb | PIPERACEAE | i gkM A i hi y |
| 227 | Pluchea lanceolata oliver \& Hiern. | COMPOSITAE (ASTERACEAE) | $\hat{N} f=e V^{\prime} \mathrm{kkd}$ |
| 228 | Plumbago Zeylanica Linn. | PLUMBAGINACEAE | $f p=d$ |
| 229 | Plumeria acutifolia Poir. | APOCY ANCEAE | jk uk |
| 230 | Pluchea lanceolata oliver \& Hiern. | COMPOSITAE (ASTERACEAE) | [ K's p Ei k |
| 231 | Pongamia Pinnata Syn P. glabra. Vent | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | dja |
| 232 | Portulaca oleracea (Linn) | PORTULACEAE | CM A y ksk |
| 233 | Pol1ulaca quadrifida (Linn) | PORTULACEAE | y ? kg y kskk |
| 234 | Prosopis Spicigera | MIMOSAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ' keh |
| 235 | Prunus amygdalus Batsch. | ROSACEAE | c kn ke |
| 236 | Prunus Persica Batsch. | ROSACEAE | $\checkmark \mathrm{kM} \mathrm{H}$ |
| 237 | Psoralea Corylifolia (Linn) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ckd ph |
| 238 | Psidium guajava (Linn) | MYRTACEAE | ve: $n$ |
| 239 | Pterocarpus marsupium. Roxb. | FABACEAE (PAPILIONACEAE) | v I U |
| 240 | Pueraria tuberosa D.C. | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | fonkjh da |
| 241 | Punica granatum. (Linn) | PUNICACEAE | v ukj |
| 242 | Putranjiva roxbllrghii. Wall | EUPHORBIACEAE | fir l kit ; k |
| 243 | Pyrus maills (Linn) | ROSACEAE | 0 |
| 244 | Quisqlalis indica (Linn) | COMBRETACEAE | e/kg ky r h |
| 245 | Randia dllmetorum Lam. | RUBIACEAE | enu |
| 246 | Raphanus Sativus Linn | BRASICACEAE (CRUCIFERAE) | ewh |
| 247 | Rauwolfia Serpenlina Benth. ex. kurz. | APOCYANACEAE | I i 又 akk |
| 248 | Ricinus communis Linn | EUPHORBIACEAE | , j.M |
| 249 | Rosa centifolia (Linn) | ROSASEAE | x M K |
| 250 | Rubia cordifolia Linn | RUBIACEAE | e tt "Bk |
| 251 | Saccharum officinarium. Linn | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | b? k |
| 252 | Salmalia malbarica. | BOMBACEAE | \| 8 y |
| 253 | Santalum album Linn. | SANT ALACEAE | 1Q Q p Unu |
| 254 | Sansevieria roxburghina Schult. | HAEMODORACEAE | ukx neu |
| 255 | Sapindlls trifolialus (Linn) | SAPINDACEAE | jhBk |
| 256 | Saraca indica | CAESALPINACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | V' k $\mathrm{V}^{\text {d }}$ |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fgUnh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257 | Saxifraga ligulata Wall. | SAXIFRAGACEAE | i k'kk. KHKo |
| 258 | Sesamum indicum'Linn. | PEDALIACEAE | fr y |
| 259 | Shorea robusta gaertn. | DIPTEROCARPACEAE | ' ky |
| 260 | Sida Cordifolia (Linn) | MALVACEAE | cy k |
| 261 | Sida rhombifolia (Linn) | MALVACEAE | egkcy k |
| 262 | Smilex china (Linn) | LILIACEAE | p kis phuh |
| 263 | Solanum indicum (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | cgr h |
| 264 | Solanum melongena (Linn) | SOLANACEAE | c89 |
| 265 | Solanum nigrum (Linn) | SOLANCEAE | ed ks |
| 266 | Solanum Surattense Brumt. S.Xanthocarpum. | SOLANACEAE | d $\forall d \mathrm{kfj} \mathrm{dk}$ <br> 1/4) ? K $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |
| 267 | Soymida febrifuga. A. Juss | MELIACEAE | jkgouh |
| 268 | Spinacia oleracea (Linn) | CHENOPODIACEAE | i ky d ' kkd |
| 269 | Strychnos nux vomica (Linn) | LOGANIACEAE | dpyk |
| 270 | Swertia chirayata Roxb.Syn. | GENTIANACEAE | fp jk, r k |
| 271 | Symplocos racemosa Roxb. Syn. mu | SYMPLOCACEAE | y kskz |
| 272 | Syzygium aromatica. Meril \& Perry. | MYRTACEAE | $y \mathrm{~kg}$ |
| 273 | Syzygium cumini Skeels Syn. | MYRTACEAE | c M A t keq |
| 274 | Tagetes erecta (Linn) | ASTERACEAE | $x$ 相 |
| 275 | Tamarindus indica (Linn) | CAESALPINACEAE LEGUMINOCEAE | bey h |
| 276 | Tamarix articulata. Vahl. | TAMARICACEAE | N KSh i =ok |
| 277 | Tamarix gallica (Linn) | TAMARICACEAE | CMH i $=0 \mathrm{kl}$ |
| 278 | Tectona grandis (Linn) | VERBINACEAE | 1 kx 0 ku |
| 279 | Tephrosia purpurea Linn | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) |  |
| 280 | Teramnus labialis spreng | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | e k'lk, kZ |
| 281 | Terminalia arjuna. Bedd. | COMBRETACEAE | $v$ ¢ $\square^{\text {a }}$ |
| 282 | Terminalia belerica. Roxb. | COMBRETACEAE | fo Hkhr d |
| 283 | Terminalia chebula Retz. | COMBRETACEAE | gjhrd h 1/c M H/2 |
| 284 | Terminalia tomentosa. W \& A. | COMBRETACEAE | V I U |
| 285 | Thevetia neriifolia Juss. | APOCYANCEAE | i hy k du's |
| 286 | Thuja orientalis | CUPRESSACEAE | e; iw i $\mathrm{m}_{\text {k }}$ |
| 287 | Tinospora cordifolia (Willd) Miers. | MENISPERMACEAE | fxy ks |
| 288 | Trapa natans (Linn) | TRAPACEAE | fl akkM H |
| 289 | Tribullls terrestris (Linn) | ZYGOPHYLLACEAE | x kgkg |
| 290 | Trichosanthes dioica. Roxb. | CUCURBITACEAE | ijoy |
| 291 | Trigonella foenum graecum (Linn) | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | e fFkd k |
| 292 | Tylophora indica (Burmf.) Merr. | ASCLEPIADACEAE | $V d$ Z. KZ |
| 293 | Uraria picta. Desv. | FABACEAE (LEGUMINOSAE) | ifl i. kZ |


|  | Botanical Name | Family Name | fg Unh uke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 294 | Urginia indica. kunth. | LILIACEAE | tay h I; kt |
| 295 | Vernonia anthelmintica (Willd) | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | out hik |
| 296 | Vernonia cinerea Less. | ASTERACEAE (COMPOSITAE) | gnoh |
| 297 | Vetiveria zizanioides (Linn) Nash. | POACEAE (GRAMINAE) | olij. Key |
| 298 | Viola odorata Linn | VIOLACEAE | xgcui' k |
| 299 | Vitex negundo (Linn) | VERBENACEAE | fux IM $^{\text {n }}$ |
| 300 | Vitis Vinifera (Linn) | VITACEAE |  |
| 301 | Withania Somnifera Dunal. | SOLANACEAE | v ý x kk $^{\text {d }}$ |
| 302 | Wrightia tinctoria R. Br. Syn. | APOCYANACEAE | ehBk bunt 0 |
| 303 | Zingiber officinale Roscoe. | ZINGIBERACEAE | v nj ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| 304 | Zizyphus Vulgaris Lam. | RHAMNACEAE | jkt cnj |

## Xg u\{k= okfVd kv ka d k jkis.k








 dgkx;kA bl çd kj uoxgkarfkk 27 u\{k=kadhigpku dhx;hA
 $0 ; f d r d k$ t Ue $u\{k=d g y k k g g$




- T; Kffr "k x bFk
- vkigand xbFk
ukin I 的rk
- $r$ K $6=d x$ bFk

- $V_{i} \quad x$ bFk

I Hkh rF; ka ij fopkj djusdsckn fofHKlu xaka, oa u\{k=kadsfy, ft u ikokka ds uke



 vkjke jguk vko'; d ga
 i; 烟j.kvko'; d ga egkekrgImk us fylkk g\%o


## "xxu Iehj vuy ty Ikiuha budh ukFkIgt t M + djuhan"








rlfy d $K \% 1$
$u\{k=k a|s| E C f U / k r$ i K $K / k s$

| $0 \cdot$ | u $\{$ k $=$ | nork | j kf＇k | i kSks d k uke |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a |  |  |  | 1 adj | fg Unh |
| 1. | v f＇ouh | $v f^{\prime}$ oun | e ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | d kid jk | d tp y k |
| 2. | Hhi．Mh |  | e 5 k | ／ko h | v koy k |
| 3. | d frd k | v fXU | e Skoo ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | mngc j | x wu |
| 4. | j kgo．kh | cgek | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{k}$ | t Ec w | $t \mathrm{keq}$ |
| 5. | exfflk | 1 ks | O＂K＠fe Fkg | ［ kfinj | 116 |
| 6. | $v \mathrm{mbz}$ | ： nz | fe Fkg | d ${ }^{\text {n }}$ k | ＇kn＇ke |
| 7. | iq0Za | $v$ fnfr | fe Fkgod d Z | 0ak |  |
| 8. | i ${ }^{\text {bi }}$ | collifr | $d d z$ | v O PFk | ithy |
| 9. | v ${ }^{\text {y }}$ Sk | ｜wZ | $d d z$ | t kx | ulxad 5 |
| 10. | e／kk | fir ${ }^{1}$ | fig | OV | cjxn |
| 11. | i w KZ Q kXx ¢h | Hhx | $f 19$ | iy k k | B Kd |
| 12. | mR jh Q KXx qh | v H／2k | fl g＠U；k | ly $\{\mathrm{k}$ | i kd M＋ |
| 13. | g Lr | 1 fork | d U；k | v fjov | j hBk |
| 14. | fp | Ro Vk | du；ker g k | fo Y； | Cl |
| 15. | Lokr h | okia | r gk | vta | vta |
| 16. | fo＇kl｜k | batixu | rakeof＇pd | fodar | d Vkoz |
| 17. | v uagk lk | $\mathrm{fe}=$ | oftpd | odg | elg J h |
| 18. | T；3Bk | baz | of＇pd | I jy | p M + |
| 19. | ewk | fu＿fr | Ikug | $1 \times 2$ | 1 ky |
| 20. | i w Werke | $t y$ | ／kua | 089 | tyosl |
| 21. | mPr j $\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}$ k＜kt | fo＇0 08 | Ikued j | iul | d Vgy |
| 22. | 10．k | to＂．kg | edj | $v d z$ | enkj |
| 23. | ？ $\mathrm{Ku} \mathrm{u}^{\text {bk }}$ | O19 | edjed 耿 | ＇keh | N；泪 |
| 24. | ＇kf fe＂kd | 0：．k | d 耼 | d nEC | d nEC |
| 25. | iouz Hknnzn | vtigin | d kkoe hu | v kez | v ke |
| 26. | mer jk Hknín | $v$ fg 己 gkv； | e hu | fu Ec | whe |
| 27. | jorh | i ${ }^{\text {kk }}$ | e hu | e／ kd | egak |

## Location $\mathcal{M a p}$




[^0]:    Source: Revenue department.

